

Ultraproducts of von Neumann Algebras

Hiroshi ANDO

Uffe HAAGERUP

December 24, 2012

Abstract

We study several notions of ultraproducts of von Neumann algebras from a unifying viewpoint. In particular, we show that for a σ -finite von Neumann algebra M , the ultraproduct M^ω introduced by Ocneanu is a corner of the ultraproduct $\prod^\omega M$ introduced by Groh and Raynaud. Using this connection, we show that the ultraproduct action of the modular automorphism group of φ on the Ocneanu ultraproduct is the modular automorphism group of the ultrapower state φ^ω , i.e., $(\sigma_t^\varphi)^\omega = \sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) holds on M^ω . Moreover, we show that Golodets' asymptotic algebra C_M^ω is isomorphic to $M' \cap M^\omega$, and his auxiliary algebra $\mathcal{R}$ is isomorphic to M^ω . From these results, we show the following consequences. (1) Ueda's question asking whether a full factor M has trivial central sequence algebra, i.e., whether $M_\omega = \mathbb{C}$ implies $M' \cap M^\omega = \mathbb{C}$, has an affirmative answer when M has separable predual. Here, M_ω denotes Connes's asymptotic centralizer. (2) Golodets' Theorem (rephrased in terms of the Ocneanu ultrapower): in an analogy with McDuff property, for a σ -finite type III factor M , the restriction $\dot{\varphi}$ of the ultrapower state φ^ω onto the central sequence algebra $M' \cap M^\omega$ is independent of the choice of a normal faithful state φ , and for $0 < \lambda < 1$, M absorbs Powers factor R_λ tensorially $M \overline{\otimes} R_\lambda \cong M$, if and only if λ is an eigenvalue of $\Delta_{\dot{\varphi}}$. (3) The Ocneanu ultrapower M^ω of type III_λ factor ($0 < \lambda \leq 1$) is again a type III_λ factor. The same conclusion holds for the Groh-Raynaud ultrapower $\prod^\omega M$ too. However, M^ω can not be a factor if M is a type III_0 factor. Moreover, $\prod^\omega M$ has a semifinite type direct summand in that case. We also show that although the ultrapower R^ω of the hyperfinite type II_1 factor R is a type II_1 factor, $\prod^\omega R$ is not semifinite (and is not a factor). (4) For a σ -finite type III_1 factor M , the Ocneanu ultrapower M^ω has strictly homogeneous state space, i.e., any two normal faithful states φ, ψ on M^ω are unitarily equivalent.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	General Backgrounds and Notations	7
2.1	Ultraproduct of Banach Spaces	8
2.2	Modular Theory	8

3	Ultraproduct of von Neumann Algebras	10
3.1	The Ocneanu Ultraproduct	10
3.2	The Groh-Raynaud Ultraproduct	10
3.3	Relationship between $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$ and $\prod^\omega M_n$	13
3.4	Standard Forms	18
3.5	Golodets' Asymptotic Algebra $C_M^\omega \cong M' \cap M^\omega$	25
4	Tomita-Takesaki Theory for Ultraproducts	27
4.1	Modular Automorphism Group of a Ultraproduct State	27
4.2	Strict Homogeneity of State Spaces	36
4.3	Ultrapower of a Normal Faithful Semifinite Weight	39
4.4	The Golodets State $\dot{\varphi}_\omega$ and Tensorial Absorption of Powers Factors	41
5	Ueda's Question: $M_\omega = \mathbb{C} \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} M' \cap M^\omega = \mathbb{C}$	45
6	Factoriality and Type of Ultraproducts	50
6.1	Ultraproduct of Semifinite Factors	50
6.2	Ultraproduct of Type III_λ ($\lambda \neq 0$) Factors	52
6.3	Ultraproduct of Type III_0 Factors	54

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study several notions of ultraproducts and central sequence algebras of von Neumann algebras which are not necessarily of finite type. Since it does not seem to be well-known that there are various notions of ultraproducts, let us start from an overview of the history.

The notions of central sequences and ultraproducts play a central role in the study of operator algebras and their automorphisms. The importance of central sequences was already recognized as early as in Murray-von Neumann's work ([MvN], §6) on rings of operators. After establishing the uniqueness of the hyperfinite type II_1 factor R , they tried to prove the existence of non-isomorphic type II_1 factors. In $(R, \tau) = \bigotimes_{\mathbb{N}} (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr})$ (Tr denotes the usual trace on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$), consider a sequence

$$u_n = 1^{\otimes n} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies

- (1) $\sup_n \|u_n\| < \infty$.
- (2) $u_n a - a u_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly for any $a \in R$.
- (3) $\tau(u_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|u_n\|_2 \not\rightarrow 0$.

A sequence of operators $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in a finite von Neumann algebra M is called a *central sequence* if it satisfies (1) and (2), and it is called *nontrivial* if in addition it satisfies (3). A type II_1 factor with non-trivial central sequence is said to have *property Gamma*. Using the so-called 14ε argument, they showed that the group von Neumann algebra $L(\mathbb{F}_2)$ of the free group $\mathbb{F}_2$ on two generators does not have property Gamma while R does, whence $R \not\cong L(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Central

sequences were then used to show the existence of uncountably many type II_1 (type II_∞) factors [McD], [Sak2]. Variants of the property Gamma, such as property L of Pukanszky [Puk] were also studied to provide examples of type III factors without non-trivial central sequences. On the other hand, the study of the quotient of a finite (A)W*-algebra by its maximal ideals gave rise to the concept of tracial ultraproducts. The study of such quotient algebras was carried out by Wright. He showed ([Wri], Theorem 4.1, Theorem 5.1) that the quotient of a AW*-algebra of type II with a trace by its maximal ideal is a AW*-factor of type II, and quotient of finite AW*-algebra of type I by its maximal ideals are generically AW*-factors of type II_1 . Sakai ([Sak], Theorem 7.1) showed that the quotient of a finite W*-algebra M by a maximal ideal $\mathcal{I}_\omega = \{x \in M; (x^*x)^\natural(\omega) = 0\}$ is a finite W*-factor. Here, $\natural : M \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(M) \cong C(\Omega)$ is the center valued trace and ω is a point in the Gelfand spectrum Ω of the center $\mathcal{Z}(M)$. When $M = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} M_n(\mathbb{C})$, we have $\Omega = \beta\mathbb{N}$ and $M/\mathcal{I}_\omega$ is what is now called the tracial ultraproduct of $\{M_n(\mathbb{C})\}_{n=1}^\infty$. More generally, the *tracial ultraproduct* $(M_n, \tau_n)^\omega$ of a sequence of finite von Neumann algebras with faithful tracial states $\{M_n, \tau_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ along a free ultrafilter $\omega \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ is defined as the quotient algebra $(M_n, \tau_n)^\omega := \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n)/\mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M_n)$, where $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n)$ is the C*-algebra of all bounded sequences of $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} M_n$, and $\mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M_n)$ is the ideal of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n)$ consisting of those sequences $(x_n)_n$ which satisfies $\tau_n(x_n^*x_n) \rightarrow 0$ along ω . For the case of constant sequence $M_n = M, \tau_n = \tau$, $(M_n, \tau_n)^\omega$ is written as M^ω and called the *ultrapower* of M . Few years later after Sakai's work, McDuff [McD2] revealed the importance of the tracial ultrapower and central sequences. Viewing M as a subalgebra of M^ω by diagonal embedding, central sequences form a von Neumann subalgebra $M_\omega = M' \cap M^\omega$. Among other things, she proved that for a type II_1 factor M , M_ω is either abelian or type II_1 , and the latter case occurs if and only if M absorbs R tensorially: $M \cong M \otimes R$ (such a factor M is now called *McDuff*).

The analysis on the tracial ultrapower was the crucial step in Connes's celebrated work on the classification of injective factors [Con2]. Since then, ultraproduct technics has been an important ingredient on the classification of group actions on factors. Furthermore, the conjecture he casually posed in [Con2] that every II_1 factor with separable predual embeds into R^ω has drawn much attention today, and many interesting equivalent conditions are found [Kir]. Nowadays tracial ultraproducts are also studied from model theoretical viewpoint (see e.g., [GeHa], [FHS1-3]).

The definition of the central sequence algebra M_ω is generalized for arbitrary von Neumann algebras by Connes [Con3]. It is defined as $M_\omega := \mathcal{M}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)/\mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)$, where $\mathcal{M}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)$ is the set of all $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$ satisfying $\|x_n\psi - \psi x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ along ω for all $\psi \in M_*$ (here in $\mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)$, convergence is with respect to strong* topology). M_ω is called the *asymptotic centralizer* of M . On the other hand, the generalization of M^ω is more involved. If M is not of finite type, then $\mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)$ is not an ideal of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$. Therefore one has to modify the definition of M^ω for infinite type von Neumann algebras. The right definition of M^ω was given by Ocneanu [Oc] in order to generalize Connes's automorphism analysis approach for general injective von Neumann algebras. It is defined as $M^\omega := \mathcal{M}^\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)/\mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)$, where $\mathcal{M}^\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)$ is the two-sided normalizer of $\mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)$. That is, $\mathcal{M}^\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)$ consists of those $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$ which satisfies $(x_n)_n \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M) \subset \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)$ and $\mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)(x_n)_n \subset \mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)$. We call M^ω the *Ocneanu ultrapower* of M . As same as tracial ultraproducts,

any projection p (resp. unitary u) in M^ω is represented by a sequence of projections $(p_n)_n$ (resp. unitaries $(u_n)_n$) of M . A decade before Ocneanu's definition of M^ω , another generalization of $M' \cap M^\omega$ for a general factor M with separable predual was proposed by Golodets [Gol1]. It is defined as follows: let φ be a normal faithful state on M . Consider the GNS representation of M associated with φ , so that $\varphi = \langle \cdot, \xi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi \rangle$ with a cyclic and separating vector ξ_φ on a Hilbert space H . Consider the following normal state $\bar{\varphi}$ on $\ell^\infty = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$:

$$\bar{\varphi}((x_n)_n) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \varphi(x_n), \quad (x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M).$$

Let $\pi_{\text{Gol}} : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(H_{\text{Gol}})$ be the GNS representation of $\bar{\varphi}$ with a cyclic vector $\bar{\xi}$ satisfying $\bar{\varphi} = \langle \cdot, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi} \rangle$. Let e_ω be the projection of H_{Gol} onto $\pi_{\text{Gol}}(\ell^\infty)' \bar{\xi}$. Define

$$\mathcal{R} := e_\omega \pi_{\text{Gol}}(\ell^\infty)'' e_\omega \subset \mathbb{B}(e_\omega H_{\text{Gol}}).$$

Let $\overline{M}_d$ be the subspace of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$ consisting of constant sequences $(x, x, \dots)_n$, $x \in M$. Then the *asymptotic algebra* C_M^ω of M is defined by

$$C_M^\omega := \mathcal{R} \cap \pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{M}_d)' \subset \mathbb{B}(e_\omega H_{\text{Gol}}).$$

Moreover, φ induces a normal faithful state $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{R}$, whence a state $\dot{\varphi} := \tilde{\varphi}|_{C_M^\omega}$ on C_M^ω . He then proved the following interesting property: let

$$\overline{N} := \{\bar{x} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M); \pi_{\text{Gol}}(\bar{x})e_\omega, \pi_{\text{Gol}}(\bar{x}^*)e_\omega \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

Then ([Gol1], Lemma 2.3.3) $\mathcal{R} = \pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{N})e_\omega$, and we have ([Gol1], Lemma 2.3.5)

$$\sigma_t^{\tilde{\varphi}}(\pi_{\text{Gol}}(\bar{x})e_\omega) = \pi_{\text{Gol}}((\sigma_t^\varphi(x_n)))e_\omega, \quad \bar{x} = (x_n)_n \in \overline{N}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Based on the above, he proved that both (the isomorphism class of) C_M^ω and $\dot{\varphi}$ were independent of the choice of φ , and its point spectra characterized Araki's ([Ara2]) property $L'_\lambda: M \overline{\otimes} R_\lambda \cong M$ ([Gol1], Theorem 2.5.2). Moreover, Golodets and Nessonov proved ([GoNe], Lemma 1.1) that its centralizer $(C_M^\omega)_{\dot{\varphi}}$ is isomorphic to M_ω . It seems that these works have not been widely recognized, possibly because most of his works were written in Russian. It is not clear from his definition if $\mathcal{R}$ or C_M^ω is related to Ocneanu's constructions. We show in §3.5 that Golodets' construction is equivalent to Ocneanu's one.

On the other hand, the development of non-commutative integration theory for von Neumann algebras suggests to seek for a notion of "ultraproduct $\widetilde{M}^\omega$ " of M so that the Banach space ultraproduct $(L^p(M))_\omega$ of non-commutative L^p -space (in the sense of [Haa4]) for M is isometrically isomorphic to $L^p(\widetilde{M}^\omega)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$). In that viewpoint, it is not the Ocneanu ultraproduct M^ω that plays the role. For example, if one uses the Ocneanu ultraproduct, $\mathbb{B}(H)^\omega = \mathbb{B}(H)$ holds (e.g., [MaTo]), while $L^1(\mathbb{B}(H))_\omega = (\mathbb{B}(H)_*)_\omega$ is much larger than $\mathbb{B}(H)_*$ if $\dim(H) = \infty$. The right definition of the ultraproduct $\widetilde{M}^\omega$ in this context was given by Groh and Raynaud. More precisely, Groh [Gro] showed that the ultraproduct of the predual M_* of a von Neumann algebra M can be regarded as the predual of some huge von Neumann algebra $\widetilde{M}^\omega$: consider the Banach space ultrapower $(M_*)_\omega$ (resp. $(M)_\omega$) of the predual M_* (resp. M), and define a map $J_G : (M_*)_\omega \rightarrow ((M)_\omega)^*$ by

$$\langle x, J_G(\hat{\psi}) \rangle := \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \psi_n(x_n), \quad x = (x_n)_\omega \in (M)_\omega, \quad \hat{\psi} = (\psi_n)_\omega \in (M_*)_\omega.$$

Then it holds that ([Gro], Proposition 2.2 (b)) J_G is an isometric embedding and its range $J_G((M_*)_\omega)$ is a translation-invariant subspace of $((M)_\omega)^*$, whence there exists a central projection $z \in ((M)_\omega)^{**}$ such that $J_G((M_*)_\omega) = ((M)_\omega)^* z$. Therefore $J_G((M_*)_\omega)$ can be regarded as the predual of the W^* -algebra $((M)_\omega)^{**} z$. Then almost two decades later, a more handy construction was given by Raynaud [Ray]: fix a representation π of M on a Hilbert space H so that each $\varphi \in M_*^+$ is represented as a vector functional. Consider the Banach space ultrapower $(M)_\omega$ and regard it as a C^* -subalgebra of $\mathbb{B}(H)_\omega$. Define $J_R : \mathbb{B}(H)_\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$ (H_ω is the ultrapower Hilbert space of H) by

$$J_R(x)\xi := (x_n \xi_n)_\omega, \quad x = (x_n)_\omega \in \mathbb{B}(H)_\omega, \quad \xi = (\xi_n)_\omega \in H_\omega.$$

Then it holds ([Ray], Theorem 1.1) that $(M_*)_\omega$ is isometrically isomorphic to the predual of the von Neumann algebra $\widetilde{M}^\omega$ generated by $J_R((M)_\omega)$. We write $\widetilde{M}^\omega$ as $\prod^\omega M$ (where we choose the standard representation) and call it the *Groh-Raynaud ultrapower* of M . Raynaud also showed that $\prod^\omega M$ has such nice behaviors as $L^p(M)_\omega \cong L^p(\prod^\omega M)$ completely isometrically, and $\prod^\omega M' = (\prod^\omega M)'$. The Groh-Raynaud ultrapower was effectively used in e.g., Junge's work on Fubini Theorem [Jun]. On the other hand the Groh-Raynaud ultrapower has drawbacks too. In general, even if M has separable predual, $\prod^\omega M$ is not even σ -finite (there is no faithful normal state), while M^ω is always σ -finite when M is. Moreover, the center of $\prod^\omega M$ can be much larger than M^ω : for example, Raynaud [Ray] showed that $\prod^\mathcal{U} \mathbb{B}(H)$ ($\dim(H) = \infty$), is not semifinite for a free ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on a suitable index set I . It seems that there has been no attempts to consider the relationships among the Ocneanu ultraproducts, the Groh-Raynaud ultraproducts and Golodets' asymptotic algebras.

We show that all these ultraproducts are closely related, and the study of one helps that of the other in an essential way. Using the connection, we show some interesting phenomena of the Ocneanu ultraproducts of type III factors which do not appear in the tracial case.

The outline of the paper is as follows.

In §2 we fix notations and recall basic facts about Tomita-Takesaki theory and Arveson-Connes' spectral theory for automorphism groups.

In §3, we define the Ocneanu and the Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct. Here we use a more general definitions than the original ones. Namely, we use a sequence of von Neumann algebras $\{M_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ (and a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of normal faithful states for the Ocneanu case) to construct $\prod^\omega M_n$ (and $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$). We will see in later sections that this is not a formal generalization, but has actual implications. We show that each $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$ corresponds to a corner of $\prod^\omega M_n$ (Theorem 3.7, Corollary 3.16). Then generalizing the result of Raynaud, we prove in Theorem 3.19 that the Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct preserves the standard form of von Neumann algebras. As part of the proof, we show (Lemma 3.20) that the condition $JxJ = x^*$, $x \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$, which is one of four conditions in the axioms of standard form, automatically follows from the other three conditions. This will be important for the proof of Theorem 3.19, for $\mathcal{Z}(\prod^\omega M_n)$ can be strictly larger than $\prod^\omega \mathcal{Z}(M_n)$ (here, $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ is the center of M). In §3.5, we show that Golodets' asymptotic algebra is equivalent to Ocneanu's central sequence algebra. More precisely, we have $\mathcal{R} \cong M^\omega$ and under this

*-isomorphism, C_M^ω is mapped to $M' \cap M^\omega$ (Theorem 3.30). Thus we have clarified the relationships among all the existing notions of ultraproducts of von Neumann algebras.

In §4 we show how modular structure of the original algebra M reflects the structure of the Ocneanu ultrapower M^ω . We first show (Theorem 4.1) that the ultraproduct action of the sequence of modular automorphism groups $\{\sigma_t^{\varphi_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ on the Ocneanu ultraproduct $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$ is equal to the modular automorphism group of $\varphi^\omega = (\varphi_n)^\omega : (\sigma_t^{\varphi_n})^\omega = \sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). This in particular means that $t \mapsto (\sigma_t^{\varphi_n})^\omega$ is a continuous flow. This is somewhat surprising, because the ultrapower of continuous flows tend to be highly discontinuous on M^ω (see Masuda-Tomatsu's recent work on Rokhlin flows [MaTo]). The corresponding result in the case of constant sequence of algebras was obtained by Golodets [Gol1] for his auxiliary algebra $\mathcal{R}$, by Raynaud for the corner of $\prod^\omega M$ which corresponds to the Ocneanu ultrapower. Theorem 4.1 will be the key tool for our analysis that follows. For instance, it follows that a sequence $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$ if and only if $(x_n)_n$ can be approximated by sequences $(y_n)_n$ which belongs to a compact spectral subspace for σ^φ (Proposition 4.11). Δ_{φ^ω} behaves like the “ultrapower of Δ_φ ”, although $(\Delta_\varphi)^\omega$ is not a well-defined object. For example, it has such properties as $\sigma(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) = \sigma(\Delta_\varphi)$ and $\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_n \xi_\varphi)_\omega = (\Delta_\varphi^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n \xi_\varphi)_\omega$ for $(x_n)^\omega \in M^\omega$ (Corollary 4.8). Similar result to the latter is obtained by Golodets [Gol1]). On the other hand, unlike the case of the ultrapower of bounded operators, the point spectra $\sigma_p(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega})$ can be strictly smaller than $\sigma(\Delta_\varphi) \setminus \{0\}$ (Proposition 4.9). In §4.2, we show that the Ocneanu ultrapower M^ω of σ -finite type III₁ factor M has *strictly homogeneous state space*. That is, any two normal faithful states are unitarily equivalent (Theorem 4.20). We also show that no type III₁ factor with separable predual has strictly homogeneous state space (Proposition 4.22), and if a σ -finite type III₁ factor M has this property, the centralizer of any normal faithful state on M is a factor of type II₁ (Proposition 4.24). In §4.3, we extend Theorem 4.1 to the ultrapower φ^ω of a normal faithful semifinite weight on a σ -finite von Neumann algebra (Lemma 4.26), and prove that if φ is lacunary (that is, 1 is isolated in $\sigma(\Delta_\varphi)$), then $(M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega} \cong (M_\varphi)^\omega$ holds (Proposition 4.27). In §4.4, we reinterpret the main results of Golodets work [Gol1] mentioned above from our viewpoint. In particular, we introduce the *Golodets state* $\dot{\varphi}_\omega = \varphi^\omega|_{M' \cap M^\omega}$ and show that $\dot{\varphi}_\omega$ does not depend on the choice of φ if M is a factor (Proposition 4.29), and in that case M has Araki's property L'_λ ($0 < \lambda < 1$) if and only if λ is the eigenvalue of $\Delta_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}$ (Theorem 4.32). Moreover, we show that the centralizer $(M' \cap M^\omega)_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}$ of the Golodets state $\dot{\varphi}_\omega$ is precisely Connes' asymptotic centralizer M_ω (Proposition 4.34), which will play a key role in the next section.

In §5, we consider the subtle difference between M_ω and $M' \cap M^\omega$ for σ -finite type III factors. In general, $M_\omega \subset M' \cap M^\omega$ holds. However, while M_ω is a finite von Neumann algebra, $M' \cap M^\omega$ can be of type III (Example 5.2). However, based on the analysis of free product type III factors, Ueda ([Ueda1], § 5.2) asked the following:

Question 1.1. Does $M_\omega = \mathbb{C}$ imply $M' \cap M^\omega = \mathbb{C}$?

We give (Theorem 5.3) an affirmative answer to the question for separable predual case. Moreover, we show that for a σ -finite type III₀ factor M , $M_\omega = M' \cap M^\omega$ holds (Proposition 5.5).

In §6, we consider the following questions:

Question 1.2. Let $(\varphi_n)_n$ be a sequence of normal faithful states on a σ -finite factor M .

- (1) Are M^ω and $\prod^\omega M$ factor too? If so, what are their types?
- (2) Does $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega$ depend on the choice of $(\varphi_n)_n$?
- (3) Is $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega$ (semi-) finite if M is (semi-) finite?
- (4) Is $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega$ of type III if M is of type III?

For (1), if M is of finite type, it is well-known that M^ω is also a finite type factor. Also, it is known that M^ω is a type I_∞ (resp. type II_∞) factor if so is M (Theorem 6.1). However, the situation for the Groh-Raynaud ultrapower is different: we show that $\prod^\omega R$ is not semifinite (and not a factor), where R is the hyperfinite type II_1 factor (Theorem 6.4). Type III case is more interesting: we show that if M is a σ -finite type III_λ ($0 < \lambda \leq 1$) factor, then both M^ω and $\prod^\omega M$ are type III_λ factors (Theorem 6.11). On the other hand, if M is of type III_0 , then M^ω is not a factor (Theorem 6.18). Moreover, $\prod^\omega M$ has a semifinite component and is not a factor (Remark 6.17). As for (2), we show that if M is of type III_λ ($0 < \lambda \leq 1$), then $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega \cong M^\omega$ and therefore $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega$ does not depend on $(\varphi_n)_n$ (Theorem 6.11). However, regarding (3)(4), there exists $(\varphi_n)_n$ such that $(R, \varphi_n)^\omega$ is not semifinite (Proposition 6.3). Also, if M is of type III_0 , then there exists $(\varphi_n)_n$ such that $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega \cong (M_{\varphi_n})^\omega$ is of finite type (Theorem 6.13).

2 General Backgrounds and Notations

First we fix a notation and recall basics facts about ultraproducts. Throughout the paper, ω denotes the fixed free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$ (in fact, many of the results and proofs in this paper are the same for free ultrafilters on any set). For a von Neumann algebra M on a Hilbert space H , $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ denotes the center of M , and $S_n(M)$ (resp. $S_{nf}(M)$) denotes the space of normal (resp. normal faithful) states on M . As usual, we define two seminorms $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$, $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^\sharp$ for $\varphi \in S_n(M)$ by

$$\|x\|_\varphi := \varphi(x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|x\|_\varphi^\sharp := \varphi(x^*x + xx^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in M.$$

If M is σ -finite and φ is faithful, $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$ (resp. $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^\sharp$) defines the strong (resp. strong*) topology on the unit ball of M . The support projection of a normal state φ is written as $\text{supp}(\varphi)$. For a projection $p \in M$, $z_M(p)$ denotes the central support of p in M . $\mathcal{U}(M)$ is the group of unitaries in M . $\text{vN}(H)$ denotes the space of all von Neumann algebras acting on H . $\text{Ball}(M)$ is the closed unit ball of M . For a self-adjoint operator A on H , $\text{dom}(A)$ is the domain of definition of A , $\sigma(A)$ (resp. $\sigma_p(A)$) denotes the spectra (resp. point spectra) of A . The range (resp. the domain) of A is written as $\text{ran}(A)$ (resp. $\text{dom}(A)$). $G(A) = \{(\xi, A\xi); \xi \in \text{dom}(A)\}$ is the graph of A . We denote the sequence of elements of a set like $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. However, we also use the notation $(a_n)_n$ when we think of the sequence as an element in an algebra such as $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{B}(H))$. For a unit vector $\xi \in H$, the corresponding vector state is denoted as ω_ξ .

2.1 Ultraproduct of Banach Spaces

Let $(E_n)_n$ be a sequence of Banach spaces, and let $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, E_n)$ be the Banach space of all sequences $(x_n)_n \in \prod_{n=1}^\infty E_n$ with $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|x_n\| < \infty$ with the norm $\|(a_n)_n\| = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|a_n\|$, $(a_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, E_n)$. The *Banach space ultraproduct* $(E_n)_\omega$ is defined as the quotient $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, E_n)/\mathcal{I}_\omega$, where $\mathcal{I}_\omega$ is the closed subspace of all $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, E_n)$ which satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n\| = 0$. An element of $(E_n)_\omega$ represented by $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, E_n)$ is written as $(x_n)_\omega$. One has $\|(x_n)_\omega\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n\|$, $(x_n)_\omega \in (E_n)_\omega$. If $(H_n)_n$ is a sequence of Hilbert spaces, then $(H_n)_\omega$ is again a Hilbert space with the inner product given by

$$\langle (\xi_n)_\omega, (\eta_n)_\omega \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle \xi_n, \eta_n \rangle, \quad (\xi_n)_\omega, (\eta_n)_\omega \in (H_n)_\omega.$$

For a sequence $(A_n)_n$ of C^* -algebras, $(A_n)_\omega$ is again a C^* -algebra when equipped with the pointwise multiplication and involution of sequences [Hei], §3.1. However, the Banach space ultraproduct of von Neumann algebras is not a von Neumann algebra in general (cf. Remark 3.6).

2.2 Modular Theory

We make a brief summary of modular theory needed for our purpose. We refer to vol. II of [TakBook] for details. In particular we omit the modular theory for weights/Hilbert algebras, which will be used only for Proposition 4.27 and Theorem 6.18. Let M be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra, and let $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. Using GNS representation $(M, \pi_\varphi, H, \xi_\varphi)$, φ is represented as a vector state ω_{ξ_φ} and $\xi_\varphi \in H$ is a cyclic and separating vector for M (we identify $x \in M$ with $\pi_\varphi(x)$). Then the following operator S_φ^0 ,

$$\text{dom}(S_\varphi^0) := M\xi_\varphi, \quad S_\varphi^0 x \xi_\varphi := x^* \xi_\varphi, \quad x \in M,$$

is a densely defined anti-linear operator on H . Since S_φ^0 is closable, we may consider the polar decomposition $S_\varphi = J_\varphi \Delta_\varphi^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of its closure. It can be shown that J_φ is an anti-linear involution and Δ_φ is a positive, invertible self-adjoint operator on H . Furthermore, $J_\varphi \xi_\varphi = \Delta_\varphi \xi_\varphi = \xi_\varphi$ and $J_\varphi \Delta_\varphi J_\varphi = \Delta_\varphi^{-1}$ hold. J_φ (resp. Δ_φ) is called the *modular conjugation operator* (resp. *modular operator*) of φ . Tomita's fundamental Theorem [Tak1] states that

$$J_\varphi M J_\varphi = M', \quad \Delta_\varphi^{it} M \Delta_\varphi^{-it} = M \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore

$$\sigma_t^\varphi(x) := \Delta_\varphi^{it} x \Delta_\varphi^{-it}, \quad x \in M, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

defines a one parameter automorphism group of M , called the *modular automorphism group* of φ .

Next we recall Arveson-Connes' spectral theory for automorphism groups. Since we apply the theory only to modular automorphism group, we present the case of one-parameter automorphism group only. In the sequel we identify the dual group $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ of the additive group $\mathbb{R}$ with itself. For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, we define the Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ by

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\lambda} f(t) dt, \quad \lambda \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}.$$

We also define $\sigma_f^\varphi(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \sigma_t^\varphi(x) dt$ ($x \in M$).

- (1) For $x \in M$, $\text{Sp}_{\sigma^\varphi}(x)$ is defined by

$$\left\{ \lambda \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}; \hat{f}(\lambda) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ with } \sigma_f^\varphi(x) = 0 \right\}.$$

- (2) The *Arveson spectrum* of σ^φ , denoted by $\text{Sp}(\sigma^\varphi)$ is the set

$$\left\{ \lambda \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}; \hat{f}(\lambda) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ with } \sigma_f^\varphi = 0 \right\}.$$

It is shown that $\text{Sp}(\sigma^\varphi) = \log(\sigma(\Delta_\varphi) \setminus \{0\})$.

- (3) For a subset E of $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$, the *spectral subspace* of σ^φ corresponding to E is given by

$$M(\sigma^\varphi, E) := \{x \in M; \text{Sp}_{\sigma^\varphi}(x) \subset E\}.$$

The fixed point subalgebra $M(\sigma^\varphi, \{0\})$ is called the *centralizer* of φ , and is written as M_φ . It is known that $M_\varphi = \{x \in M; \varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx), y \in M\}$, and it is always a finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful trace $\varphi|_{M_\varphi}$. The spectral subspaces have the following properties:

- (i) $M(\sigma^\varphi, E)^* = M(\sigma^\varphi, -E)$.
 - (ii) $M(\sigma^\varphi, E)M(\sigma^\varphi, F) \subset M(\sigma^\varphi, \overline{E + F})$.
 - (iii) $\lambda \in \text{Sp}(\sigma^\varphi)$ if and only if $M(\sigma^\varphi, E) \neq \{0\}$ for any closed neighborhood E of λ .
- (4) The *Connes spectrum* of σ^φ , denoted by $\Gamma(\sigma^\varphi)$, is given by

$$\Gamma(\sigma^\varphi) = \bigcap_{e \in \text{Proj}(M_\varphi)} \text{Sp}(\sigma^{\varphi_e}).$$

Here, for $e \in \text{Proj}(M_\varphi)$, σ^{φ_e} is the restricted action of σ^φ to the reduced algebra M_e , which coincides with the modular automorphism group of $\varphi|_{M_e}$. It holds that

$$\Gamma(\sigma^\varphi) = \bigcap_{0 \neq e \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{Z}(M_\varphi))} \text{Sp}(\sigma^{\varphi_e}),$$

whence $\Gamma(\sigma^\varphi) = \text{Sp}(\sigma^\varphi)$ if M_φ is a factor.

- (5) Let M be a σ -finite factor. The Connes' *S-invariant* is defined by

$$S(M) = \bigcap_{\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)} \sigma(\Delta_\varphi),$$

It is shown that $S(M) \setminus \{0\}$ is a closed multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_+^* = (0, \infty)$, and $\Gamma(\sigma^\varphi) = \log(S(M) \setminus \{0\})$. A σ -finite type III factor M is called of

- (i) type III_0 if $S(M) = \{0, 1\}$.
- (ii) type III_λ if $S(M) = \{\lambda^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$).
- (iii) type III_1 if $S(M) = [0, \infty)$.

For general factors, one needs to use normal faithful semifinite weights to define the *S-invariant*. However, the above classification of type III factors will not be affected by this change.

3 Ultraproduct of von Neumann Algebras

3.1 The Ocneanu Ultraproduct

We first introduce the Ocneanu ultraproduct of a family of von Neumann algebras along ω , with respect to a sequence of their states. This is a slight generalization of the construction of Ocneanu ([Oc], §5) for a single algebra with a single state, and of the construction in [HW1], §5 for tracial states; both generalize classical notions studied by Sakai [Sak] and McDuff [McD].

Specifically, let $(M_n)_n$ be a sequence of σ -finite von Neumann algebras, and let φ_n be a normal faithful state on M_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. With a slight abuse of notation, put

$$\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n) := \left\{ (x_n)_n \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n; \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{I}_\omega(M_n, \varphi_n) := \left\{ (x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n); \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n\|_{\varphi_n}^\# = 0 \right\},$$

and also, with the abbreviated notation $\mathcal{I}_\omega$ for $\mathcal{I}_\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$, let

$$\mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n) := \{ (x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n); (x_n)_n \mathcal{I}_\omega \subset \mathcal{I}_\omega, \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_\omega(x_n)_n \subset \mathcal{I}_\omega \}.$$

It is then apparent that $\mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$ is a C*-algebra (with pointwise operations and supremum norm) in which $\mathcal{I}_\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$ is a closed ideal. We then define

$$(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega := \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n) / \mathcal{I}_\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$$

(the quotient C*-algebra). By the same proof as in [Oc], §5.2, one gets:

Proposition 3.1. *With the above notations, $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$ is a W*-algebra.*

We denote the image of $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$ in $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$ as $(x_n)^\omega$. Then we have the following straightforward generalization of [Oc], §5.1:

Proposition 3.2. *The following defines a normal faithful state $(\varphi_n)^\omega$ on $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$:*

$$(\varphi_n)^\omega((x_n)^\omega) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \varphi_n(x_n), \quad (x_n)^\omega \in (M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega.$$

The special case considered by Ocneanu is the following: all M_n are equal to a fixed von Neumann algebra M , and all φ_n are equal to a fixed normal faithful state φ on M . In this case, we denote $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$ by M^ω , since the latter algebra does not depend on φ (in fact, $\mathcal{I}_\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$ determines the same set of bounded sequences for different state φ); we also denote $(\varphi_n)^\omega$ by φ^ω .

3.2 The Groh-Raynaud Ultraproduct

In this section, we define Groh [Gro]-Raynaud [Ray]'s ultraproduct of a sequence of von Neumann algebras, which is in a rather direct way related to the ultraproduct of C*-algebras and Hilbert spaces.

Let $(H_n)_n$ be a sequence of Hilbert spaces, and let $H_\omega := (H_n)_\omega$. Let $(\mathbb{B}(H_n))_\omega$ be the Banach space ultraproduct of $(\mathbb{B}(H_n))_n$.

Definition 3.3. Define $\pi_\omega : (\mathbb{B}(H_n))_\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$ by

$$\pi_\omega((a_n)_\omega)(\xi_n)_\omega := (a_n \xi_n)_\omega, \quad (a_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{B}(H_n)), \quad (\xi_n)_\omega \in H_\omega.$$

It is easy to check that $\pi_\omega((a_n)_\omega)$ is a well-defined *-homomorphism, and since

$$\|\pi_\omega((a_n)_\omega)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|a_n\| = \|(a_n)_\omega\|, \quad (a_n)_\omega \in (\mathbb{B}(H_n))_\omega,$$

π_ω is injective.

Lemma 3.4. $\pi_\omega((\mathbb{B}(H_n))_\omega)$ is strongly dense in $\mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$.

Proof. Let $\xi = (\xi_n)_\omega \in H_\omega$ and let $p_n \in \mathbb{B}(H_n)$ be the projection onto $\text{span}(\xi_n) \subset H_n$. Then $p := \pi_\omega((p_n)_\omega)$ is the projection onto $\text{span}(\xi) \subset H_\omega$, as for any $\eta = (\eta_n)_\omega \in H_\omega$ and $\zeta = (\zeta_n)_\omega \in H_\omega$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p\eta, \zeta \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle (p\eta)_n, \zeta_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle p_n \eta_n, \zeta_n \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle \eta_n, \xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, \zeta_n \rangle = \langle \eta, \xi \rangle \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle \eta, \xi \rangle \xi, \zeta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that any rank one projection in $\mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$ is contained in the subalgebra $\pi_\omega(\mathbb{B}(H_n)_\omega)$. Therefore $\pi_\omega((\mathbb{B}(H_n))_\omega)$ generates $\mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$ as a von Neumann algebra. $\square$

Definition 3.5. Let $(M_n)_n$ be a sequence of W^* -algebras.

- (1) Let $M_n \subset \mathbb{B}(H_n)$ be a fixed faithful representation of M_n on a Hilbert space H_n . The *abstract ultraproduct* of the sequence $(M_n, H_n)_n$ is defined as the strong operator closure of $\pi_\omega((M_n)_\omega)$ in $\mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$, and is denoted as $\prod^\omega(M_n, H_n)$.
- (2) The *Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct* of $(M_n)_n$, denoted simply as $\prod^\omega M_n$ is defined as $\prod^\omega M_n := \prod^\omega(M_n, H_n)$, where we choose the standard representation of M_n .

From Lemma 3.4, it follows that

$$\prod_{n \rightarrow \omega} (\mathbb{B}(H_n), H_n) = \mathbb{B}(H_\omega).$$

However, note that the Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct $\prod^\omega \mathbb{B}(H_n)$ is not equal to $\mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$.

Remark 3.6. Let H be a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. We remark that although $\pi_\omega(\mathbb{B}(H)_\omega)$ is strongly dense in $\mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$, π_ω is not surjective. To see this, using the weak compactness of the unit ball of H_ω , define $P \in \mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$ by

$$P(\xi_n)_\omega := (\xi), \quad \xi := \text{weak-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \xi_n, \quad (\xi_n)_\omega \in H_\omega.$$

P is well-defined and is bounded, because for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle P(\xi_n)_\omega, P(\xi_n)_\omega \rangle| &= |\langle \xi, \xi \rangle| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} |\langle \xi_k, \xi_n \rangle| \\ &\leq \|(\xi_n)_\omega\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $P \in \mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$. It is easy to see that $P^2 = P$ holds. We show that $P \notin \pi(\mathbb{B}(H)_\omega)$. Assume by contradiction that there is a bounded sequence $(p_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{B}(H))$ such that $\pi_\omega((p_n)_\omega) = P$ holds. This means that if a bounded sequence $(\xi_n)_n$ in H converges weakly to $\xi \in H$, then $\|p_n \xi_n - \xi\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \omega$).

Step 1. We first show that $P = P^*$, hence P is a projection (onto the closed subspace H of H_ω). Let $(\xi_n)_n, (\eta_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, H)$ and let $\xi = \text{weak-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \xi_n, \eta = \text{weak-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \eta_n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P(\xi_n)_\omega, (\eta_n)_\omega \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle \xi, \eta_n \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle \xi_n, \eta \rangle = \langle (\xi_n)_\omega, P(\eta_n)_\omega \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

whence $P = P^*$ holds.

Step 2. If a sequence of projections $(p_n)_n$ converges strongly to 1 along ω , there exists a sequence $(\eta_n)_n$ of unit vectors in H such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N}; \eta_n \in \text{ran}(p_n)\} \in \omega$ and $\eta_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \omega$) weakly.

To see this, fix an orthonormal base $(e_n)_n$ of H . Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|p_n e_1 - e_1\| = 0$, we have

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N}; \|p_n e_1 - e_1\| < \frac{1}{3} \right\} \subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N}; \|p_n e_1\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\} =: I_1 \in \omega.$$

Define $l_n := \max\{1 \leq j \leq n; \|p_n e_j\| \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ for each $n \in I_1$. We then define $(\eta_n)_n$ by

$$\eta_n := \begin{cases} \frac{p_n e_{l_n}}{\|p_n e_{l_n}\|} & (n \in I_1) \\ e_1 & (n \notin I_1). \end{cases}$$

Next, suppose $i \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ are given. Let

$$I_2 := \{n \in \mathbb{N}; \|p_n e_i - e_i\| < \varepsilon/2\} \in \omega.$$

Since P is identity on the subspace H consisting of constant vectors, we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} p_n = 1$ strongly. Therefore the set I_3 defined by

$$I_3 := \{n \in \mathbb{N}; l_n > i\}$$

belongs to ω as well. Then for each $n \in I := I_1 \cap I_2 \cap I_3 \in \omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \eta_n, e_i \rangle| &\leq \frac{1}{\|p_n e_{l_n}\|} \{|\langle e_{l_n}, p_n e_i - e_i \rangle| + |\langle e_{l_n}, e_i \rangle|\} \\ &\leq 2\|p_n e_i - e_i\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle \eta_n, e_i \rangle = 0$. Since $i \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary, we obtain the claim.

Step 3. We get a contradiction.

Since $P = \pi((p_n)_\omega)$ is a projection, we may choose p_n to be a projection for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see e.g., [GeHa], Proposition 2.1 (4)). Since $\text{sot-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} p_n = 1$, by Step 2, there exists a sequence of unit vectors $(\eta_n)_n$ such that $J := \{n \in$

$\mathbb{N}; \eta_n \in \text{ran}(p_n)\} \in \omega$ and $\text{weak-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \eta_n = 0$. Then, by definition, we have $P(\eta_n)_\omega = 0$. However, for $n \in J$, we have $\|p_n \eta_n\| = \|\eta_n\| = 1$, hence $p_n \eta_n$ does not tend to 0 along ω . This is a contradiction. Hence P is not in the range of π .

3.3 Relationship between $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$ and $\prod^\omega M_n$

As we have seen, there are two notions of ultraproducts for von Neumann algebras. The following theorem explains the relation between the Ocneanu ultraproduct and the Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct:

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(M_n)_n$ be a sequence of σ -finite von Neumann algebras and let a normal faithful state φ_n on M_n be given for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. With each M_n acting standardly on $H_n = L^2(M_n, \varphi_n)$, and $\prod^\omega M_n \subset \mathbb{B}((H_n)_\omega)$. Also let $M^\omega = (M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$, $\varphi^\omega = (\varphi_n)^\omega$, and define $w : L^2(M^\omega, \varphi^\omega) \rightarrow (H_n)_\omega$ by*

$$w(x_n)^\omega \xi_{\varphi^\omega} := (x_n \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega, \quad (x_n)^\omega \in M^\omega.$$

Then w is an isometry, and $w^*(\prod^\omega M_n)w = M^\omega$.

To ease notation, let $N = \prod^\omega M_n$ in the sequel. That w is indeed an isometry is seen by direct calculation. To show the identity $w^*Nw = M^\omega$, we need to study the following subsets of $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$ (for which we use the indicated short notations):

$$\ell^\infty := \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\omega := \left\{ (x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty; \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \varphi_n(x_n^* x_n) = 0 \right\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_\omega^* := \{ (x_n^*)_n; (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega \}$$

$$\mathcal{M}^\omega := \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n), \quad \mathcal{I}_\omega := \mathcal{I}_\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$$

Lemma 3.8. $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ is a closed left ideal of ℓ^∞ , and $\mathcal{I}_\omega = \mathcal{L}_\omega \cap \mathcal{L}_\omega^*$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ is a C^* -subalgebra of ℓ^∞ . Let $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$ and $(a_n)_n \in \ell^\infty$. Then we have $\varphi(x_n^* a_n^* a_n x_n) \leq \|a_n\|^2 \varphi(x_n^* x_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \omega} 0$. Therefore $(a_n x_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$ and $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ is a closed left ideal of ℓ^∞ . The last claim is obvious. $\square$

Before going further, we prove a result about hereditary C^* -subalgebras. Recall the following

Theorem 3.9 ([Mu], Theorem 3.2.1). *Let A be a C^* -algebra. If L is a closed left ideal in A , then $L \cap L^*$ is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of A . The map $L \mapsto B(L) := L \cap L^*$ is a bijection from the set of closed left ideals of A onto the set of hereditary C^* -subalgebras of A . The inverse of the map is given by $B \mapsto L(B)$, where B is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of A and*

$$L(B) := \{a \in A; a^* a \in B\}.$$

Lemma 3.10. *Let A be a C^* -algebra, and let L be a closed left ideal of A . Let $B = L \cap L^*$ be the corresponding hereditary C^* -subalgebra of A , and let M be the two-sided multiplier of B :*

$$M := \{a \in A; aB \subset B, Ba \subset B\}.$$

Then we have

$$(1) \quad LM \subset L, ML^* \subset L^*.$$

$$(2) \quad M \cap (L + L^*) = B.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that M is a C^* -subalgebra of A .

(1) Let $a \in L$ and $x \in M$. Then $a^*a \in L^*L \subset L \cap L^* = B$. Therefore $x^*a^*ax \in B$. By Theorem 3.9, $L = L(B)$ implies that $ax \in L$. Therefore $LM \subset L$. Taking the adjoint, we obtain $ML^* \subset L^*$.

(2) We show the claim in two steps.

Step 1. $M \cap L = M \cap L^* = B$.

Since M and B are self-adjoint, it suffices to show that $M \cap L = B$. Since B is a C^* -algebra, it is clear that $B \subset M \cap L$. Conversely, suppose $x \in M \cap L$. Then $x^* \in L^*$ holds, and hence $x^*x \in L^*L \subset L \cap L^* = B$. On the other hand, as $x \in M$, we have $x(x^*x)x^* \in B$, which implies that $xx^* = \{x(x^*x)x^*\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \in B$. Then by Theorem 3.9, again, $x^* \in L = L(B) \Leftrightarrow x \in L^*$ holds. Hence $x \in L \cap L^* = B$.

Step 2. $M \cap (L + L^*) = B$.

By Step 1, it suffices to show that $M \cap (L + L^*) = (M \cap L) + (M \cap L^*)$. It is clear that $(M \cap L) + (M \cap L^*) \subset M \cap (L + L^*)$. Conversely, suppose $x \in M \cap (L + L^*)$. Then there is $y \in L, z \in L^*$ such that $x = y + z$ holds. We show that $y, z \in M$. Let $b \in B$. Then $yb \in L$. Furthermore, $yb = xb - zb$ is in L^* , because $b \in B, x \in M$ implies that $xb \in B = L \cap L^*$ and $z \in L^*$. Therefore $yB \subset B$. On the other hand, $by \in L \cap L^*$ ($y \in L, b \in L^*$) holds. Therefore $By \subset B$. This shows that $y \in M$. Similarly, we have $z \in M$. Therefore $M \cap (L + L^*) = (M \cap L) + (M \cap L^*)$ holds. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.11. *We have*

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{L}_\omega \mathcal{M}^\omega \subset \mathcal{L}_\omega, \mathcal{M}^\omega \mathcal{L}_\omega^* \subset \mathcal{L}_\omega^*.$$

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{M}^\omega \cap (\mathcal{L}_\omega + \mathcal{L}_\omega^*) = \mathcal{I}_\omega.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, we can apply Lemma 3.10 to $A = \ell^\infty, L = \mathcal{L}_\omega, M = \mathcal{M}^\omega, B = \mathcal{I}_\omega$. $\square$

Now, let $\xi_\omega := (\xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega \in (H_n)_\omega$ and let

$$\varphi_\omega(x) := \langle x\xi_\omega, \xi_\omega \rangle, \quad x \in N.$$

Then φ_ω is a normal state on N .

Definition 3.12. We denote by p the support projection of φ_ω , which is the projection onto $\overline{N'\xi_\omega}$.

For simplicity, we shall mostly write $\pi_\omega(x)$ as just x in the following (for $x \in (M_n)_\omega$).

Next lemma is similar to [Gol1], Lemma 2.2.2 and [RX], Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 3.13. *For all $x \in N$, there is $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty$ such that*

$$(1) \quad x\xi_\omega = (x_n)_\omega \xi_\omega \text{ and } x^*\xi = (x_n^*)_\omega \xi_\omega.$$

$$(2) \quad x - p^\perp x p^\perp = (x_n)_\omega - p^\perp (x_n)_\omega p^\perp.$$

Proof. Consider the following subset of $(H_n)_\omega \oplus (H_n)_\omega$:

$$E := \left\{ ((x_n)_\omega \xi_\omega, (x_n^*)_\omega \xi_\omega); (x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty, \sup_{n \geq 1} \|x_n\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We claim that E is a closed subset of $(H_n)_\omega \oplus (H_n)_\omega$. Indeed, let (η, ζ) be in the closure of E , and choose a sequence $\{(x_n^k)_n\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \ell^\infty$ such that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|x_n^k\| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and such that

$$\|(x_n^k)_\omega \xi_\omega - \eta\| \leq 2^{-k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|(x_n^k)_\omega^* \xi_\omega - \zeta\| \leq 2^{-k-1},$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then in particular we have, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\|(x_n^{k+1})_\omega \xi_\omega - (x_n^k)_\omega \xi_\omega\| \leq 2^{-k} \quad \text{and} \quad \|(x_n^{k+1})_\omega^* \xi_\omega - (x_n^k)_\omega^* \xi_\omega\| \leq 2^{-k},$$

so that if we define

$$F_k := \{n \in \mathbb{N}; \|x_n^{k+1} \xi_{\varphi_n} - x_n^k \xi_{\varphi_n}\| \leq 2^{-k} \text{ and } \|(x_n^{k+1})_\omega^* \xi_{\varphi_n} - (x_n^k)_\omega^* \xi_{\varphi_n}\| \leq 2^{-k}\},$$

then we have $F_k \in \omega$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence with

$$G_k := \{k, k+1, \dots\} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^k F_j,$$

we have $G_k \in \omega$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ because ω is free, and $(G_k)_k$ is a decreasing sequence with empty intersection. In particular,

$$\mathbb{N} = (\mathbb{N} \setminus G_1) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j=1}^\infty (G_j \setminus G_{j+1})$$

(disjoint union). Now, define a sequence $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty$ by

$$x_n := \begin{cases} x_n^1 & (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus G_1), \\ x_n^j & (n \in G_j \setminus G_{j+1}). \end{cases}$$

Then $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|x_n\| \leq 1$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n \in G_k$, then as $G_k = \bigsqcup_{j=k}^\infty (G_j \setminus G_{j+1})$, we may choose $j \geq k$ such that $n \in G_j \setminus G_{j+1}$, so that $x_n = x_n^j$ and as $n \in G_j \subset G_m \subset F_m$ for every $m \leq j$, we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n \xi_{\varphi_n} - x_n^k \xi_{\varphi_n}\| &= \|x_n^j \xi_{\varphi_n} - x_n^k \xi_{\varphi_n}\| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=k}^{j-1} \|x_n^{m+1} \xi_{\varphi_n} - x_n^m \xi_{\varphi_n}\| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=k}^{j-1} 2^{-m} \leq 2^{-k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \in G_k$. It follows that $\|(x_n)_\omega \xi_\omega - (x_n^k)_\omega \xi_\omega\| \leq 2^{-k+1}$, so that

$$\|(x_n)_\omega \xi_\omega - \eta\| \leq \|(x_n)_\omega \xi_\omega - (x_n^k)_\omega \xi_\omega\| + \|(x_n^k)_\omega \xi_\omega - \eta\| \leq 2^{-k+1} + 2^{-k+1}.$$

As $k \in \mathbb{N}$ may be chosen to be arbitrarily big, we conclude that $(x_n)_\omega \xi_\omega = \eta$. The proof that $(x_n^*)_\omega \xi_\omega = \zeta$ is similar. Hence E is closed, as claimed.

We are now ready to prove (1). It clearly suffices to consider $x \in N$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$. By the definition of the Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct, and Kaplansky's Theorem, we may choose a net $\{(x_n^\alpha)_n\}_\alpha \subset \ell^\infty$ such that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|x_n^\alpha\| \leq 1$ for every α and such that $\lim_\alpha (x_n^\alpha)_\omega = x$ in the strong*-topology on N . But then $(x\xi_\omega, x^*\xi_\omega)$ is in the closure of E , hence in E by the previous paragraph, and (1) follows.

Finally, (2) follows from (1): with x and $(x_n)_n$ from there, we have for all $y \in N'$:

$$xy\xi_\omega = yx\xi_\omega = y(x_n)_\omega \xi_\omega = (x_n)_\omega y\xi_\omega,$$

so $xp = (x_n)_\omega p$, and similarly $x^*p = (x_n^*)_\omega p$. Conjugating the latter identity, $px = p(x_n)_\omega$ holds. Now (2) follows easily. $\square$

Proposition 3.14. *There is a vector space isomorphism*

$$\rho : \ell^\infty / \mathcal{I}_\omega \rightarrow pNp \oplus pNp^\perp \oplus p^\perp Np$$

such that $\rho^{-1}(pNp) = \mathcal{M}^\omega / \mathcal{I}_\omega$, $\rho^{-1}(pNp^\perp) = \mathcal{L}_\omega / \mathcal{I}_\omega$, and $\rho^{-1}(p^\perp Np) = \mathcal{L}_\omega^* / \mathcal{I}_\omega$. In particular, we have

$$\ell^\infty = \mathcal{M}^\omega + \mathcal{L}_\omega + \mathcal{L}_\omega^*.$$

Proof. Observe first that for $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty$, we have

$$(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega \Leftrightarrow \|(x_n)_\omega \xi\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow (x_n)_\omega \in Np^\perp.$$

Hence $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^* \Leftrightarrow (x_n)_\omega \in p^\perp N$, so by Lemma 3.8,

$$(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}_\omega = \mathcal{L}_\omega \cap \mathcal{L}_\omega^* \Leftrightarrow (x_n)_\omega \in p^\perp Np^\perp.$$

Hence by letting

$$\rho((x_n)_n / \mathcal{I}_\omega) := (x_n)_\omega - p^\perp (x_n)_\omega p^\perp,$$

we obtain a well-defined injective linear map from $\ell^\infty / \mathcal{I}_\omega$ into $pNp \oplus pNp^\perp \oplus p^\perp Np$, and it is in fact surjective by Lemma 3.13.

By definition and the above, we have for all $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho((x_n)_n / \mathcal{I}_\omega) \in pNp^\perp &\Leftrightarrow (x_n)_\omega - p^\perp (x_n)_\omega p^\perp = p(x_n)_\omega p^\perp \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x_n)_\omega \in Np^\perp \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega, \end{aligned}$$

and from this,

$$\rho((x_n)_n / \mathcal{I}_\omega) \in p^\perp Np \Leftrightarrow (x_n^*)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega \Leftrightarrow (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^*.$$

Therefore we have

$$\rho^{-1}(pNp^\perp) = \mathcal{L}_\omega / \mathcal{I}_\omega, \quad \rho^{-1}(p^\perp Np) = \mathcal{L}_\omega^* / \mathcal{I}_\omega. \quad (1)$$

Finally, if $\rho((x_n)_n/\mathcal{I}_\omega) \in pNp$, and $(y_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}_\omega$, we have $(y_n)_\omega \in p^\perp N p^\perp$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}\rho((x_n y_n)_n/\mathcal{I}_\omega) &= (x_n)_\omega (y_n)_\omega - p^\perp (x_n)_\omega (y_n)_\omega p^\perp \\ &= ((x_n)_\omega - p^\perp (x_n)_\omega p^\perp)(y_n)_\omega \\ &= \rho((x_n)_n/\mathcal{I}_\omega)(y_n)_\omega = 0,\end{aligned}$$

and therefore $(x_n y_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}_\omega$. Similarly $(y_n x_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}_\omega$, so $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$. This shows $\rho^{-1}(pNp) \subset \mathcal{M}^\omega/\mathcal{I}_\omega$. On the other hand, by Eq. (1) and by Corollary 3.11 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^\omega/\mathcal{I}_\omega \cap \rho^{-1}(pNp^\perp \oplus p^\perp Np) &= [\mathcal{M}^\omega \cap (\mathcal{L}_\omega + \mathcal{L}_\omega^*)]/\mathcal{I}_\omega \\ &= \{0\},\end{aligned}$$

whence we have $\rho^{-1}(pNp) = \mathcal{M}^\omega/\mathcal{I}_\omega$.

In particular, $\ell^\infty/\mathcal{I}_\omega = \mathcal{M}^\omega/\mathcal{I}_\omega + \mathcal{L}_\omega/\mathcal{I}_\omega + \mathcal{L}_\omega^*/\mathcal{I}_\omega$, and the last claim is then obvious. $\square$

Proposition 3.15. *Let $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty$. Then $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$ if and only if $p(x_n)_\omega = (x_n)_\omega p$ holds. Moreover, $\rho|_{M^\omega} : M^\omega \rightarrow pNp, (x_n)_n/\mathcal{I}_\omega \mapsto (x_n)_\omega p$ is a *-isomorphism. Therefore, the Ocneanu ultraproduct is isomorphic to a reduction of the Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct by the support projection p of $\varphi_\omega \in N_*$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.14, $(x_n)_n/\mathcal{I}_\omega \in \mathcal{M}^\omega/\mathcal{I}_\omega$ holds if and only if $\rho((x_n)_n/\mathcal{I}_\omega) = p(x_n)_\omega p + p(x_n)_\omega p^\perp + p^\perp (x_n)_\omega p \in pNp$, if and only if $p(x_n)_\omega p^\perp = p^\perp (x_n)_\omega p = 0$. The last condition is equivalent to $(x_n)_\omega p = p(x_n)_\omega$. Since $\rho|_{M^\omega} : M^\omega \rightarrow pNp$ is linear and bijective, to prove the last assertion it is enough to show that $\rho|_{M^\omega}$ is a *-homomorphism. Let $(a_n)_n, (b_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$. Then as $(a_n)_\omega, (b_n)_\omega$ commute with p , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho((a_n b_n)_n/\mathcal{I}_\omega) &= (a_n b_n)_\omega p = (a_n)_\omega p (b_n)_\omega p \\ &= \rho((a_n)_n/\mathcal{I}_\omega) \rho((b_n)_n/\mathcal{I}_\omega), \\ \rho((a_n^*)_n/\mathcal{I}_\omega) &= (a_n^*)_\omega p = (p(a_n)_\omega)^* = ((a_n)_\omega p)^* \\ &= \rho((a_n)_n/\mathcal{I}_\omega)^*,\end{aligned}$$

whence $\rho|_{M^\omega}$ is a *-isomorphism. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.7. First, observe that for $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$ and $(y_n)_\omega \in M^\omega$, we have

$$\pi_\omega((x_n)_\omega) w(y_n)^\omega \xi_{\varphi^\omega} = (x_n y_n \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega = w(x_n y_n)^\omega \xi_{\varphi^\omega} = w(x_n)^\omega (y_n)^\omega \xi_{\varphi^\omega},$$

so $\pi_\omega((x_n)_\omega) w = w(x_n)^\omega$. Hence $M^\omega \subset w^* N w$. To prove $w^* N w \subset M^\omega$, it is enough to show that $w^* \pi_\omega((x_n)_\omega) w \in M^\omega$ for $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty$. Let $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty$. By Proposition 3.14, we have that $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega + \mathcal{L}_\omega + \mathcal{L}_\omega^*$. Furthermore, by the above, $w^* \pi_\omega((x_n)_\omega) w \in M^\omega$ if $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$. Therefore it suffices to show that $w^* \pi_\omega((x_n)_\omega) w \in M^\omega$ when $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$. But if $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$ and $(y_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$, we have $(x_n y_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$ by Lemma 3.11 (1), and so

$$\pi_\omega((x_n)_\omega) w(y_n)^\omega \xi_{\varphi^\omega} = (x_n y_n \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega = 0,$$

so $w^* \pi_\omega((x_n)_\omega) w = w^* \cdot 0 = 0 \in M^\omega$. $\square$

Now we have a more precise information of the $*$ -isomorphism $M^\omega \cong pNp$.

Corollary 3.16. *The $*$ -isomorphism $\rho|_{M^\omega} : M^\omega \rightarrow pNp$ has the form*

$$\rho((x_n)^\omega) = w(x_n)^\omega w^*, \quad (x_n)^\omega \in M^\omega.$$

Moreover, under this isomorphism, $\varphi^\omega \in (M^\omega)_*$ is mapped to $\varphi_\omega|_{pNp} \in (pNp)_*$.

Proof. From the definition, we have for $x \in N$:

$$\varphi^\omega(w^*xw) = \langle w^*xw\xi_{\varphi^\omega}, \xi_{\varphi^\omega} \rangle = \langle xw\xi_{\varphi^\omega}, w\xi_{\varphi^\omega} \rangle = \langle x\xi_\omega, \xi_\omega \rangle = \varphi_\omega(x),$$

so as φ^ω is faithful, (Lemma 3.2), it follows that $p = ww^*$. Therefore for $(x_n)^\omega \in M^\omega$, we have (by Lemma 3.15 and Theorem 3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho((x_n)^\omega) &= ww^*(x_n)_\omega ww^* \\ &= w(x_n)^\omega w^*. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the ultraproduct state φ^ω is mapped to $\varphi^\omega \circ (\rho|_{M^\omega})^{-1}$. And for $x \in pNp$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\varphi^\omega \circ (\rho|_{M^\omega})^{-1}](x) &= [\varphi^\omega \circ (\rho|_{M^\omega})^{-1}](ww^*xww^*) \\ &= \varphi^\omega(w^*xw) = \varphi_\omega(x), \end{aligned}$$

whence $\varphi^\omega \circ (\rho|_{M^\omega})^{-1} = \varphi_\omega|_{pNp}$. $\square$

The following result will be used in §4.4, Lemma 4.35.

Corollary 3.17. *For any $(a_n)_n \in \ell^\infty$, there exists $(b_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$, $(c_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$, and $(d_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^*$ such that*

$$(1) \quad a_n = b_n + c_n + d_n \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$(2) \quad \|(b_n)^\omega\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|a_n\|.$$

Proof. Since $(a_n)_n \in \ell^\infty$, by Proposition 3.14, there exists $(b_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$, $(c_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$, and $(d_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^*$ such that $a_n = b_n + c_n + d_n$. $(b_n)_n$ is unique modulo $\mathcal{I}_\omega$, and since $\rho|_{M^\omega} : M^\omega \rightarrow pNp$ is a $*$ -isomorphism (Proposition 3.15), we have

$$\|(b_n)^\omega\| = \|\rho^{-1}(p(a_n)_\omega p)\| = \|p(a_n)_\omega p\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|a_n\|.$$

$\square$

3.4 Standard Forms

Our next step is to show (Theorem 3.19 below) that the Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct of a sequence of standard von Neumann algebras is again standard, in such a way that the standard form of the ultraproduct algebra is obtained as an ultraproduct of the standard forms of the sequence. This result was first obtained by Raynaud ([Ray], Corollary 3.7) in the case of constant sequence of algebras. We give a different proof, since this plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 4.1. For the convenience of the reader, recall the definition of a standard form [Haa1].

Definition 3.18. Let (M, H, J, P) be a quadruple, where M is a von Neumann algebra, H is a Hilbert space on which M acts, J is an antilinear isometry on H with $J^2 = 1$, and $P \subset H$ is a closed convex cone which is self-dual, i.e., $P = P^0$, where

$$P^0 := \{\xi \in H; \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \geq 0, \eta \in P\}.$$

Then (M, H, J, P) is called a *standard form* if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $JMJ = M'$.
2. $J\xi = \xi, \xi \in P$.
3. $xJxJ(P) \subset P, x \in M$.
4. $JxJ = x^*, x \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$.

Theorem 3.19. Let $(M_n, H_n, J_n, P_n)_n$ be a sequence of standard forms. Let $H_\omega := (H_n)_\omega$, let J_ω be defined on H_ω by

$$J_\omega(\xi_n)_\omega := (J_n \xi_n)_\omega, \quad (\xi_n)_\omega \in H_\omega,$$

and let

$$P_\omega := \{(\xi_n)_\omega \in H_\omega; \xi_n \in P_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then the quadruple

$$\left(\prod_{n=1}^{\omega} M_n, H_\omega, J_\omega, P_\omega \right)$$

is again a standard form.

Conditions 2. and 3. can be easily verified. For 1., we have to show the Raynaud's Theorem that $(\prod_{n=1}^{\omega} M_n)' = \prod_{n=1}^{\omega} M_n'$ (Theorem 3.23 below). It might look obvious that 4. holds. However, we will see that $\mathcal{Z}(\prod_{n=1}^{\omega} M_n)$ is different from $\prod_{n=1}^{\omega} \mathcal{Z}(M_n)$ in general. Therefore it is not obvious that the equality $J_\omega x J_\omega = x^*$ holds for $x \in \mathcal{Z}(\prod_{n=1}^{\omega} M_n)$. However, this can be fixed by showing that condition 4. is redundant (this has general and independent interest):

Lemma 3.20. Let (M, H, J, P) be a quadruple satisfying, conditions 1.-3. in Definition 3.18. Then (M, H, J, P) satisfies condition 4, whence it is a standard form.

We use the following Araki's characterization of the modular conjugation operator.

Theorem 3.21 ([Ara], Theorem 1). Let ξ be a cyclic and separating vector for a von Neumann algebra M on a Hilbert space H . Then a conjugate-linear involution J is the modular conjugation operator associated with the state $\omega_\xi = \langle \cdot, \xi, \xi \rangle$ if and only if J satisfies the following conditions.

- (1) $JMJ = M'$.
- (2) $J\xi = \xi$.
- (3) $\langle \xi, aJaJ\xi \rangle \geq 0$ for all $a \in M$, and equality holds if and only if $a = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 3.20. The proof is in three steps. Throughout, 1.-3. above are assumed to hold.

Step 1: Assume first that M has a separating cyclic vector $\xi \in P$. Then by Theorem 3.21, J is the modular involution associated with ξ . But then 4. is immediate from Tomita-Takesaki theory [Tak1].

Step 2: Assume now the slightly more general situation where we have $\xi \in P$ such that $\overline{MM'}\xi = H$. Let e and e' be the projections onto $\overline{M'}\xi$ and $\overline{M}\xi$, respectively. If f is a central projection in M , and $f \geq e$, then we have, for all $x \in M$ and $x' \in M'$:

$$fxx'\xi = xf x'\xi = xx'\xi,$$

and so $f = 1$; it follows that the central support of e is 1, and similarly, it follows that the central support of e' is 1. Moreover, as

$$JM'\xi = JM'J\xi = M\xi,$$

we have that $JeJ = e'$.

Now, let $f := ee'$. Then $JfJ = JeJeJ^2 = e'e = ee' = f$. By the proof of [Haa1], Lemma 2.6, it follows that $(fMf, f(H), J|_{f(H)}, f(P))$ does also satisfy the conditions 1.-3. But as

$$\overline{fMf}\xi = \overline{ee'M}\xi = ee'(H) = f(H),$$

and similarly $\overline{fM'}f\xi = f(H)$, we see that ξ is a separating and cyclic vector for fMf , acting on $f(H)$. Hence by Step 1, we have

$$J|_{f(H)}dJ|_{f(H)} = d^*$$

for all central element d of fMf . But as e and e' have central support 1, the map $c \mapsto fcf$ is a $*$ -isomorphism from the center of M onto the center of fMf . We now prove that 4. holds in the case under consideration: let $c \in M \cap M'$, then $JcJ - c^* \in M \cap M'$, so as $JfJ = f$, we get from the above:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= J|_{f(H)}fcfJ|_{f(H)} - (fcf)^* \\ &= (JfcfJ - fc^*f)|_{f(H)} \\ &= f(JcJ - c^*)f|_{f(H)}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $JcJ = c^*$ holds by the injectivity of $c \mapsto fcf$.

Step 3: We now consider the general case. Let $(\xi_\alpha)_\alpha \subset P \setminus \{0\}$ be a maximal family with respect to the property that $(\overline{MM'}\xi_\alpha)_\alpha$ forms an orthogonal family of subspaces of H . Let q_α be a projection onto $\overline{MM'}\xi_\alpha$. The projections $(q_\alpha)_\alpha$ are clearly central, and as

$$JMM'\xi_\alpha = (JMJ)(JM'J)J\xi_\alpha = M'M\xi_\alpha = MM'\xi_\alpha,$$

one has also $Jq_\alpha = q_\alpha J$ for all α . Hence with $p := 1 - \sum_\alpha q_\alpha$, we have $JpJ = p$. Now, assume that $p \neq 0$. As P spans H , we may then choose $\eta \in P$ such that $p\eta \neq 0$. Let $\xi = p\eta$. Then

$$\xi = p\eta = p^2\eta = pJpJ\eta \in P,$$

and as $\xi \perp \overline{MM'\xi_\alpha}$ for all α , it is easy to see that $\overline{MM'\xi} \perp \overline{MM'\xi_\alpha}$ for all α . But this contradicts the maximality of $(\xi_\alpha)_\alpha$, so that $p = 0$ and hence $\sum_\alpha q_\alpha = 1$. Now, each of the quadruples

$$(q_\alpha M, q_\alpha(H), J|_{q_\alpha(H)}, q_\alpha(P))$$

satisfy 1.-3. and the condition considered in Step 2, since $q_\alpha(H) = \overline{MM'\xi_\alpha}$; hence 4. holds for the above quadruple, i.e.,

$$J|_{q_\alpha(H)} c_\alpha J|_{q_\alpha(H)} = c_\alpha^*|_{q_\alpha(H)}$$

whenever c_α is a central element of $q_\alpha M$.

Now, let $c \in M \cap M'$. Then $q_\alpha c$ is a central element of $q_\alpha M$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} JcJ &= J \left(\sum_\alpha q_\alpha c q_\alpha \right) J = \sum_\alpha J q_\alpha c q_\alpha J q_\alpha \\ &= \sum_\alpha J|_{q_\alpha(H)} c q_\alpha J|_{q_\alpha(H)} q_\alpha = \sum_\alpha c^* q_\alpha \\ &= c^*. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we show that the Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct preserves commutant. This result was obtained by Raynaud ([Ray], Theorem 1.8) in the case of a constant sequence of algebras.

Lemma 3.22. *Let $(H_n)_n$ be a sequence of Hilbert spaces, and let $M_n \in \text{vN}(H_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $H_\omega = (H_n)_\omega$, and $M = \prod^\omega(M_n, H_n)$ and $N = \prod^\omega(M'_n, H_n)$. For any $\xi \in H_\omega$ and $a' \in M'$, there exists $a \in N$ such that $a\xi = a'\xi$ and $\|a\| \leq \|a'\|$.*

Proof. Let $\xi = (\xi_n)_\omega \in H_\omega$ and let $a' \in M'$; to prove the lemma, we may and do assume that $\|a'\| = 1$. Let $\eta = a'\xi = (\eta_n)_\omega$ and put

$$\varepsilon_n := \sup\{\langle x\eta_n, \eta_n \rangle - \langle x\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle; x \in M_n, 0 \leq x \leq 1\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\varepsilon_n \geq 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), and by wot-compactness of $\text{Ball}(M_n) \cap M_n^+$, there is $(x_n)_n \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$ such that $0 \leq x_n \leq 1$ and

$$\varepsilon_n = \langle x_n \eta_n, \eta_n \rangle - \langle x_n \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $\pi_\omega(x) \in M$, where $x = (x_n)_\omega$. Also, e.g., by [HW1], Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \varepsilon_n = \langle x\eta, \eta \rangle - \langle x\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle xa'\xi, a'\xi \rangle - \langle x\xi, \xi \rangle \leq 0,$$

and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \varepsilon_n = 0$. Moreover, by definition of $(\varepsilon_n)_n$, we have

$$\omega_{\eta_n}(x) \leq \omega_{\xi_n}(x) + \varepsilon_n, \quad x \in M_n, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

so

$$\omega_{\eta_n} \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} \right) \leq \omega_{\xi_n} \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} \right) + \varepsilon_n, \quad x \in M_n^+ \setminus \{0\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and hence by [HW1], Lemma 3.2, there exists $(\eta'_n)_n \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n$ such that $\|\eta_n - \eta'_n\| \leq \varepsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\omega_{\eta'_n} \leq \omega_{\xi_n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $(\eta_n)_\omega = (\eta'_n)_\omega$, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \varepsilon_n = 0$. By [HW1], Lemma 3.1, we then get $(a'_n)_n \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M'_n$ such that $\|a'_n\| \leq 1$ and $a'_n \xi_n = \eta'_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Let $a := \pi_\omega((a'_n)_\omega)$. Then $a \in N$, and

$$a\xi = (a'_n \xi_n)_\omega = (\eta'_n)_\omega = (\eta_n)_\omega = \eta = a'\xi.$$

Also

$$\|a\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|a'_n\| \leq 1 = \|a'\|.$$

□

Theorem 3.23 ([Ray], Theorem 1.8). *Let $(M_n, H_n, J_n, P_n)_n$ be as in Theorem 3.19. Then one has*

$$\left(\prod^\omega M_n \right)' = \prod^\omega M'_n.$$

Proof. Let $A = (M_n)_\omega$ and $B = (M'_n)_\omega$ and identify these with their images under π_ω . Then $B \subset A'$ is clear, so it suffices to prove that $A' \subset B''$. Let $a' \in A'$ and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in H_\omega$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$). Let F be the type I_m -factor, acting on $K = \mathbb{C}^m$. Using the matrix picture of $M_n \otimes F$, it is clear that

$$(M_n \otimes F)_\omega = A \otimes F \text{ on } H_\omega \otimes K,$$

(as $*$ -algebras) and hence

$$((M_n \otimes F)_\omega)' = A' \otimes \mathbb{C}1,$$

as von Neumann algebras. Thus $a' \otimes 1 \in (\prod^\omega (M_n \otimes F, H_n \otimes K))'$, and so by Lemma 3.22, there is

$$a \otimes 1 \in \prod^\omega ((M_n \otimes F)', H_n \otimes K) = \prod^\omega M'_n \otimes \mathbb{C}1$$

with $\|a\| \leq \|a'\|$ and

$$(a \otimes 1)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = (a' \otimes 1)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m),$$

hence $a\xi_j = a'\xi_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$). This means that B meets any so-neighborhood of a' . As $a' \in A'$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $A' \subset B''$, as desired. □

Remark 3.24. It does not follow from the above theorem that the ultraproduct of a sequence of factors is again a factor, because for a standard von Neumann algebra (M, H) , the center $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ is not always standardly represented in H . We shall in fact prove that this is typically not the case (see Proposition 6.4, and Remark 6.17 below. See also [Ray], Proposition 1.14).

Now we prove the main result of this subsection.

Proof of Theorem 3.19. It is clear that P_ω is a closed convex cone. We prove self-duality as follows: assume that $\xi = (\xi_n)_\omega \in P_\omega^0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is

$\eta_n^+, \eta_n^-, \zeta_n^+, \zeta_n^- \in P_n$ such that $\eta_n^+ \perp \eta_n^-$, $\zeta_n^+ \perp \zeta_n^-$ and $\xi_n = \eta_n^+ - \eta_n^- + i(\zeta_n^+ - \zeta_n^-)$. Then by $\xi \in P_\omega^0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle \xi_n, \eta_n^- \rangle &= - \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|\eta_n^-\|^2 + i \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle \zeta_n^+ - \zeta_n^-, \eta_n^- \rangle \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(\eta_n^-)_\omega = 0$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle \xi_n, \zeta_n^\pm \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} (\langle \eta_n^+, \zeta_n^\pm \rangle \pm i \|\zeta_n^\pm\|^2) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(\zeta_n^\pm)_\omega = 0$, and $(\xi_n)_\omega = (\eta_n^+)_\omega \in P_\omega$, so $P_\omega^0 = P_\omega$.

Using Theorem 3.23, it follows that 1. holds for the quadruple $(\prod^\omega M_n, H_\omega, J_\omega, P_\omega)$, and the properties 2.-3. are easily checked. Using Lemma 3.20, the claim follows. $\square$

Theorem 3.25 ([Ray], Theorem 1.1). *Let $(M_n)_n$ be a sequence of standard von Neumann algebras. Then $(\prod^\omega M_n)_*$ is Banach space isomorphic to the Banach space ultraproduct $((M_n)_*)_\omega$, in such a way that a normal functional on $\prod^\omega M_n$ is implemented by the ultraproduct vectors corresponding to the isomorphic image in $((M_n)_*)_\omega$.*

Proof. Let $(\varphi_n)_\omega \in ((M_n)_*)_\omega$. As each M_n is standard, we have sequences $(\xi_n)_n, (\eta_n)_n \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n$ such that

$$\varphi_n(x) = \langle x \xi_n, \eta_n \rangle, \quad x \in M_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

and $\|\varphi_n\| = \|\xi_n\|^2 = \|\eta_n\|^2$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). In particular, both $(\xi_n)_n$ and $(\eta_n)_n$ are bounded. Define $\xi_\omega := (\xi_n)_\omega$ and $\eta_\omega := (\eta_n)_\omega$ in $(H_n)_\omega$. Then define $\varphi_\omega \in (\prod^\omega M_n)_*$ by

$$\varphi_\omega(x) = \langle x \xi_\omega, \eta_\omega \rangle, \quad x \in \prod^\omega M_n,$$

and $\|\varphi_\omega\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|\varphi_n\|$. Hence $\Phi : ((M_n)_*)_\omega \rightarrow (\prod^\omega M_n)_*$ defined by $\Phi((\varphi_n)_\omega) := \varphi_\omega$ is isometric. Note also that for $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((\varphi_n)_\omega)(\pi_\omega((x_n)_\omega)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle x_n \xi_n, \eta_n \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \varphi_n(x_n). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Since $\pi_\omega(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n))$ is strongly dense in $\prod^\omega M_n$, $\Phi((\varphi_n)_\omega)$ is uniquely determined by Eq. (2) and is independent of the choice of $(\xi_n)_n, (\eta_n)_n$. It is clear that $\Phi(\lambda(\varphi_n)_\omega) = \lambda\Phi((\varphi_n)_\omega)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(\varphi_n)_\omega \in ((M_n)_*)_\omega$. Note also that if $(\varphi_n)_\omega, (\psi_n)_\omega \in ((M_n)_*)_\omega$, then by Eq. (2), for $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((\varphi_n + \psi_n)_\omega)(\pi_\omega((x_n)_\omega)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} (\varphi_n + \psi_n)(x_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \varphi_n(x_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \psi_n(x_n) \\ &= [\Phi((\varphi_n)_\omega) + \Phi((\psi_n)_\omega)](\pi_\omega((x_n)_\omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by the sot-density of $\pi_\omega(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n))$, $\Phi((\varphi_n + \psi_n)_\omega) = \Phi((\varphi_n)_\omega) + \Phi((\psi_n)_\omega)$ holds. Hence Φ is linear. Surjectivity of Φ follows from Theorem 3.19 by reversing the above argument. Therefore Φ is an isometric isomorphism. $\square$

In the following, $(M_n)_n$ is a sequence of standard von Neumann algebras, and we identify $(\varphi_n)_\omega \in ((M_n)_*)_\omega$ with its image φ_ω in $(\prod^\omega M_n)_*$.

Corollary 3.26. *Let φ be a normal state on $\prod^\omega M_n$. Then there are normal states $\varphi_n \in (M_n)_*$ such that $\varphi = (\varphi_n)_\omega$. If all M_n are σ -finite, then we may choose the states φ_n such that they are also faithful.*

Proof. Since $\prod^\omega M_n$ is standard (Theorem 3.19), there exists $\xi_\varphi \in P_\omega$ such that $\varphi = \omega_{\xi_\varphi}$. By definition, ξ_φ has a representative $(\xi_n)_n$ where $\xi_n \in P_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As $1 = \|\varphi\| = \|\xi_\varphi\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|\xi_n\|^2$, we may choose each ξ_n to be a unit vector. Then $\varphi_n := \omega_{\xi_n} \in S_n(M_n)$, and $\varphi = (\varphi_n)_\omega$. Now suppose each M_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is σ -finite and take $\psi_n \in S_{\text{nf}}(M_n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Let

$$\varphi'_n := \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \varphi_n + \frac{1}{n} \psi_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then φ'_n is a normal faithful state on M_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(\varphi'_n)_\omega = (\varphi_n)_\omega = \varphi$. $\square$

Corollary 3.27. *Let φ_n be a normal faithful state on M_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let φ be a normal faithful state on $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$. Then there is, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a normal faithful state ψ_n on M_n , and a $*$ -isomorphism $\Phi : (M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega \rightarrow (M_n, \psi_n)^\omega$, such that $(\psi_n)^\omega \circ \Phi = \varphi$.*

Proof. Let $N := \prod^\omega M_n$ and $w : L^2((M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega, (\varphi_n)^\omega) \rightarrow L^2(M_n, \varphi_n)_\omega$ be as in Theorem 3.7, and that $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega = w^* N w$. Let $\hat{\varphi}$ be a normal state on N defined by

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) := \varphi(w^* x w), \quad x \in N.$$

The support projection p of $\hat{\varphi}$ is $w w^*$. By the Corollary 3.26, we may choose normal faithful states ψ_n on each M_n such that $\hat{\varphi} = (\psi_n)_\omega$. Then the support projection of $(\psi_n)_\omega$ is also p . Define $\tilde{w} : L^2((M_n, \psi_n)^\omega, (\psi_n)^\omega) \rightarrow L^2(M_n, \psi_n)_\omega$ as in Theorem 3.7. By Corollary 3.16, $\rho : (M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega \ni x \mapsto w x w^* \in p N p$ and $\tilde{\rho} : (M_n, \psi_n)^\omega \ni y \mapsto \tilde{w} y \tilde{w}^* \in p N p$ are $*$ -isomorphisms and $(\psi_n)^\omega \circ \tilde{\rho}^{-1} = (\psi_n)_\omega|_{p N p} = \hat{\varphi}|_{p N p}$. Here, we identify standard representation of N on $L^2(M_n, \varphi_n)_\omega$ and on $L^2(M_n, \psi_n)_\omega$. Define a $*$ -isomorphism $\Phi : (M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega \rightarrow (M_n, \psi_n)^\omega$ by $\Phi := \tilde{\rho}^{-1} \circ \rho$. Then for $x \in (M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_n)^\omega \circ \Phi(x) &= (\psi_n)^\omega \circ \tilde{\rho}^{-1}(w x w^*) \\ &= \hat{\varphi}|_{p N p}(w x w^*) = \varphi(w^* w x w^* w) \\ &= \varphi(x), \end{aligned}$$

whence $(\psi_n)^\omega \circ \Phi = \varphi$ holds. $\square$

Recall that

Lemma 3.28 ([Haa1], Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 2.6.). *Let (M, H, J, P) be a standard form, p a projection in M , and $q = p J p J$. Then $(q M q, q(H), q J q, q(P))$ is standard, and $p M p \ni p x p \mapsto q x q \in q M q$ is an isomorphism.*

Therefore by Corollary 3.16, Theorem 3.19 and Lemma 3.28, we have

Corollary 3.29. *Let $M^\omega = (M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$, $\varphi^\omega = (\varphi_n)^\omega$, $N = \prod^\omega M_n$, $q = p J_\omega p J_\omega$ and $H_{\varphi^\omega} = L^2(M^\omega, \varphi^\omega)$. Then $(M^\omega, H_{\varphi^\omega}, J_{\varphi^\omega}, P_{\varphi^\omega})$ is isomorphic to $(q N q, q H_\omega, q J_\omega q, q P_\omega)$ as a standard form.*

3.5 Golodets' Asymptotic Algebra $C_M^\omega \cong M' \cap M^\omega$

In this section, we describe Golodets' construction of the asymptotic algebra C_M^ω from our viewpoint. Let M be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra, and let $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. Consider the GNS representation of M associated with φ , so that $\varphi = \omega_{\xi_\varphi}$ with a cyclic separating vector ξ_φ on a Hilbert space H . Consider the following state $\overline{\varphi}$ on $\ell^\infty = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$:

$$\overline{\varphi}((x_n)_n) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \varphi(x_n), \quad (x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M).$$

Let $\pi_{\text{Gol}} : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(H_{\text{Gol}})$ be the GNS representation of $\overline{\varphi}$ with cyclic vector $\overline{\xi}$ satisfying $\overline{\varphi} = \omega_{\overline{\xi}}$. Let e_ω be the projection of H_{Gol} onto $\pi_{\text{Gol}}(\ell^\infty)' \overline{\xi}$. Define

$$\mathcal{R} := e_\omega \pi_{\text{Gol}}(\ell^\infty)'' e_\omega \subset \mathbb{B}(e_\omega H_{\text{Gol}}).$$

Let $\overline{N}$ be the set of all $\overline{x} = (x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty$ for which $\pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{x})e_\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{x}^*)e_\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ hold. Then ([Gol1], Lemma 2.3.3), $\overline{N}$ is a C^* -subalgebra of ℓ^∞ . Moreover,

$$I := \left\{ \overline{x} = (x_n)_n \in \overline{N}; \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \varphi(x_n^* x_n) = 0 \right\}$$

is a closed two-sided ideal in $\overline{N}$, and $\pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{N})e_\omega = \mathcal{R} \cong \overline{N}/I$. Let $\overline{M}_d$ be the subspace of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$ consisting of constant sequences $(x, x, \dots)_n$, $x \in M$. The asymptotic algebra C_M^ω of M is defined by

$$C_M^\omega := \mathcal{R} \cap \pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{M}_d)' \subset \mathbb{B}(e_\omega H_{\text{Gol}}).$$

We show that Golodets' construction is equivalent to Ocneanu's construction.

Theorem 3.30. $\overline{N} = \mathcal{M}^\omega$, $\mathcal{R} \cong M^\omega$ and $C_M^\omega \cong M' \cap M^\omega$ hold.

Let H_ω be the ultrapower of H , and let $\pi_\omega : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$ be the ultrapower map $(a_n)_n \mapsto (a_n)_\omega$ (we identify $(a_n)_\omega$ with its image in $\mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$ as before), and let $\xi_\omega := (\xi_\varphi)_\omega \in H_\omega$. Let $J = J_\varphi$ be the modular conjugation and J_ω be its ultrapower. For $\overline{x} \in \ell^\infty$, we have

$$\overline{\varphi}(\overline{x}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle x_n \xi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi \rangle = \langle (x_n)_\omega \xi_\omega, \xi_\omega \rangle.$$

Therefore by the uniqueness of GNS representation, we may identify

$$H_{\text{Gol}} = \overline{\pi_\omega(\ell^\infty)\xi_\omega}, \quad \overline{\xi} = \xi_\omega, \quad \pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{x}) = \pi_\omega(\overline{x})|_{H_{\text{Gol}}}, \quad (\overline{x} \in \ell^\infty).$$

Recall that we defined a projection p of H_ω onto $\overline{(\prod^\omega M)'\xi_\omega}$ (see Definition 3.12). Then $J_\omega p J_\omega$ is the projection of H_ω onto $\overline{(\prod^\omega M)\xi_\omega}$. We use such abbreviation as $\mathcal{M}^\omega, \mathcal{I}_\omega, \mathcal{L}_\omega$ as in §3.3.

Lemma 3.31. Let $\overline{x} = (x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$, and let $q = p J_\omega p J_\omega$. Then $\overline{x} \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$ if and only if $q(x_n)_\omega = (x_n)_\omega q$.

Proof. If $\overline{x} \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$, then $p(x_n)_\omega = (x_n)_\omega p$ holds by Proposition 3.15. Since $p, (x_n)_\omega (\in \prod^\omega M)$ commute with $J_\omega p J_\omega$, we have $(x_n)_\omega q = q(x_n)_\omega$. Conversely, suppose that $(x_n)_\omega q = q(x_n)_\omega$ holds. This implies that

$$(x_n^*)_\omega p^\perp J_\omega p J_\omega = J_\omega p J_\omega p^\perp (x_n^*)_\omega. \quad (3)$$

Let $(a_n)_\omega \in \mathcal{I}_\omega$. We have to show that $(x_n a_n)_n^* \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$ and $(a_n x_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$. By $(a_n x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty$, Eq. (3) and $(a_n^*)_\omega = (a_n^*)_\omega p^\perp$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_n^* x_n^*)_\omega \xi_\omega &= J_\omega p J_\omega (a_n^* x_n^*)_\omega \xi_\omega = J_\omega p J_\omega (a_n^*)_\omega p^\perp (x_n)_\omega \xi_\omega \\ &= (a_n^*)_\omega J_\omega p J_\omega p^\perp (x_n^*)_\omega \xi_\omega \\ &= (a_n^*)_\omega (x_n^*)_\omega J_\omega p J_\omega p^\perp \xi_\omega = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $(a_n^* x_n^*)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$. $(a_n x_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$ is proved similarly. Therefore $(x_n a_n)_n, (a_n x_n)_n$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}_\omega$ and $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$ holds. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.30. Let e'_{Gol} be the projection of H_ω onto H_{Gol} . By Lemma 3.13 (2), we have

$$e'_{\text{Gol}} H_\omega = \overline{\pi_\omega(\ell^\infty) \xi_\omega} = \overline{\pi_\omega(\ell^\infty)'' \xi_\omega} = J_\omega p J_\omega H_\omega.$$

Therefore $e'_{\text{Gol}} = J_\omega p J_\omega$. Furthermore, as $\pi_{\text{Gol}} = \pi_\omega|_{H_{\text{Gol}}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_\omega H_{\text{Gol}} &= \overline{\pi_{\text{Gol}}(\ell^\infty)' \xi_\omega} = \overline{e'_{\text{Gol}} \pi_\omega(\ell^\infty)' e'_{\text{Gol}} \xi_\omega} \\ &= e'_{\text{Gol}} \overline{\pi_\omega(\ell^\infty)' \xi_\omega} = J_\omega p J_\omega p H_\omega \\ &= q H_\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it holds that (cf. Corollary 3.29)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &= e_\omega \pi_{\text{Gol}}(\ell^\infty)'' e_\omega = q \pi_\omega(\ell^\infty)'' q \\ &= q \left(\prod^\omega M \right) q \cong M^\omega. \end{aligned}$$

We now show that $\overline{N} = \mathcal{M}^\omega$.

Suppose $\overline{x} \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$. Then by Lemma 3.31,

$$\pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{x}) e_\omega = \pi_\omega(\overline{x}) q = q \pi_\omega(\overline{x}) \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Similalry $\pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{x}^*) e_\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ holds, and $\overline{x} \in \overline{N}$. Conversely, suppose $\overline{x} \in \overline{N}$. Then $\pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{x}) e_\omega \in \mathcal{R}$, so

$$\pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{x}) e_\omega = e_\omega \pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{x}),$$

whence $\pi_\omega(\overline{x}) q = q \pi_\omega(\overline{x})$. By Lemma 3.31, $\overline{x} \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$ holds. Therefore $\overline{N} = \mathcal{M}^\omega$. Note that by Corollary 3.11, this also shows that

$$I = \overline{N} \cap \mathcal{L}_\omega = \mathcal{M}^\omega \cap \mathcal{L}_\omega = \mathcal{I}_\omega.$$

Finally, as the constant sequence M in M^ω is mapped to $\pi_{\text{Gol}}(\overline{M}_d)$ under the isomorphism $M^\omega \cong q(\prod^\omega M)q$, we see that $C_M^\omega \cong M' \cap M^\omega$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.32. Golodets [Gol1] defined C_M^ω for a factor M with separable predual. As the above proof shows, that isomorphism class of C_M^ω does not depend on φ , holds for any σ -finite von Neumann algebra. On the other hand, the state $\dot{\varphi} = \overline{\varphi}|_{C_M^\omega}$ does not depend on φ if M is a factor. This point will be clarified in §4.4.

4 Tomita-Takesaki Theory for Ultraproducts

4.1 Modular Automorphism Group of a Ultraproduct State

In this section we show that the ultraproduct action of the modular automorphism group on the Ocneanu ultraproduct is still continuous. This is the key result for all the subsequent analysis. In the case of constant algebras, similar results were obtained by Golodets [Gol1] for his auxiliary algebra $\mathcal{R}$, and by Raynaud [Ray] for the corner $p(\prod^\omega M)p$ which corresponds to M^ω (see Proposition 3.15. Here, p is the support projection of $(\varphi_n)_\omega$ as in Definition 3.12).

We prove next the corresponding result for a general sequence of σ -finite von Neumann algebras with normal faithful states.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\{(M_n, \varphi_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of von Neumann algebras with faithful normal states. Let $M^\omega = (M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$, $\varphi^\omega = (\varphi_n)^\omega$. Then*

$$\sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}((x_n)^\omega) = (\sigma_t^{\varphi_n}(x_n))^\omega, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (x_n)^\omega \in M^\omega.$$

In particular, $t \mapsto (\sigma_t^{\varphi_n})^\omega$ is a continuous flow on $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$.

This is not an obvious result as it might look for the first sight. Indeed, it is known that the ultrapower of a continuous action of a topological group on a von Neumann algebra M is often discontinuous on M^ω .

To prove Theorem 4.1, we need preparations. Consider a sequence $(H_n = L^2(M_n, \varphi_n))_n$ of standard Hilbert spaces, let $H_\omega = (H_n)_\omega$, and as before we identify $(a_n)_\omega$ with $\pi_\omega((a_n)_\omega) \in \mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$ for every $(a_n)_\omega \in (\mathbb{B}(H_n))_\omega$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(a_n)_n \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{B}(H_n)_{\text{sa}}$ with spectra satisfying $\sigma(a_n) \subset [0, 1]$ and $0, 1 \notin \sigma_p(a_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a = (a_n)_\omega \in \mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$ satisfies $0 \leq a \leq 1$. Moreover, if $K \subset H_\omega$ is a closed subspace invariant under a , and with*

$$K \cap \text{Ker}(a) = \{0\} = K \cap \text{Ker}(1 - a),$$

then for every bounded continuous function g on $(0, 1)$, we have:

- (i) K is invariant under $(g(a_n))_\omega$;
- (ii) $(g(a_n))_\omega|_K = g(a|_K)$.

Remark 4.3. From the assumption and the first claim, $\sigma(a|_K) \subset [0, 1]$ and $0, 1 \notin \sigma_p(a|_K)$, and so $g(a|_K)$ is well-defined.

Proof. From the identification $a = \pi_\omega((a_n)_\omega)$, it is straightforward that $0 \leq a \leq 1$, also that

$$(f(a_n))_\omega = f(a) \tag{4}$$

for every polynomial f on $[0, 1]$, hence (by Weierstrass' Theorem) for every continuous function on $[0, 1]$.

Now, let p denote the projection of H_ω onto K . As $a(K) \subset K$, p commutes with a , hence with all spectral projections of a . In particular, K is perpendicular to both $\text{Ker}(a)$ and $\text{Ker}(1 - a)$, so $K \subset 1_{(0,1)}(a)(H_\omega)$, where 1_X denotes the indicator function of $X \subset \mathbb{R}$. Hence $K = \bigvee_{0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}} K_\varepsilon$, where $K_\varepsilon = 1_{(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)}(a)(H_\omega) \cap K$.

Fix now $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and fix a continuous function f_ε on $[0, 1]$ with

$$f_\varepsilon([0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \cup [1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, 1]) = \{0\}, \quad f_\varepsilon((\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]) = \{1\}.$$

Also, fix $\xi = (\xi_n)_\omega \in K_\varepsilon$ and a continuous function g on $(0, 1)$. Choose a continuous function h on $[0, 1]$ such that $g(t) = h(t)$ whenever $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Also, let $\xi'_n := f_\varepsilon(a_n)\xi_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$(\xi'_n)_\omega = (f_\varepsilon(a_n)\xi_n)_\omega = f_\varepsilon(a)\xi = \xi, \quad (5)$$

where we used Eq. (4) in the second last equality, and in the last equality that $\xi \in 1_{(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)}(a)(H_\omega)$ and $f_\varepsilon 1_{(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)} = 1_{(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)}$. Because $g = h$ on the support of f_ε , we have

$$g(a_n)\xi'_n = h(a_n)\xi'_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (6)$$

Also, as p commutes with $1_{(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)}(a)$, and $g = h$ on $(\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} g(a|_K)\xi &= g(a|_K)1_{(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)}(a)p\xi \\ &= g(a|_K)1_{(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)}(a|_K)p\xi \\ &= h(a|_K)\xi, \end{aligned}$$

but as h is continuous on $[0, 1]$ (hence bounded) and $\xi \in K$, this entails

$$g(a|_K)\xi = h(a)\xi. \quad (7)$$

Now we get, by Eqs. (5), (6), (5), (4) and (7) respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} (g(a_n))_\omega \xi &= (g(a_n)\xi'_n)_\omega = (h(a_n)\xi'_n)_\omega \\ &= (h(a_n))_\omega \xi = h(a)\xi = g(a|_K)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

As $K = \bigvee_{0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}} K_\varepsilon$, we now get (i) and (ii). $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let Δ_n be a positive self-adjoint (possibly unbounded) operator on H_n , such that $0 \notin \sigma_p(\Delta_n)$. Let $a = ((1 + \Delta_n)^{-1})_\omega \in \mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$, and let $K \subset H_\omega$ be a closed subspace which is invariant under a . If Δ is a positive self-adjoint operator on K with $0 \notin \sigma_p(\Delta)$ and with*

$$(1 + \Delta)^{-1} = a|_K,$$

then

$$(\Delta_n^{it})_\omega|_K = \Delta^{it}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Let $a_n := (1 + \Delta_n)^{-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$g_t(x) := (x^{-1} - 1)^{it}, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As $0, 1 \notin \sigma_p(a|_K) = \sigma_p((1 + \Delta)^{-1})$, Lemma 4.2 gives

$$(g_t(a_n))_\omega|_K = g_t(a|_K), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This shows the claim, as

$$g_t(a_n) = \Delta_n^{it}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$g_t(a|_K) = g_t((1 + \Delta)^{-1}) = \Delta^{it}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Let e, f be projections on a real Hilbert space such that $e \wedge f + e^\perp \wedge f = f$. Then $ef = fe$ holds.*

Proof. Note that $e \wedge f \leq f(e \wedge f)f \leq fef$ and similarly $e^\perp \wedge f \leq fe^\perp f$. Moreover, $fef + fe^\perp f = f$. Hence $e \wedge f + e^\perp \wedge f = f$ implies that $e \wedge f = fef$ and $e^\perp \wedge f = fe^\perp f$. It follows that efe is a positive self-adjoint operator whose square $(efe)^2 = efefef = fef$ is the projection $e \wedge f$, whence efe itself is the projection $e \wedge f$. It then holds that

$$\begin{aligned} (ef - fe)^*(ef - fe) &= fef - efef - fefe + efe \\ &= e \wedge f - e(e \wedge f) - (e \wedge f)e + e \wedge f \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

whence $ef = fe$ holds. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Consider for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the standard representation of M_n on $H_n = L^2(M_n, \varphi_n)$, and write $N = \prod^\omega M_n$ for simplicity. Define $H_\omega := (H_n)_\omega$ and $J_\omega := (J_{\varphi_n})_\omega$. Let $\varphi_\omega := (\varphi_n)_\omega \in ((M_n)_*)_\omega \cong N_*$ and let $p := \text{supp}(\varphi_\omega) \in \prod^\omega M_n$ (cf. Corollary 3.16 and Theorem 3.25). By Corollary 3.29, we have $M^\omega \cong pNp \cong qNq$ with $q := pJ_\omega pJ_\omega$, and with this identification, we have

$$L^2(M^\omega, \varphi^\omega) \cong qH_\omega, J_{\varphi^\omega} \cong qJ_\omega q = pJ_\omega p.$$

Let S_{φ_n} (resp. F_{φ_n}) be the closure of the closable (conjugate-linear) operator $S_{\varphi_n}^0$ (resp. $F_{\varphi_n}^0$) on H_n defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(S_{\varphi_n}^0) &= M_n \xi_{\varphi_n}, & S_{\varphi_n}^0 x \xi_{\varphi_n} &:= x^* \xi_{\varphi_n} \quad (x \in M_n), \\ \text{dom}(F_{\varphi_n}^0) &= M_n' \xi_{\varphi_n}, & F_{\varphi_n}^0 y \xi_{\varphi_n} &:= y^* \xi_{\varphi_n} \quad (y \in M_n'). \end{aligned}$$

Since F_{φ_n} is the adjoint of S_{φ_n} (cf. [Tak5] or [KR], Corollary 9.2.30), they are the adjoint of each other with respect to the real Hilbert space structure of H_n . Therefore by [Rud], Theorem 13.10, we have the following decomposition as a real Hilbert space:

$$H_n \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} H_n = G(S_{\varphi_n}) \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} VG(F_{\varphi_n}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $G(T)$ is the graph of a closed operator T . Taking the ultraproduct, we obtain

$$H_\omega \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} H_\omega = (G(S_{\varphi_n}))_\omega \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} V_\omega(G(F_{\varphi_n}))_\omega, \quad (8)$$

where $V_\omega = (V)_\omega$. Let $\tilde{\varphi}_\omega := \varphi_\omega|_{pNp} \circ \Phi^{-1} \in (qNq)_*$ be the image of φ^ω under the isomorphism $M^\omega \cong qNq$, where $\Phi : pNp \ni x \mapsto p'xp' \in qNq, p' = J_\omega pJ_\omega$. Let $x \in pNp$. Then by Lemma 3.13 (1) and Proposition 3.15, there exists $(x_n)_n \in M^\omega$ such that $x = (x_n)_\omega p = p(x_n)_\omega$. Therefore it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega} p' x p' \xi_\omega &= S_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega} (x_n)_\omega \xi_\omega \\ &= (x_n^*)_\omega (\xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega \\ &= (S_{\varphi_n} x_n \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $(p'xp'\xi_\omega, S_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}p'xp'\xi_\omega) \in qH_\omega \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} qH_\omega \cap (G(S_{\varphi_n}))_\omega$. Doing similar computations for $F_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}$, we obtain

$$G(S_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}) \subset (G(S_{\varphi_n}))_\omega \cap (qH_\omega \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} qH_\omega), \quad (9)$$

$$V_\omega G(F_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}) \subset V_\omega (G(F_{\varphi_n}))_\omega \cap (qH_\omega \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} qH_\omega). \quad (10)$$

Similarly, using $F_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega} = (S_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega})^*$, we have

$$G(S_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}) \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} V_\omega G(F_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}) = qH_\omega \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} qH_\omega. \quad (11)$$

Let E be the real orthogonal projection of $H_\omega \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} H_\omega$ onto $(G(S_{\varphi_n}))_\omega$, and let $F := q \oplus q$. By Eq. (8), $E^\perp$ is the real orthogonal projection onto $V_\omega G(F_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega})$. By Eq. (9), (10) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ran}(E \wedge F) &\supset G(S_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}), \\ \text{ran}(E^\perp \wedge F) &\supset V_\omega G(F_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}), \\ \text{ran}(F) &= qH_\omega \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} qH_\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Let P, Q be real orthogonal projections from $H_\omega \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} H_\omega$ onto $G(S_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega})$ and $V_\omega G(F_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega})$, respectively. Then $P \leq E \wedge F, Q \leq E^\perp \wedge F$ holds. On the other hand, by Eq. (11) we have

$$P + Q = F \geq E \wedge F + E^\perp \wedge F.$$

Therefore it follows that $P = E \wedge F, Q = E^\perp \wedge F$ and $E \wedge F + E^\perp \wedge F = F$. Therefore by Lemma 4.5, E and F commute. Let E_n be the real orthogonal projection of $H_n \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} H_n$ onto $G(S_{\varphi_n})$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then E is the ultraproduct of $(E_n)_n$, and by (the proof of) Corollary 6.11 [HW1], we know that

$$E_n = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \Delta_{\varphi_n})^{-1} & J_{\varphi_n}(\Delta_{\varphi_n}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta_{\varphi_n}^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} \\ J_{\varphi_n}(\Delta_{\varphi_n}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta_{\varphi_n}^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} & (1 + \Delta_{\varphi_n})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let $a_n := (1 + \Delta_{\varphi_n})^{-1}, b_n := J_{\varphi_n}(\Delta_{\varphi_n}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta_{\varphi_n}^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} \in \mathbb{B}(H_n)$, and let $a_\omega := (a_n)_\omega, b_\omega := (b_n)_\omega \in \mathbb{B}(H_\omega)$ (b_n, b_ω are regarded as real linear operators). Then it holds that

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} a_\omega & b_\omega \\ b_\omega & a_\omega \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $E = (E_n)_\omega$ commutes with $F = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}$, a_ω commutes with q and qH_ω is a_ω -invariant. Therefore we see that EF is the projection of $H_\omega \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} H_\omega$ onto $G(S_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega})$, which is of the following form:

$$EF = E \wedge F = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \Delta_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega})^{-1}q & J_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}(\Delta_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}q \\ J_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}(\Delta_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}q & (1 + \Delta_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega})^{-1}q \end{pmatrix}.$$

This shows that

$$a_\omega|_{qH_\omega} = (1 + \Delta_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega})^{-1} \quad (12)$$

Now by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$(\Delta_{\varphi_n}^{it})^\omega|_{qH_\omega} = \Delta_{\tilde{\varphi}_\omega}^{it}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (13)$$

From this equality, we have that $(\sigma_t^{\varphi_n})^\omega = \sigma_t^{\varphi_\omega}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ because φ^ω corresponds to $\tilde{\varphi}_\omega$ under the identification $M^\omega \cong qNq$. $\square$

Remark 4.6. Note that the ultrapower $t \mapsto (\sigma_t^{\varphi_n})^\omega$ can be defined on $\ell^\infty/\mathcal{I}_\omega$ (quotient as a Banach space), and it is indeed quite discontinuous on it. We show an example.

Example 4.7. Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $R_\lambda = \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \tau_\lambda)$ be the Powers factor of type III_λ , where $\tau_\lambda = \text{Tr}(\rho_\lambda \cdot)$, $\rho_\lambda = \text{diag}(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}, \frac{1}{1+\lambda})$. The modular automorphism group of $\varphi = \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \tau_\lambda$ is given by $\bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \sigma_t^{\tau_\lambda}$, where

$$\sigma_t^{\tau_\lambda} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & \lambda^{it} b \\ \lambda^{-it} c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Define

$$x_n := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes n} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \cdots \in R_\lambda, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is clear that $(x_n) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, R_\lambda)$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_t^\varphi(x_n) - x_n\|_\varphi^2 &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{it} \\ \lambda^{-it} & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes n} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes n} \right\|_{\tau_\lambda^{\otimes n}}^2 \\ &= 2 - \tau_\lambda^{\otimes n} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{it} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-it} \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes n} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-it} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{it} \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes n} \right] \\ &= 2 - \left(\frac{\lambda^{it+1} + \lambda^{-it}}{1 + \lambda} \right)^n - \left(\frac{\lambda^{-it+1} + \lambda^{it}}{1 + \lambda} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\lambda^{it+1} + \lambda^{-it}}{1 + \lambda} \right|^2 &= \left| \frac{\lambda + \lambda^{-2it}}{1 + \lambda} \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2 + 2\lambda \cos(2t \log \lambda) + 1}{\lambda^2 + 2\lambda + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

the second term tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $|t|$ is small but nonzero, say $0 < |t| < \pi/(6|\log \lambda|)$. The same happens for the third term, and we see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|\sigma_t^\varphi(x_n) - x_n\|_\varphi = \sqrt{2}$ for small enough $|t| \neq 0$. This shows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|\sigma_t^\varphi(x_n) - x_n\|_\varphi = \sqrt{2} \neq 0.$$

We state few immediate useful consequences. Similar results to (2) is obtained by Golodets [Gol1] by a different proof.

Corollary 4.8. *Let $(M_n, \varphi_n)_n$ be a sequence of pairs of σ -finite von Neumann algebras and normal faithful states. Let $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$ and put $\varphi^\omega = (\varphi_n)^\omega$.*

- (1) $\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}^{it}(x_n \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega = (\Delta_{\varphi_n}^{it} x_n \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (2) $\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_n \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega = (\Delta_{\varphi_n}^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega$.
- (3) If $M_n = M, \varphi_n = \varphi$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) for a fixed M and φ , then $\sigma(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) = \sigma(\Delta_\varphi)$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}^{it}(x_n)^\omega \xi_{\varphi^\omega} = \sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}((x_n)^\omega) \xi_{\varphi^\omega} = (\sigma_t^{\varphi_n}(x_n))^\omega \xi_{\varphi^\omega} = (\Delta_{\varphi_n}^{it} x_n \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega.$$

Therefore (1) follows. For (2), by the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have $G(S_{\varphi^\omega}) = (G(S_\varphi))_\omega \cap qH_\omega \oplus qH_\omega$. This implies that

$$\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_n \xi_\varphi)_\omega = J_{\varphi^\omega} S_{\varphi^\omega}(x_n \xi_\varphi)_\omega = J_\omega(S_{\varphi_n} x_n \xi_\varphi)_\omega = (\Delta_{\varphi_n}^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega.$$

To prove (3), note that $\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}|_{L^2(M, \varphi)} = \Delta_\varphi$, so $\sigma(\Delta_\varphi) \subset \sigma(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega})$ and $\sigma((1 + \Delta_\varphi)^{-1}) \subset \sigma((1 + \Delta_{\varphi^\omega})^{-1})$. On the other hand, by Eq. (12), we have

$$\sigma((1 + \Delta_{\varphi^\omega})^{-1}) \subset \sigma(((1 + \Delta_\varphi)^{-1})^\omega) = \sigma((1 + \Delta_\varphi)^{-1}),$$

because $(1 + \Delta_\varphi)^{-1}$ is bounded and $\sigma(a^\omega) = \sigma(a)$ holds for a bounded operator a . Therefore $\sigma((1 + \Delta_{\varphi^\omega})^{-1}) = \sigma((1 + \Delta_\varphi)^{-1})$, whence $\sigma(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) = \sigma(\Delta_\varphi)$ holds. $\square$

Therefore Δ_{φ^ω} behaves like the ultrapower of Δ_φ . Let us remark a subtle difference between the ultrapower of bounded operators and Δ_{φ^ω} . It is easy to see that for a bounded operator a , $\sigma(a^\omega) = \sigma_p(a^\omega)$ holds. However, the analogous result for Δ_{φ^ω} does not hold.

Proposition 4.9. *Let M be a type II_1 factor. There exists $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ for which $\sigma_p(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) \subsetneq \sigma(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ holds.*

Proof. Let τ be the unique tracial state on M and consider the standard representation of M . Let $h \in M_+$ be such that $\sigma(h) = [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ and that the distribution measure μ_h corresponding to h with respect to τ has absolutely continuous spectra in $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and purely atomic spectra in $(1, 2]$. Here, μ_h is determined by moments

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^p d\mu_h(t) = \tau(h^p), \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Define $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ by $\varphi(x) := \tau(hx)/\tau(h), x \in M$. Then $\Delta_\varphi = h(JhJ)^{-1}$. Since M is a factor, $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i$ ($x_i \in M, y_i \in M'$) induces a $*$ -isomorphism between the $*$ -algebra generated by M and M' and the algebraic tensor product $M \odot M'$. Therefore $C^*(M, M') \cong M \otimes_\alpha M'$ for a C^* -tensor norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$, and since $C^*(h), C^*(JhJ)$ are abelian hence nuclear, we have $C^*(h, JhJ) \cong C^*(h) \otimes C^*(JhJ)$. Consequently, it holds that (cf. Corollary 4.8 (3))

$$\sigma(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) = \sigma(\Delta_\varphi) = \left\{ \frac{s}{t}; s \in \sigma(h), t \in \sigma(JhJ) \right\} = \left[\frac{1}{4}, 4 \right].$$

Let $\tilde{h}$ be the image of h under the canonical embedding $M \subset M^\omega$. Let $\mu_{\tilde{h}}$ be the distribution measure of $\tilde{h}$ with respect to τ^ω . Since $\tau^\omega(\tilde{h}^p) = \tau(h^p)$ holds for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and both $h, \tilde{h}$ are bounded, $\mu_h = \mu_{\tilde{h}}$ holds. Now we show that

$$\sigma_p(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) \cap \left(\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right] \cup [2, 4] \right) = \emptyset.$$

Suppose there were $\lambda \in [2, 4] \cap \sigma_p(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega})$. Then there exists $u \in M^\omega$ such that $u\varphi^\omega = \lambda\varphi^\omega u$ holds. By taking the polar decomposition, we may assume that u is a partial isometry. Since $\varphi^\omega = \tau^\omega(\tilde{h} \cdot)$, this implies that $u\tilde{h} = \lambda\tilde{h}u$. Moreover, as u^*u and uu^* belong to $(M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega}$, they commute with $\tilde{h}$. It follows that

$$u(\tilde{h}u^*u)u^* = (\lambda\tilde{h})uu^*.$$

This shows that both $K = u^*uL^2(M^\omega, \tau^\omega)$ and $L = uu^*L^2(M^\omega, \tau^\omega)$ are $\tilde{h}$ -invariant subspaces, and u induces an isometry of K onto L . In particular, $\tilde{h}|_K$ and $(\lambda\tilde{h})|_L$ are unitarily equivalent operators, whence $\sigma(\tilde{h}|_K) = \sigma(\lambda\tilde{h}|_L)$ holds. On the other hand, we know that

$$\sigma(\tilde{h}|_K) \subset [\frac{1}{2}, 2], \quad \sigma(\lambda\tilde{h}|_L) \subset [\frac{\lambda}{2}, 2\lambda].$$

Since $\lambda \in [2, 4]$, this shows that $\sigma(\tilde{h}|_K) = \sigma(\lambda\tilde{h}|_L) \subset [\frac{\lambda}{2}, 2]$. However, $\mu_{\tilde{h}|_K}$ restricted to $[1, 2]$ is discrete, while $\mu_{\lambda\tilde{h}|_L}$ restricted to $[\frac{\lambda}{2}, 2] \subset [1, 2]$ is absolutely continuous, a contradiction. Therefore $\sigma_p(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) \cap [2, 4] = \emptyset$. $\sigma_p(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) \cap [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}] = \emptyset$ can be shown similarly. This proves that $\sigma_p(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) \subsetneq \sigma(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) \setminus \{0\}$. $\square$

Remark 4.10. Proposition 4.9 states in particular that for $0 < \lambda \in \sigma(\Delta_\varphi) \setminus \sigma_p(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega})$, there is no bounded sequence $(x_n)_n$ of M with $\|x_n \xi_\varphi\| = 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n \xi_\varphi - \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n \xi_\varphi\| = 0. \quad (14)$$

For if there were such sequence, Corollary 4.8 would imply that $(x_n)_n$ defines a nonzero element $(x_n)^\omega \in M^\omega$ satisfying $\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_n)^\omega \xi_{\varphi^\omega} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_n)^\omega \xi_{\varphi^\omega}$, whence $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega})$. On the other hand, as $M(\sigma^\varphi, [\log \lambda - \frac{1}{n}, \log \lambda + \frac{1}{n}]) \neq \{0\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a (necessarily unbounded) sequence $(x_n)_n \subset M$ with $\|x_n \xi_\varphi\| = 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) satisfying Eq. (14).

Next, we show that elements of $\mathcal{M}^\omega$ are characterized by the spectral condition for $(\sigma^{\varphi_n})_n$.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $(M_n, \varphi_n)_n$ be a sequence of σ -finite von Neumann algebras and normal faithful states. Then for $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n)$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$.
- (2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $a > 0$ and $(y_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$ such that

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\|_\varphi^\sharp < \varepsilon$,
- (ii) $y_n \in M_n(\sigma^{\varphi_n}, [-a, a])$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this case, $(y_n)_n$ can be chosen to satisfy $\|(y_n)^\omega\| \leq \|(x_n)^\omega\|$.

We need preparations. Recall two summability kernels on $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 4.12. The Fejér kernel $F_a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($a > 0$) is defined by

$$F_a(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \cos(at)}{\pi at^2} & (t \neq 0) \\ a/2\pi & (t = 0) \end{cases}.$$

Its Fourier transform is

$$\widehat{F_a}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{|\lambda|}{a} & (|\lambda| \leq a) \\ 0 & (|\lambda| > a) \end{cases}.$$

It holds that $0 \leq F_a$ and $\|F_a\|_1 = \widehat{F}_a(0) = 1$. Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_a(s) \phi(s) ds = \phi(0), \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \|F_a * f - f\|_1 = 0,$$

for all continuous bounded function ϕ on $\mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. The *de la Vallée Poussin Kernel* $D_a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$D_a(t) = 2F_{2a}(t) - F_a(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\cos(at) - \cos(2at)}{\pi at^2} & (t \neq 0) \\ 3a/2\pi & (t = 0). \end{cases}$$

Its Fourier transform is

$$\widehat{D}_a(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & (|\lambda| \leq a) \\ 2 - \frac{|\lambda|}{a} & (a \leq |\lambda| \leq 2a) \\ 0 & (|\lambda| > 2a) \end{cases}.$$

For the details of summability kernels, see e.g., [Kat].

Lemma 4.13. *Let $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n)$. If there exists $a > 0$ such that $x_n \in M_n(\sigma^{\varphi_n}, [-a, a])$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$.*

Proof. We show that the map $t \mapsto \sigma_t^{\varphi_n}(x_n)$ is extended to an entire analytic M_n -valued function satisfying

$$\|\sigma_z^{\varphi_n}(x_n)\| \leq C_{a,z} \|x_n\|, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $C_{a,z}$ is a constant depending only on a, z . Since $x_n \in M_n(\sigma^{\varphi_n}, [-a, a])$ and the de la Vallée Poussin kernel satisfies $\widehat{D}_a = 1$ on $[-a, a]$, we have $x_n = \sigma_{D_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n)$. Therefore for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t^{\varphi_n}(x_n) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_a(s) \sigma_{t+s}^{\varphi_n}(x_n) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_a(s-t) \sigma_s^{\varphi_n}(x_n) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the explicit form, $D_a = 2F_{2a} - F_a$ has an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$. By (the proof of) [Haa2], Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |F_a(s+it)| ds \leq e^{a|t|} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Therefore for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $s \mapsto D_a(s-z)$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and $t \mapsto \sigma_t^{\varphi_n}(x_n)$ has an M_n -valued analytic extension:

$$\sigma_z^{\varphi_n}(x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_a(s-z) \sigma_s^{\varphi_n}(x_n) ds, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then we have

$$\|\sigma_z^{\varphi_n}(x_n)\| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D_a(s-z)| \|\sigma_s^{\varphi_n}(x_n)\| ds \leq C_{a,z} \|x_n\|,$$

where $C_{a,z} := 2e^{2a|\operatorname{Im}(z)|} + e^{a|\operatorname{Im}z|}$. Let $(y_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}_\omega$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x_n y_n)^*\|_{\varphi_n} &= \|y_n^* J_{\varphi_n} \Delta_{\varphi_n}^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n \xi_{\varphi_n}\| \\ &= \|J_{\varphi_n} y_n^* J_{\varphi_n} \sigma_{-i/2}^{\varphi_n}(x_n) \xi_{\varphi_n}\| \\ &\leq \|\sigma_{-i/2}^{\varphi_n}(x_n)\| \cdot \|J_{\varphi_n} y_n^* J_{\varphi_n} \xi_{\varphi_n}\| \\ &\leq C_{a,-i/2} \|x_n\| \cdot \|y_n\|_{\varphi_n} \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \omega} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\|y_n x_n\|_{\varphi} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \omega$). Hence $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$. $\square$

Lemma 4.14. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$. Then $(\sigma_f^{\varphi_n}(x_n))_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$ and $\sigma_f^{\varphi_n}((x_n)^\omega) = (\sigma_f^{\varphi_n}(x_n))^\omega$ holds.*

Remark 4.15. One might think that this is a direct consequence of $\sigma_t^{\varphi_n}((x_n)^\omega) = (\sigma_t^{\varphi_n}(x_n))^\omega$ (Theorem 4.1). However, we must show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) (\sigma_t^{\varphi_n}(x_n))^\omega dt = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \sigma_t^{\varphi_n}(x_n) dt \right)^\omega,$$

i.e., the order of integration and ultralimit can be changed.

Proof of Lemma 4.14. We first prove

Claim. $(\sigma_{f * F_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n))_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$ and $\sigma_{f * F_a}^{\varphi_n}((x_n)^\omega) = (\sigma_{f * F_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n))^\omega$ holds.

Since $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{f * F_a}) \subset \operatorname{supp}(\widehat{F_a}) = [-a, a]$, we have $\sigma_{f * F_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n) \in M_n(\sigma^{\varphi_n}, [-a, a])$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore by Lemma 4.13, we have $(\sigma_{f * F_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n))_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$. Next, consider a bounded continuous function $Q_a : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$Q_a(t) := (\widehat{f * F_a})(\log(t^{-1} - 1)), \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

Then we have

$$Q_a((1+t)^{-1}) = (\widehat{f * F_a})(\log t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By Lemma 4.4 and (the proof of) Theorem 4.1, we have

$$Q_a((1 + \Delta_{\varphi_n})^{-1}) = (Q_a((1 + \Delta_{\varphi_n})^{-1}))_\omega|_{qH_\omega}$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{f * F_a}^{\varphi_n}((x_n)^\omega) \xi_{\varphi_n} &= \widehat{f * F_a}(\log \Delta_{\varphi_n})(x_n)^\omega \xi_{\varphi_n} = Q_a((1 + \Delta_{\varphi_n})^{-1})(x_n)^\omega \xi_{\varphi_n} \\ &= (Q_a((1 + \Delta_{\varphi_n})^{-1}))_\omega (x_n \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega = (\widehat{f * F_a}(\log \Delta_{\varphi_n}) x_n \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega \\ &= (\sigma_{f * F_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n) \xi_{\varphi_n})_\omega = (\sigma_{f * F_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n))^\omega \xi_{\varphi_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since ξ_{φ_n} is separating for $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$, we have $\sigma_{f * F_a}^{\varphi_n}((x_n)^\omega) = (\sigma_{f * F_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n))^\omega$.

Now we prove that $(\sigma_f^{\varphi_n}(x_n))_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$ and $\sigma_f^{\varphi_n}((x_n)^\omega) = (\sigma_f^{\varphi_n}(x_n))^\omega$ holds.

Since $\|f * F_a - f\|_1 \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow \infty} 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n \geq 1} \|\sigma_f^{\varphi_n}(x_n) - \sigma_{f * F_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n)\| &\leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t) - (f * F_a)(t)| \cdot \|\sigma_t^{\varphi_n}(x_n)\| dt \\ &= \sup_{n \geq 1} \|x_n\| \cdot \|f - f * F_a\|_1 \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the Claim, $(\sigma_{f*F_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n))_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$. Therefore as $\mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$ is norm-closed, we have $(\sigma_f^{\varphi_n}(x_n))_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$. Finally, suppose $\varepsilon > 0$ is given. By similar arguments to above, there exists $a > 0$ such that

$$\|\sigma_{f*F_a}^{\varphi^\omega}((x_n)^\omega) - \sigma_f^{\varphi^\omega}((x_n)^\omega)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \left\| \left(\sigma_{f*F_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n) \right)^\omega - \left(\sigma_f^{\varphi_n}(x_n) \right)^\omega \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Then by the Claim, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sigma_f^{\varphi^\omega}((x_n)^\omega) - \left(\sigma_f^{\varphi_n}(x_n) \right)^\omega \right\| &\leq \left\| \sigma_f^{\varphi^\omega}((x_n)^\omega) - \sigma_{f*F_a}^{\varphi^\omega}((x_n)^\omega) \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(\sigma_{f*F_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n) \right)^\omega - \left(\sigma_f^{\varphi_n}(x_n) \right)^\omega \right\| \\ &< 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the Lemma is proved. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.11. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$ and put $x := (x_n)^\omega$. Also, define

$$x_a := \sigma_{F_a}^{\varphi^\omega}(x) \in (M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega \quad (a > 0).$$

Then we have $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \|x_a - x\|_{\varphi^\omega}^\sharp = 0$. Indeed, since $\Phi : t \mapsto \|x - \sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}(x)\|_{\varphi^\omega}^\sharp$ is continuous and bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_a - x\|_{\varphi^\omega}^\sharp &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_a(t) (\sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}(x) - x) dt \right\|_{\varphi^\omega}^\sharp \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_a(t) \|x - \sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}(x)\|_{\varphi^\omega}^\sharp dt \\ &\xrightarrow{a \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

whence the claim follows. Therefore there exists $a > 0$ such that $y := \sigma_{F_a}^{\varphi^\omega}(x)$ satisfies $\|y - x\|_{\varphi^\omega} < \varepsilon$. We have $\|y\| \leq \|F_a\|_1 \|x\| = \|x\|$, and by Lemma 4.14, $y = (y_n)^\omega$, where $y_n = \sigma_{F_a}^{\varphi_n}(x_n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Therefore $(y_n)_n$ satisfies all conditions in (2). Note that we also have $\|y_n\| \leq \|x_n\|$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n)$ satisfies the conditions in (2). Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then by Lemma 4.13 and by assumption, there is $(x'_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n - x'_n\|_{\varphi_n}^\sharp < \varepsilon$. Let $(y_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}_\omega$ with $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|y_n\| \leq 1$. Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|(x_n y_n)^*\|_{\varphi_n} &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \{ \|y_n^*\| \|x_n^* - (x'_n)^*\|_{\varphi_n} + \|y_n^* (x'_n)^*\|_{\varphi_n} \} \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|(x_n y_n)^*\|_{\varphi_n} = 0$. Similarly, we also have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|y_n x_n\|_{\varphi_n} = 0$. This proves that $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$. $\square$

4.2 Strict Homogeneity of State Spaces

As an application of the Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct, we prove that it provides examples of von Neumann algebras for which all normal faithful states are unitarily equivalent. We also prove that this property is only possible for von Neumann algebras with non-separable preduals (besides $\mathbb{C}$).

Definition 4.16. Let M be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra. Then $S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ is said to be

- *homogeneous*, if for any $\varphi, \psi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $\|u\varphi u^* - \psi\| < \varepsilon$;
- *strictly homogeneous*, if for any $\varphi, \psi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ there is $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $u\varphi u^* = \psi$.

The following is immediate from results by [CS], [CHS].

Theorem 4.17. Let M be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra. The following are equivalent:

- (1) M is a factor of type I_1 or type III_1 .
- (2) $S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ is homogeneous.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is the main result of [CS], while (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follows from [CHS] (note that the separability of M_* imposed on [CS] can be removed. See Remark 6.7). $\square$

Lemma 4.18. Let M be a σ -finite factor not isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ with strictly homogeneous state space. Then

- (1) M is a type III_1 factor.
- (2) For $\varphi, \psi \in S_{\text{n}}(M)$, there exists a partial isometry $u \in M$ such that

$$u^*u = \text{supp}(\varphi), \quad uu^* = \text{supp}(\psi), \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = u\varphi u^*.$$

Proof. (1) We have to show that M has state space diameter 0. But since $S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ is norm-dense in $S_{\text{n}}(M)$, this is the consequence of the strict homogeneity of $S_{\text{nf}}(M)$.

(2) By (1), M is a type III factor. Hence there is a partial isometry $v \in M$ such that $v^*v = \text{supp}(\varphi)$, $vv^* = \text{supp}(\psi)$ holds. Put $\psi' := v^*\psi v$. We see that $\text{supp}(\psi') = v^*\text{supp}(\psi)v = \text{supp}(\varphi)$. Since M is of type III, $M_{\text{supp}(\varphi)} \cong M$ has strictly homogeneous state space. Therefore regarding $\varphi, \psi' \in S_{\text{nf}}(M_{\text{supp}(\varphi)})$ we may find $w \in M_{\text{supp}(\varphi)}$ with $w^*w = ww^* = \text{supp}(\varphi)$ such that $\psi' = w\varphi w^*$. Then $u := vw$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} u^*u &= w^*\text{supp}(\varphi)w = \text{supp}(\varphi), \\ uu^* &= v\text{supp}(\varphi)v^* = vv^* = \text{supp}(\psi), \\ u\varphi u^* &= vw\varphi w^*v^* = v\psi'v^* = \psi. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

By the homogeneity, the Ocneanu ultraproduct of a type III_1 factor does not depend on the choice of a sequence of normal faithful states.

Corollary 4.19. Let M be a σ -finite factor of type III_1 and $(\psi_n)_n \subset S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. Then $(M, \psi_n)^\omega \cong M^\omega$.

Proof. Let $\psi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ and choose (by Connes-Størmer transitivity, cf. Theorem 4.17) a sequence $(u_n)_n$ of unitaries in M such that

$$\|\psi - u_n \psi u_n^*\| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\psi_\omega = (u_n \psi u_n^*)_\omega$ and so $M^\omega = (M, \psi)^\omega \cong (M, \psi_n)^\omega$ by Theorem 3.7 (cf. also the remark after Proposition 3.2). $\square$

Theorem 4.20. *Let M be a σ -finite factor of type III_1 , let $M_n = M$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), and let $N = \prod^\omega M_n$. Then N is not σ -finite, but for any σ -finite projection $p \in N$, one has that pNp has strictly homogeneous state space. In particular, N and M^ω are factors of type III_1 .*

Another proof that N is a type III_1 factor will be given in §6.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.20. Let φ, ψ be normal states in N . By Corollary 3.26, there are sequences of normal states $(\varphi_n)_n, (\psi_n)_n \subset M_*$ such that $\varphi = (\varphi_n)_\omega$ and $\psi = (\psi_n)_\omega$. By Theorem 4.17, there is $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $\|u_n \varphi_n u_n^* - \psi_n\| < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, let $u := (u_n)_\omega \in \mathcal{U}(N)$. Then $u \varphi u^* = \psi$. Hence all normal states of N are unitarily equivalent; in particular, N is not σ -finite (there can be no faithful normal states in this situation).

If $p \in N$ is a σ -finite projection, let φ, ψ be normal faithful states on pNp . Then $\tilde{\varphi} := p\varphi p$ and $\tilde{\psi} := p\psi p$ define normal states on N with support p . By the above, we may choose $u \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ such that $u \tilde{\varphi} u^* = \tilde{\psi}$. Then $up u^* = p$ and hence $v := up \in \mathcal{U}(pNp)$. Also $v \varphi v^* = u \tilde{\varphi} u^* = \tilde{\psi} = \psi$ on elements of pNp . Hence $S_{\text{nf}}(pNp)$ is strictly homogeneous. $\square$

Remark 4.21. The above theorem implies that for a σ -finite type III_1 factor M , every $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(M^\omega)$ is pointwise inner in the sense of [HS2].

We remark that no von Neumann algebra with separable predual has strictly homogeneous state space:

Proposition 4.22. *Let M be a σ -finite factor not isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ with strictly homogeneous state space. Then M_* is not separable.*

Lemma 4.23. *Let M be a σ -finite factor not isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ with strictly homogeneous state space, and let $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. Then for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, there is a projection $p \in M_\varphi$ such that $\varphi(p) = \lambda$ holds.*

Proof. Put $\widetilde{M} := M \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\theta := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\varphi & 0 \\ 0 & (1-\lambda)\varphi \end{pmatrix}$, and $q := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

It holds that $q \in \widetilde{M}_\theta$, and $\theta(q) = \lambda$. Since M is of type III , there is an isomorphism $\Phi : \widetilde{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} M$. Then $\psi := \theta \circ \Phi^{-1}, p' := \Phi(q)$ satisfies $p' \in M_\psi$ and $\psi(p') = \lambda$. Choose, by strict homogeneity of $S_{\text{nf}}(M)$, $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $u \psi u^* = \varphi$. Then $p := up' u^*$ works. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.22. Choose $0 < \lambda < 1$. By Lemma 4.23, there is a projection $p \in M_\varphi$ such that $\varphi(p) = \lambda$. Put $\psi := \frac{1}{\lambda} p \varphi$. By Lemma 4.18

(2), there is a partial isometry $v \in M$ such that $v^*v = \text{supp}(\varphi) = 1$, $vv^* = \text{supp}(\psi) = p$, and $\psi = v\varphi v^*$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} v\varphi &= (v\varphi v^*)v = \psi v = \psi(v \cdot) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} p\varphi(v \cdot) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \varphi(v \cdot p) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \varphi(pv \cdot) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \varphi v. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by [Tak3], Lemma 1.6, $\sigma_t^\varphi(v) = \lambda^{it}v$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which is equivalent to $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\Delta_\varphi)$. Since $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrary, Δ_φ has uncountably many eigenvalues. This shows that $L^2(M, \varphi)$ is not separable, whence M_* is not separable. $\square$

Proposition 4.24. *Let M be a σ -finite factor not isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ with strictly homogeneous state space. Then for any $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$, M_φ is a factor of type II_1 .*

Proof. It is clear that M_φ is a finite von Neumann algebra. If M_φ were not a factor, choose a projection $p \in \mathcal{Z}(M_\varphi) \setminus \{0, 1\}$. We may assume that $0 < s := \varphi(p) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\varphi(p^\perp) = 1 - s \geq s = \varphi(p)$. Since M is of type III, $(1-p)M(1-p) \cong M$. Hence by Lemma 4.23 applied to $\frac{1}{1-s}\varphi|_{M(1-p)}$, there is a projection $q \in M_\varphi$ such that $q \leq 1-p$ and $\varphi(q) = s$. Since $\frac{1}{s}p\varphi$ and $\frac{1}{s}q\varphi$ are normal states on M with support p and q , respectively. By Lemma 4.18, there is a partial isometry $v \in M$ such that $v^*v = p$, $vv^* = q$ and $v(p\varphi)v^* = q\varphi$. Since $p, q \in M_\varphi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi v &= \varphi(v \cdot) = \varphi(qv \cdot) = \varphi(v \cdot q) \\ &= q\varphi v = (vp\varphi v^*)v = vp\varphi p = vp\varphi \\ &= v\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

whence $v \in M_\varphi$. This shows that $p \sim q$ in M_φ . However, as $q \leq 1-p$, we know that $z_{M_\varphi}(q) \perp z_{M_\varphi}(p)$. Therefore $p \sim q$ in M_φ cannot be the case. This shows that M_φ is a factor. Then by Lemma 4.23, M_φ is a II_1 factor. $\square$

4.3 Ultrapower of a Normal Faithful Semifinite Weight

Let $\mathcal{W}_{\text{nfs}}(M)$ be the set of all normal faithful semifinite weights on a σ -finite von Neumann algebra M , and let $E : M^\omega \ni (x_n)^\omega \mapsto \text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \omega} x_n \in M$ be the canonical normal faithful conditional expectation.

Definition 4.25. We define $\varphi^\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{nfs}}(M^\omega)$ by

$$\varphi^\omega := \varphi \circ E, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{nfs}}(M).$$

Since both φ and E are normal and faithful, and since φ is semifinite, $\varphi^\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{nfs}}(M^\omega)$ holds. Note that this definition is in agreement with the definition of the ultrapower state φ^ω when $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. We then have a following partial generalization of Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.26. *Let M be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra, and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{nfs}}(M)$. Then we have*

$$\sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}((x_n)^\omega) = (\sigma_t^\varphi(x_n))^\omega, \quad (x_n)^\omega \in M^\omega, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Let $\psi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$, and let $u_t := (D\varphi^\omega : D\psi^\omega)_t$, ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). Since $\varphi^\omega = \varphi \circ E$ and $\psi^\omega = \psi \circ E$, by Theorem 4.1, we have for $x = (x_n)^\omega \in M^\omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}((x_n)^\omega) &= u_t \sigma_t^{\psi^\omega}((x_n)^\omega) u_t^* \\ &= (D(\varphi \circ E) : D(\psi \circ E))_t (\sigma_t^\psi(x_n))^\omega (D(\varphi \circ E) : D(\psi \circ E))_t^* \\ &= ((D\varphi : D\psi)_t \sigma_t^\psi(x_n) (D\varphi : D\psi)_t^*)^\omega \\ &= (\sigma_t^\varphi(x_n))^\omega. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. $\square$

Recall that a normal faithful semifinite weight φ on a von Neumann algebra M is called *lacunary* if 1 is isolated in $\sigma(\Delta_\varphi)$. The next result will be important for the analysis of the Ocneanu ultraproduct of type III_0 factors.

Proposition 4.27. *Let M be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra, and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{nfs}}(M)$ be lacunary. Then $(M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega} \cong (M_\varphi)^\omega$ holds.*

Proof. We first prove that $(M_\varphi)^\omega \subset (M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega}$. Since φ is lacunary, it is strictly semifinite [HS2] and therefore there exists a normal faithful φ -preserving conditional expectation $E : M \rightarrow M_\varphi$. Therefore we may regard $(M_\varphi)^\omega \subset M^\omega$. Let $x = (x_n)^\omega \in (M_\varphi)^\omega$. Then by Lemma 4.26, we have $\sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}(x) = (\sigma_t^\varphi(x_n))^\omega = x$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$), whence $x \in (M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega}$ holds.

Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ be such that $\sigma(\Delta_\varphi) \cap (\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) = \{1\}$.

Step 1. We next prove $(M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega} \subset (M_\varphi)^\omega$ for the case where $\varphi(1) < \infty$. Let $x = (x_n)^\omega \in (M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega}$ with $\|x\xi_{\varphi^\omega}\| = 1$. Then by Corollary 4.8 (2), we have

$$\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}^{\frac{1}{2}} x \xi_{\varphi^\omega} = x \xi_{\varphi^\omega} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|\Delta_\varphi^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n \xi_\varphi - x_n \xi_\varphi\| = 0.$$

Let $p := 1_{\{1\}}(\Delta_\varphi)$ be the spectral projection of Δ_φ corresponding to the eigenvalue 1. Then by assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_\varphi^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n \xi_\varphi - x_n \xi_\varphi\| &= \|\Delta_\varphi^{\frac{1}{2}} p^\perp x_n \xi_\varphi - p^\perp x_n \xi_\varphi\| \\ &\geq \min(1 - \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1) \|p^\perp x_n \xi_\varphi\| \\ &= (1 - \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|p^\perp x_n \xi_\varphi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n \xi_\varphi - p x_n \xi_\varphi\| = 0.$$

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})_+$ be such that $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) \subset (\log \lambda, -\log \lambda)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) dt = 1$. Let

$$y_n := \sigma_f^\varphi(x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \sigma_t^\varphi(x_n) dt, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Since

$$\sigma_f^\varphi(x_n) \xi_\varphi = \hat{f}(\log \Delta_\varphi) x_n \xi_\varphi,$$

we have $\text{Sp}_{\sigma_\varphi}(y_n) \subset \text{Sp}_{\sigma_\varphi}(x_n) \cap (\log \lambda, -\log \lambda) = \{0\}$ and $y_n \in M_\varphi$. It is clear that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|y_n\| \leq \|f\|_1 \sup_{n \geq 1} \|x_n\| < \infty$. We have

$$p x_n \xi_\varphi = \hat{f}(\log \Delta_\varphi) x_n \xi_\varphi = y_n \xi_\varphi, \quad n \geq 1.$$

This implies that $\|x_n \xi_\varphi - y_n \xi_\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \omega$). Since $\Delta_{\varphi^\omega} x^* \xi_{\varphi^\omega} = x^* \xi_{\varphi^\omega}$ also holds, we have also $\|x_n^* \xi_\varphi - y_n^* \xi_\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$. Since M_φ is a finite von Neumann algebra, $(y_n)_n$ defines an element in $(M_\varphi)^\omega$, and $x = (y_n)^\omega$ holds. Therefore $(M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega} \subset (M_\varphi)^\omega$.

Step 2. Finally, we prove $(M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega} \subset (M_\varphi)^\omega$ for a general lacunary $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{nfs}}(M)$. Take $\lambda > 0$ as in Step 1. Since the restriction of φ to M_φ is a semifinite trace, there exists an increasing net $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ of projections in M_φ such that $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ converges strongly to 1, and $\varphi(p_i) < \infty$ for all $i \in I$. Let $x \in (M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega}$. Fix arbitrary $i \in I$. Identifying $p_i M^\omega p_i$ with $(p_i M p_i)^\omega$ ([MaTo], Proposition 2.10), we may regard $p_i x p_i \in (p_i M p_i)^\omega$. Furthermore, as $p_i \in M_\varphi$ and $\varphi^\omega(p_i) = \varphi(p_i) < \infty$, the restriction $\varphi_{p_i}^\omega$ of φ^ω to $(p_i M p_i)^\omega$ is a normal faithful positive linear functional, and $p_i M^\omega p_i \cap (M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega} = ((p_i M p_i)^\omega)_{\varphi_{p_i}^\omega}$. It also holds that φ_p^ω is the ultrapower of φ_{p_i} . Since $\Delta_{\varphi_{p_i}} = \Delta_\varphi|_{p_i J_\varphi p_i J_\varphi L^2(M, \varphi)}$, we have $\sigma(\Delta_{\varphi_{p_i}}) \cap (\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) \subset \sigma(\Delta_\varphi) \cap (\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) = \{1\}$, and hence φ_{p_i} is lacunary on $p_i M p_i$. Therefore by Step 1, we have $((p_i M p_i)^\omega)_{\varphi_{p_i}^\omega} = ((p_i M p_i)_{\varphi_{p_i}})^\omega$ holds. Therefore $p_i x p_i \in ((p_i M p_i)_{\varphi_{p_i}})^\omega \subset (M_\varphi)^\omega$. Since $i \in I$ is arbitrary, and $p_i x p_i \rightarrow x$ strongly, we have that $x \in (M_\varphi)^\omega$. Therefore $(M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega} \subset (M_\varphi)^\omega$. $\square$

Remark 4.28. If φ is not lacunary, then $(M_\varphi)^\omega$ can be a proper subalgebra of $(M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega}$. In fact, let φ be a normal faithful state on Araki-Woods type III₁ factor R_∞ with $(R_\infty)_\varphi = \mathbb{C}$. Existence of such φ was shown by Herman and Takesaki [HerTak]. By Theorem 4.20, R_∞^ω has strictly homogeneous state space. By Proposition 4.24, $(R_\infty^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega}$ is a type II₁ factor. Therefore

$$(R_\infty^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega} \supsetneq ((R_\infty)_\varphi)^\omega = \mathbb{C}.$$

4.4 The Golodets State $\dot{\varphi}_\omega$ and Tensorial Absorption of Powers Factors

In this section, we reinterpret the main result of Golodets' work ([Gol1], Theorem 2.5.2) on the asymptotic algebra from our viewpoint. Following notations in §3.5, let M be a factor with separable predual, and consider the asymptotic algebra C_M^ω induced by $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. φ naturally induces a normal faithful state $\tilde{\varphi} = \overline{\varphi}|_{\mathcal{R}}$ on $\mathcal{R} = e_\omega \pi_{\text{Gol}}(\ell^\infty)'' e_\omega$, hence a normal faithful state $\dot{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi}|_{C_M^\omega}$. The main results of Golodets' work in [Gol1] were

- (1) to generalize the central sequence algebra $M' \cap M^\omega$ for type III factors and give a characterization of Araki's Property L'_λ ($0 < \lambda < 1$): $M \cong M \overline{\otimes} R_\lambda$ ([Ara2]) if and only if λ is the eigenvalue of $\Delta_{\dot{\varphi}}$.
- (2) to show that the centralizer $(C_M^\omega)_{\dot{\varphi}}$ plays the similar role as Connes's M_ω , namely M is McDuff if and only if $(C_M^\omega)_{\dot{\varphi}}$ is noncommutative.

Regarding (2), Golodets and Nessonov ([GoNe], Lemma 1.1) later showed that $(C_M^\omega)_{\dot{\varphi}}$ is indeed isomorphic to M_ω for a factor M with separable predual.

We show that these results can be naturally interpreted by the Ocneanu's setting. We start from the following observation:

Proposition 4.29. *Let M be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra, and let $\varphi, \psi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ such that $\varphi|_{Z(M)} = \psi|_{Z(M)}$. Then $\dot{\varphi}_\omega = \dot{\psi}_\omega$, where $\dot{\varphi}_\omega := \varphi^\omega|_{M' \cap M^\omega}$, $\dot{\psi}_\omega := \psi^\omega|_{M' \cap M^\omega}$.*

$\psi^\omega|_{M' \cap M^\omega}$. In particular, if M is a σ -finite factor, then $\dot{\varphi}_\omega$ does not depend on φ .

Remark 4.30. We thank Yoshimichi Ueda for the discussion which improved Proposition 4.29 to the current form.

Proof of Proposition 4.29. Recall that $M^\omega \ni (x_n)^\omega \mapsto \text{wot-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} x_n \in M$ defines a normal faithful conditional expectation E . It is easy to see that $E((x_n)^\omega) \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$ if $(x_n)^\omega \in M' \cap M^\omega$. Since $\varphi^\omega = \varphi \circ E$, $\psi^\omega = \psi \circ E$, and since φ and ψ agree on $\mathcal{Z}(M)$, we have

$$\dot{\varphi}_\omega = \varphi \circ E|_{M' \cap M^\omega} = \psi \circ E|_{M' \cap M^\omega} = \dot{\psi}_\omega.$$

□

Definition 4.31. Let M be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra, and let $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. We call $\dot{\varphi}_\omega = \varphi^\omega|_{M' \cap M^\omega}$ the *Golodets state* associated with φ .

Next theorem corresponds to Golodets' work (1) mentioned above.

Theorem 4.32 (Golodets). *Let M be a σ -finite factor of type III. Then $M \cong M \overline{\otimes} R_\lambda$ holds if and only if $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\Delta_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega})$ for some (hence any) $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$.*

To prove the theorem we use the following characterization of the condition $M \cong M \overline{\otimes} R_\lambda$.

Lemma 4.33 ([TakBook], Theorem XVIII.4.1). *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and let M be a σ -finite factor of type III. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $M \cong M \overline{\otimes} R_\lambda$.
- (2) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$, there exists nonzero $x \in M$ such that

$$\|(\Delta_{\dot{\varphi}_j}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})x\xi_{\varphi_j}\|^2 \leq \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j(x^*x).$$

Proof of Theorem 4.32. (1) Assume $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\Delta_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega})$, and suppose $\varepsilon > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ are given. Define $\psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i \in M_*^+$. By assumption, there exists $y \in M' \cap M^\omega$ with $\|y\|_\psi = 1$ satisfying

$$\sigma_t^{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}(y) = \lambda^{it}y, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Take a representative $(y_n)_n$ of y . By Proposition 4.29, $\dot{\varphi}_{j\omega} = \dot{\psi}_\omega (= \dot{\varphi}_\omega)$ holds for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Note that since $\|[\sigma_t^\varphi(x), y]\|_\varphi^\sharp = \|[x, \sigma_{-t}^\varphi(y)]\|_\varphi^\sharp$ ($x, y \in M$), $M' \cap M^\omega$ is $\sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}$ -invariant thanks to Theorem 4.1. Therefore we have $\sigma_t^{\varphi_{j\omega}} = \sigma_t^{\varphi_j^\omega}|_{M' \cap M^\omega}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq j \leq n$). This implies that

$$\Delta_{\varphi_j^\omega}^{\frac{1}{2}} y \xi_{\varphi_j^\omega} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} y \xi_{\varphi_j^\omega}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n.)$$

This means that (Corollary 4.8 (2))

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|\Delta_{\varphi_j}^{\frac{1}{2}} y_k \xi_{\varphi_j} - \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} y_k \xi_{\varphi_j}\| = 0, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{\varphi_j}^{\frac{1}{2}} y_k \xi_{\varphi_j} - \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} y_k \xi_{\varphi_j}\| &\leq \varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon), \quad (1 \leq j \leq n) \\ \|\|y_k\|_{\psi}^2 - 1\| &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for each $1 \leq j \leq n$ and for $x = y_k$, we have

$$\|\Delta_{\varphi_j}^{\frac{1}{2}} x \xi_{\varphi_j} - \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} x \xi_{\varphi_j}\| \leq \varepsilon \|x\|_{\psi}^2 = \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x^* x).$$

By Lemma 4.33, we have $M \cong M \overline{\otimes} R_{\lambda}$.

Conversely, assume $M \cong M \overline{\otimes} R_{\lambda}$ holds. Fix $\psi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ and put $N := M \overline{\otimes} R_{\lambda}$. Let $\varphi_{\lambda} = \bigotimes_{\mathbb{N}} \text{Tr}(\rho_{\lambda} \cdot)$ and let $x_n := \pi^{-1}(1 \otimes u_n) \in M$, where

$$u_n := 1^{\otimes n} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1 \cdots \in R_{\lambda}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and $\pi : M \xrightarrow{\cong} N$ is a $*$ -isomorphism. Then it holds that $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^{\omega}(M)$. In fact, it is clear that $\|x_n\| = 1$, $n \geq 1$ and hence $(x_n)_n \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, M)$. Let $L_{\psi} : M \overline{\otimes} R_{\lambda} \rightarrow R_{\lambda}$ be a left-slice map given as the extension of the map L_{ψ}^0 defined on the algebraic tensor product $M \odot R_{\lambda}$ by

$$L_{\psi}^0 \left(\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \right) := \sum_i \psi(a_i) b_i, \quad a_i \in M, b_i \in R_{\lambda}.$$

L_{ψ} is a normal conditional expectation (see [Bra], III.2.2.6). Let $(b_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}_{\omega}(N)$. Using $u_n \varphi_{\lambda} = \lambda^{-1} \varphi_{\lambda} u_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|b_n \pi(x_n)\|_{\psi \otimes \varphi_{\lambda}}^2 &= \psi \otimes \varphi_{\lambda}((1 \otimes u_n^*) b_n^* b_n (1 \otimes u_n)) = \varphi_{\lambda}(L_{\psi}((1 \otimes u_n^*) b_n^* b_n (1 \otimes u_n))) \\ &= \varphi_{\lambda}(u_n^* L_{\psi}(b_n^* b_n) u_n) = \lambda^{-1} \varphi_{\lambda}(u_n u_n^* L_{\psi}(b_n b_n^*)) \\ &\leq \lambda^{-1} \|L_{\psi}(b_n^* b_n)\|_{\varphi_{\lambda}} \|u_n u_n^*\|_{\varphi_{\lambda}} \\ &\leq \lambda^{-1} |\varphi_{\lambda}(L_{\psi}((b_n^* b_n)^2))|^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (L_{\psi} \text{ is a conditional expectation}), \end{aligned}$$

and since $(b_n^* b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{I}_{\omega}(N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\lambda}(L_{\psi}((b_n^* b_n)^2)) &= \psi \otimes \varphi_{\lambda}((b_n^* b_n)^2) = \|b_n^* b_n\|_{\psi \otimes \varphi_{\lambda}}^2 \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(b_n \pi(x_n))_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega}(N)$. Since $(b_n \pi(x_n))_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega}^*(N)$ automatically, we have $(b_n \pi(x_n))_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{I}_{\omega}(N)$. Similarly, we have $(\pi(x_n) b_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega}^*(N)$ and thus $(\pi(x_n) b_n)_n \in \mathcal{I}_{\omega}(N)$, which shows that $(\pi(x_n))_n \in \mathcal{M}^{\omega}(N)$, and hence $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^{\omega}(M)$. It is then easy to show that $(x_n)^{\omega} \in M' \cap M^{\omega}$. It also holds that $\sigma_t^{\psi \otimes \varphi_{\lambda}}(\pi(x_n)) = \lambda^{it} \pi(x_n)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where φ_{λ} is the Powers state and ψ is a normal faithful state on M . Therefore $\varphi := (\psi \otimes \varphi_{\lambda}) \circ \pi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ satisfies $\sigma_t^{\dot{\varphi}_{\omega}}((x_n)^{\omega}) = \lambda^{it} (x_n)^{\omega}$. Therefore $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\Delta_{\dot{\varphi}_{\omega}})$ holds. $\square$

Next, regarding Golodets' and Golodets-Nessonov's work (2) above, we prove that $(C_M^{\omega})_{\dot{\varphi}_{\omega}}$ is nothing but M_{ω} when we identify C_M^{ω} with $M' \cap M^{\omega}$ (cf. §3.5, Theorem 3.30). Note that we do not need the factoriality of M or the separability of the predual. This result will play a crucial role in answering Ueda's question in §5.

Proposition 4.34. *Let M be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra. Let $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. Then the centralizer of the Golodets state $\dot{\varphi}_\omega$ is M_ω .*

Lemma 4.35. *Let $(M_n, \varphi_n)_n$ be a sequence of pairs of σ -finite von Neumann algebras and normal faithful states. Let $(x_n)_n, (y_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(M_n, \varphi_n)$. Then we have*

$$\|(x_n)^\omega(\varphi_n)^\omega - (\varphi_n)^\omega(y_n)^\omega\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n y_n\|$$

In particular, $(x_n)^\omega \in ((M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega}$ holds if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n x_n\| = 0$ holds.

Proof. We use abbreviated notations as $\ell^\infty, \mathcal{M}^\omega, \mathcal{L}_\omega, \mathcal{I}_\omega$ as in §3.3. Put $C_1 := \|(x_n)^\omega(\varphi_n)^\omega - (\varphi_n)^\omega(y_n)^\omega\|$ and $C_2 := \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n y_n\|$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and choose $a \in \text{Ball}((M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega)$ such that

$$|\langle a, (x_n)^\omega(\varphi_n)^\omega - (\varphi_n)^\omega(y_n)^\omega \rangle| > C_1 - \varepsilon.$$

Since $(M_n, \varphi_n)^\omega$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{M}^\omega$, we may find $(a_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$ with $a = (a_n)^\omega$, such that $\|(a_n)_n\| = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|a_n\| \leq 1$.

Therefore we have

$$C_1 - \varepsilon < \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} |\langle a_n, x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n y_n \rangle| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n y_n\|.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have $C_1 \leq C_2$.

To prove $C_2 \leq C_1$, let $a_n \in \text{Ball}(M_n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be such that

$$|\langle a_n, x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n y_n \rangle| > \|x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n y_n\| - \frac{1}{n}. \quad (15)$$

By Proposition 3.17, there exists $(b_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$, $(c_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$, and $(d_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^*$ such that $a_n = b_n + c_n + d_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $\|(b_n)_n\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|a_n\| \leq 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_n, x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n y_n \rangle &= \langle b_n, x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n y_n \rangle + \langle c_n x_n \xi_{\varphi_n}, \xi_{\varphi_n} \rangle - \langle c_n \xi_{\varphi_n}, y_n^* \xi_{\varphi_n} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle x_n \xi_{\varphi_n}, d_n^* \xi_{\varphi_n} \rangle - \langle \xi_{\varphi_n}, d_n^* y_n^* \xi_{\varphi_n} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since $(c_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$ and $(d_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^*$, the third and the fourth term in the right hand side of Eq. (16) will vanish as $n \rightarrow \omega$. Also, as $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$, Corollary 3.11 (1) implies that $(c_n x_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$ and $(d_n^* y_n^*)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$, whence the second and the fifth term will vanish as $n \rightarrow \omega$. Therefore we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} |\langle a_n, x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n y_n \rangle| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} |\langle b_n, x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n y_n \rangle|. \quad (17)$$

Then by Eqs. (15) and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n y_n\| &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} |\langle b_n, x_n \varphi_n - \varphi_n y_n \rangle| \\ &= \langle (b_n)^\omega, (x_n)^\omega(\varphi_n)^\omega - (\varphi_n)^\omega(y_n)^\omega \rangle \\ &\leq \|(x_n)^\omega(\varphi_n)^\omega - (\varphi_n)^\omega(y_n)^\omega\|, \end{aligned}$$

whence $C_2 \leq C_1$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.34. For $M_\omega \subset (M' \cap M^\omega)_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}$, let $(x_n)^\omega \in M_\omega$. Then for $(y_n)^\omega \in M^\omega$, we have

$$|\varphi(y_n x_n - x_n y_n)| = |[x_n, \varphi](y_n)| \leq \|y_n\| \cdot \|[x_n, \varphi]\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \omega} 0.$$

Hence $(x_n)^\omega \in (M^\omega)_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega} \cap (M' \cap M^\omega) \subset (M' \cap M^\omega)_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}$ holds.

For $M_\omega \supset (M' \cap M^\omega)_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}$, let $(x_n)^\omega \in (M' \cap M^\omega)_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}$. Since $\sigma_t^{\dot{\varphi}_\omega} = \sigma_t^{\varphi_\omega}|_{M' \cap M^\omega}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) (see the proof of Theorem 4.32), we have $\sigma_t^{\varphi_\omega}((x_n)^\omega) = (x_n)^\omega$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). Therefore by Lemma 4.35, we have

$$(x_n)^\omega \varphi^\omega = \varphi^\omega(x_n)^\omega \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n \varphi - \varphi x_n\| = 0.$$

Then by [TakBook], Lemma XIV.3.4 (ii), $(x_n)^\omega \in M_\omega$ holds. $\square$

Remark 4.36. The equivalence $(x_n)^\omega \varphi^\omega = \varphi^\omega(x_n)^\omega \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n \varphi - \varphi x_n\| = 0$ can be seen using Corollary 4.8 (2) and [Haa3], Lemma 2.8 (a) instead.

5 Ueda's Question: $M_\omega = \mathbb{C} \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} M' \cap M^\omega = \mathbb{C}$

Let M be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra. Recall the definition of M_ω introduced by Connes [Con3], which is a generalization of the central sequence algebra $M' \cap M^\omega$ for the case of II_1 factor.

Definition 5.1. The *asymptotic centralizer* M_ω of M is defined as the quotient C^* algebra $\mathcal{M}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)/\mathcal{I}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M)$, where

$$\mathcal{M}_\omega(\mathbb{N}, M) := \left\{ (x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M); \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n \psi - \psi x_n\| = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in M_* \right\}.$$

M_ω is a finite von Neumann algebra for any M .

It is known that if M is σ -finite, and if $(x_n)^\omega \in M' \cap M^\omega$ satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n \varphi - \varphi x_n\| = 0$ for one $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$, then $(x_n)^\omega \in M_\omega$ (see Lemma XIV.3.4 (ii) of [TakBook]). Therefore the existence of a normal faithful tracial state shows that $M' \cap M^\omega = M_\omega$ for a finite von Neumann algebra. The same is true for type II_∞ factors. However, for type III factors, it is often the case that $M_\omega \subsetneq M' \cap M^\omega$.

Example 5.2. The following example has been known to experts. We add it for the reader's convenience. Let $(R_\lambda, \varphi) = \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \text{Tr}(\rho_\lambda \cdot))$ be the Powers factor of type III_λ ($0 < \lambda < 1$), where $\rho_\lambda = \text{diag}(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}, \frac{1}{1+\lambda})$. Let

$$u_n := 1^{\otimes n} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \in R_\lambda, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then $(u_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega(R_\lambda)$ and $(u_n)^\omega \in R'_\lambda \cap R_\lambda^\omega$. On the other hand, we have

$$\varphi u_n = \lambda u_n \varphi, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore $\|u_n \varphi - \varphi u_n\| = (1 - \lambda) \neq 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), and hence $(u_n)^\omega \notin (R_\lambda)_\omega$. Moreover, $R'_\lambda \cap R_\lambda^\omega$ is a type III_λ factor. To see this, by [Tak4], Proposition 1, $(R_\lambda)_\omega$ is a type II_1 factor. Therefore by Proposition 4.34 below, the centralizer

of the Golodets state $\dot{\varphi}_\omega = \varphi^\omega|_{R'_\lambda \cap (R_\lambda)^\omega}$ is a factor, whence by Corollary 4.8 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\sigma^{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}) &= \text{Sp}(\sigma^{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}) = \log(\sigma(\Delta_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}) \setminus \{0\}) \\ &\subset \log(\sigma(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) \setminus \{0\}) = \log(\sigma(\Delta_\varphi) \setminus \{0\}) \\ &= (\log \lambda)\mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $(\log \lambda)\mathbb{Z} \subset \text{Sp}(\sigma^{\dot{\varphi}_\omega})$. Therefore as $\Gamma(\sigma^{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}) = \log(S(R'_\lambda \cap R_\lambda^\omega) \setminus \{0\})$, we have

$$S(R'_\lambda \cap R_\lambda^\omega) = \{\lambda^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}.$$

This proves that $R'_\lambda \cap R_\lambda^\omega$ is a type III_λ factor.

In spite of the above example, in [Ueda1], § 5.2, Ueda asked whether $M_\omega = \mathbb{C}$ implies $M' \cap M^\omega = \mathbb{C}$. We prove that the answer to his question is affirmative when M has separable predual.

Theorem 5.3. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra with a separable predual for which $M_\omega = \mathbb{C}$ holds. Then $M' \cap M^\omega = \mathbb{C}$ holds.*

The following Lemma is well-known.

Lemma 5.4. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra, φ be a normal faithful state on M with $M_\varphi = \mathbb{C}$. Then M is either $\mathbb{C}$ or a factor of type III_1 .*

Proof. Let H be a Hilbert space on which M acts. Since $\mathcal{Z}(M) \subset M_\varphi = \mathbb{C}$, M is a factor. Suppose M is semifinite with a normal faithful semifinite trace τ . Then there exists a positive self-adjoint operator $h \in L^1(M, \tau)$ with $\tau(h) = 1$ such that $\varphi = \tau(h \cdot)$ holds. It is well known that this implies $\sigma_t^\varphi(x) = h^{it} x h^{-it}$ for every $x \in M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let A be the abelian von Neumann algebra generated by all spectral projections of h . Then for $x \in M$, $x \in M_\varphi$ holds if and only if x commutes with h^{it} for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which is equivalent to the condition $x \in A'$, hence $M_\varphi = A' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$. Since $A \subset A' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$, h must be a multiple of 1 and τ is a tracial state. This implies that $\varphi = \tau$, and

$$M_\varphi = M_\tau = M = \mathbb{C}.$$

Suppose next that M is of type III_λ ($\lambda \neq 1$). Then by Théorème 4.2.1 ($0 < \lambda < 1$ case) and Théorème 5.2.1 ($\lambda = 0$ case) of Connes [Con1], there exists a maximal abelian subalgebra A of M_φ which is maximal abelian in M . This in particular means that M_φ cannot be $\mathbb{C}$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.3.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Put $N := M' \cap M^\omega$. Take an arbitrary $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. Since $\mathcal{Z}(M) \subset M_\omega = \mathbb{C}$, M is a factor. By Proposition 4.29, the Golodets state $\dot{\varphi}_\omega := \varphi^\omega|_N \in S_{\text{nf}}(N)$ does not depend on the choice of φ . By Proposition 4.34, $N_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega} = \mathbb{C}$. Then by Lemma 5.4, N is either $\mathbb{C}$ or a factor of type III_1 . Suppose N is a type III_1 factor and we shall get a contradiction. Fix $0 < \lambda < 1$. Since N is of type III , there exists an automorphism $\alpha : N \rightarrow N \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Define $\psi \in S_{\text{nf}}(N)$ by

$$\psi := [\dot{\varphi}_\omega \otimes \text{Tr}(\rho_\lambda \cdot)] \circ \alpha,$$

where $\rho_\lambda := \text{diag}(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}, \frac{1}{1+\lambda})$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By Connes-Størmer transitivity [CS] (note that the transitivity holds without any assumption on the predual thanks to [HS1]), there exists $u \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ such that

$$\|\dot{\varphi}_\omega - u\psi u^*\| < \varepsilon. \quad (18)$$

Define a 2×2 matrix unit $\{f_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^2$ in N by

$$f_{ij} := u^* \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{i,j})u, \quad 1 \leq i,j \leq 2,$$

where $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^2$ is the standard matrix unit in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. For $x \in N$, write $\alpha(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, where $x_{ij} \in N$. By a straightforward computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{12})](x) &= \psi(\alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{12})x) \\ &= [\dot{\varphi}_\omega \otimes \text{Tr}(\rho_\lambda \cdot)] \begin{pmatrix} x_{21} & x_{22} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \dot{\varphi}_\omega(x_{21}) \\ [\alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{12})\psi](x) &= [\dot{\varphi}_\omega \otimes \text{Tr}(\rho_\lambda \cdot)] \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{11} \\ 0 & x_{21} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \dot{\varphi}_\omega(x_{21}). \end{aligned}$$

Doing similar computations, we have the following equalities:

$$\psi \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{ii}) = \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{ii})\psi, \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad (19)$$

$$\psi \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{12}) = \lambda \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{12})\psi, \quad (20)$$

$$\psi \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{21}) = \lambda^{-1} \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{21})\psi. \quad (21)$$

Using Eq. (18) and Eqs. (19)-(21), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{\varphi}_\omega f_{12} - \lambda f_{12} \dot{\varphi}_\omega\| &= \|\dot{\varphi}_\omega u^* \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{12})u - \lambda u^* \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{12})u \dot{\varphi}_\omega\| \\ &= \|u \dot{\varphi}_\omega u^* \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{12}) - \lambda \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{12})u \dot{\varphi}_\omega u^*\| \\ &\leq \|(u \dot{\varphi}_\omega u^* - \psi) \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{12})\| + \|\lambda \alpha^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{12})(\psi - u \dot{\varphi}_\omega u^*)\| \\ &\leq (1 + \lambda) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Doing similar computations, we obtain

$$\|\dot{\varphi}_\omega f_{ii} - f_{ii} \dot{\varphi}_\omega\| \leq 2\varepsilon, \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad (22)$$

$$\|\dot{\varphi}_\omega f_{12} - \lambda f_{12} \dot{\varphi}_\omega\| \leq (1 + \lambda) \varepsilon, \quad (23)$$

$$\|\dot{\varphi}_\omega f_{21} - \lambda^{-1} f_{21} \dot{\varphi}_\omega\| \leq (1 + \lambda^{-1}) \varepsilon. \quad (24)$$

Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^\sharp$ -dense sequence of the unit ball of M .

Claim 1. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $f_{ij}^{(n)} \in M$ ($i, j = 1, 2$) satisfying the following conditions:

$$(i) \quad \|f_{ij}^{(n)}\| \leq 1 \quad (i, j = 1, 2).$$

- (ii) $\|\varphi f_{ii}^{(n)} - f_{ii}^{(n)} \varphi\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad (i = 1, 2).$
- (iii) $\|\varphi f_{12}^{(n)} - \lambda f_{12}^{(n)} \varphi\| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$
- (iv) $\|\varphi f_{21}^{(n)} - \lambda^{-1} f_{21}^{(n)} \varphi\| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$
- (v) $\|(f_{ij}^{(n)})^* - f_{ji}^{(n)}\|_{\varphi}^{\#} \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad (i, j = 1, 2).$
- (vi) $\|f_{ij}^{(n)} a_m - a_m f_{ij}^{(n)}\|_{\varphi}^{\#} \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad (1 \leq m \leq n, \quad i, j = 1, 2).$
- (vii) $\|f_{ij}^{(n)} f_{kl}^{(n)} - \delta_{jk} f_{il}^{(n)}\|_{\varphi}^{\#} \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad (i, j, k, l = 1, 2)$
- (viii) $\|f_{11}^{(n)} + f_{22}^{(n)} - 1\|_{\varphi}^{\#} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$

By Eqs (22)-(24), there exists a matrix unit $(f_{ij})_{i,j=1}^2 \in M' \cap M^{\omega}$ satisfying the following conditions.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{\varphi}_{\omega} f_{ii} - f_{ii} \dot{\varphi}_{\omega}\| &\leq \frac{1}{2n}. \quad (i = 1, 2) \\ \|\dot{\varphi}_{\omega} f_{12} - \lambda f_{12} \dot{\varphi}_{\omega}\| &\leq \frac{1}{2n}. \\ \|\dot{\varphi}_{\omega} f_{21} - \lambda^{-1} f_{21} \dot{\varphi}_{\omega}\| &\leq \frac{1}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $M' \cap M^{\omega}$ is $\sigma_t^{\varphi^{\omega}}$ -invariant, by Takesaki's Theorem [Tak2], there exists a normal faithful conditional expectation $E: M^{\omega} \rightarrow M' \cap M^{\omega}$ with $\varphi^{\omega} = \dot{\varphi}_{\omega} \circ E$. Since $f_{ij} \in M' \cap M^{\omega}$, we have $E(f_{ij}) = f_{ij}$. Therefore for every $a \in M^{\omega}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi^{\omega} f_{ii} - f_{ii} \varphi^{\omega})(a) &= \varphi^{\omega}(f_{ii} a - a f_{ii}) = \dot{\varphi}_{\omega} \circ E(f_{ii} a - a f_{ii}) \\ &= \dot{\varphi}_{\omega}(f_{ii} E(a) - E(a) f_{ii}) = (\dot{\varphi}_{\omega} f_{ii} - f_{ii} \dot{\varphi}_{\omega})(E(a)), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\|\varphi^{\omega} f_{ii} - f_{ii} \varphi^{\omega}\| \leq \|\dot{\varphi}_{\omega} f_{ii} - f_{ii} \dot{\varphi}_{\omega}\|$. Since $\|\varphi^{\omega} f_{ii} - f_{ii} \varphi^{\omega}\| \geq \|\dot{\varphi}_{\omega} f_{ii} - f_{ii} \dot{\varphi}_{\omega}\|$, we have

$$\|\varphi^{\omega} f_{ii} - f_{ii} \varphi^{\omega}\| = \|\dot{\varphi}_{\omega} f_{ii} - f_{ii} \dot{\varphi}_{\omega}\| \leq \frac{1}{2n} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi^{\omega} f_{12} - \lambda f_{12} \varphi^{\omega}\| &\leq \frac{1}{2n}, \\ \|\varphi^{\omega} f_{21} - \lambda^{-1} f_{21} \varphi^{\omega}\| &\leq \frac{1}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $(f_{ij}^{(k)})_k \in \mathcal{M}^{\omega}$ ($i, j = 1, 2$) such that $f_{ij} = (f_{ij}^{(k)})^{\omega}$. They can be chosen to satisfy $\|f_{ij}^{(k)}\| \leq 1$. By the definition of f_{ij} and the matrix unit property, together with Lemma 4.35, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)}^* \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|\varphi f_{ii}^{(k)} - f_{ii}^{(k)} \varphi\| &\leq \frac{1}{2n} \quad (i = 1, 2). \\ \text{(iii)}^* \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|\varphi f_{12}^{(k)} - \lambda f_{12}^{(k)} \varphi\| &\leq \frac{1}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{iv})^* \quad & \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|\varphi f_{21}^{(k)} - \lambda^{-1} f_{21}^{(k)} \varphi\| \leq \frac{1}{2n}. \\
(\text{v})^* \quad & \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|(f_{ij}^{(k)})^* - f_{ji}^{(k)}\|_\varphi^\# = 0 \quad (i, j = 1, 2). \\
(\text{vi})^* \quad & \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|f_{ij}^{(k)} a_m - a_m f_{ij}^{(k)}\|_\varphi^\# = 0 \quad (m \geq 1, i, j = 1, 2). \\
(\text{vii})^* \quad & \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|f_{ij}^{(k)} f_{lm}^{(k)} - \delta_{jl} f_{im}^{(k)}\|_\varphi^\# = 0 \quad (i, j, l, m = 1, 2). \\
(\text{viii})^* \quad & \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|f_{11}^{(k)} + f_{22}^{(k)} - 1\|_\varphi^\# = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For fixed n , there are only finitely many conditions. Therefore there exists $k = k(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{ij}^{(k(n))}$ satisfies all the conditions (i)-(viii) in the claim.

Claim 2. If $(f_{ij}^{(n)})_{i,j=1}^2 \in M$ satisfies conditions (i)-(viii) in Claim 1 for all $n \geq 1$, then $(f_{ij}^{(n)})_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$ holds for $i, j = 1, 2$.

By (i), $(f_{ij}^{(n)})_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$ holds. Let $(b_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{I}_\omega$ with $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|b_n\| \leq 1$. Then $(f_{ij}^{(n)} b_n) \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$ holds automatically. On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(f_{ij}^{(n)} b_n (f_{ij}^{(n)} b_n)^*) &\leq |\varphi(f_{ij}^{(n)} b_n b_n^* \{(f_{ij}^{(n)})^* - f_{ji}^{(n)}\})| + |\varphi(f_{ij}^{(n)} b_n b_n^* f_{ji}^{(n)})| \\
&\leq \|b_n b_n^* (f_{ij}^{(n)})^*\|_\varphi \| (f_{ij}^{(n)})^* - f_{ji}^{(n)} \|_\varphi + |(\varphi f_{ij}^{(n)} - c(i, j) f_{ij}^{(n)} \varphi)(b_n b_n^* f_{ji}^{(n)})| \\
&\quad + c(i, j) |\varphi(b_n b_n^* f_{ji}^{(n)} f_{ij}^{(n)})| \\
&\leq \|b_n\|^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n} \cdot \|b_n\|^2 + c(i, j) \|b_n b_n^*\|_\varphi \|f_{ji}^{(n)} f_{ij}^{(n)}\|_\varphi \\
&\leq \frac{3}{2n} + c(i, j) \|b_n b_n^*\|_\varphi \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \omega} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c(i, j) := \begin{cases} 1 & (i = j) \\ \lambda & (i = 1, j = 2) \\ \lambda^{-1} & (i = 2, j = 1). \end{cases}$$

This shows that $(f_{ij}^{(n)} b_n)_n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^*$, and hence $(f_{ij}^{(n)} b_n) \in \mathcal{I}^\omega = \mathcal{L}_\omega \cap \mathcal{L}_\omega^*$. Similarly, we have $(b_n f_{ij}^{(n)})_n \in \mathcal{I}^\omega$. This proves that $(f_{ij}^{(n)})_n \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$ for $i, j = 1, 2$.

Therefore by Claim 1 and Claim 2, we see that $(g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^2$, where $g_{ij} := (f_{ij}^{(n)})^\omega$ is a well-defined matrix unit in $M' \cap M^\omega$, and using conditions (i)-(viii) in Claim 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{ii} \dot{\varphi}_\omega &= \dot{\varphi}_\omega g_{ii}, & (i = 1, 2) \\
\dot{\varphi}_\omega g_{12} &= \lambda g_{12} \dot{\varphi}_\omega, \\
\dot{\varphi}_\omega g_{21} &= \lambda^{-1} g_{21} \dot{\varphi}_\omega.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $g_{ii} \in N_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}$ ($i = 1, 2$) holds and λ is in the point spectrum of $\Delta_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\varphi}_\omega(g_{11}) &= \dot{\varphi}_\omega(g_{12} g_{21}) = (g_{21} \dot{\varphi}_\omega)(g_{12}) \\
&= \lambda(\dot{\varphi}_\omega g_{21})(g_{12}) = \lambda \dot{\varphi}_\omega(g_{21} g_{12}) \\
&= \lambda \dot{\varphi}_\omega(g_{22}),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\dot{\varphi}_\omega(g_{11}) = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}$, which is neither 0 nor 1. Therefore $g_{11} \in N_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}$ is a nontrivial projection. This implies $\dim(N_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}) \geq 2$, a contradiction. Hence N must be $\mathbb{C}$. $\square$

Finally, we remark that there is no difference between M_ω and $M' \cap M^\omega$ when M is of type III_0 .

Proposition 5.5. *If M is a σ -finite type III_0 factor, then $M' \cap M^\omega$ is a finite von Neumann algebra and $M' \cap M^\omega = M_\omega$ holds.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. By Proposition 4.29, the Golodets state $\dot{\varphi}_\omega = \varphi^\omega|_{M' \cap M^\omega}$ does not depend on φ . Hence by Corollary 4.8 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Delta_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}) &= \bigcap_{\psi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)} \sigma(\Delta_{\dot{\psi}_\omega}) \subset \bigcap_{\psi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)} \sigma(\Delta_{\psi^\omega}) \\ &= \bigcap_{\psi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)} \sigma(\Delta_\psi) = S(M) = \{0, 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

whence $\sigma(\Delta_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega}) = \{1\}$ because $0 \notin \sigma_p(\Delta_{\dot{\varphi}_\omega})$. This shows that $\dot{\varphi}_\omega$ is a normal faithful trace on $M' \cap M^\omega$. Since M_ω is the centralizer of $\dot{\varphi}_\omega$ by Proposition 4.34, we see that $M' \cap M^\omega = M_\omega$ holds. $\square$

6 Factoriality and Type of Ultraproducts

In this section, we study the factoriality and Murray-von Neumann-Connes type of the ultraproduct of factors.

6.1 Ultraproduct of Semifinite Factors

The answers to factoriality/type questions for the Ocneanu ultrapower M^ω of a semifinite factor M has been known. In fact, it has been known to experts that for a von Neumann algebra M with separable predual, $(M \overline{\otimes} \mathbb{B}(H))^\omega \cong M^\omega \overline{\otimes} \mathbb{B}(H)$ and $(M \overline{\otimes} \mathbb{B}(H))_\omega \cong M_\omega \otimes \mathbb{C}$ holds, where H is a separable Hilbert space. The proof can be found e.g., in [MaTo], Lemma 2.8. On the other hand, it is well-known that M^ω is a type II_1 factor if so is M . This shows the following folklore result:

Theorem 6.1. *Let M be a semifinite factor with separable predual. Then M^ω is a factor. If M is of type I_n ($n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$), II_1 or II_∞ , so is M^ω .*

On the other hand, the situation for the factoriality of the Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct is very different. Based on the local reflexivity principle for Banach spaces and the fact that $\mathbb{B}(H)^{**}$ is not semifinite, Raynaud [Ray] showed that $\prod^{\mathcal{U}} \mathbb{B}(H)$ is not semifinite (for a free ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on a suitable index set I and infinite-dimensional H). We prove that $\prod^\omega R$ is not semifinite. For a fixed $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, put $\rho_\lambda = \text{diag}(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}, \frac{1}{1+\lambda}) \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_+$, and let $R_\lambda = \bigotimes_{\mathbb{N}} (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \text{Tr}(\rho_\lambda \cdot))$ be the Powers factor of type III_λ . Define $\varphi_n \in S_{\text{nf}}(R)$ by

$$\varphi_n := \bigotimes_{k=1}^n \text{Tr}(\rho_\lambda \cdot) \otimes \bigotimes_{k=n+1}^\infty \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proposition 6.2. *There exists a normal injective $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : R_\lambda \rightarrow (R, \varphi_n)^\omega$ whose range is a normal faithful conditional expectation $\varepsilon : (R, \varphi_n)^\omega \rightarrow \pi(R_\lambda)$.*

This shows that

Proposition 6.3. *$(R, \varphi_n)^\omega$ is not semifinite.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.2, the type III $_\lambda$ factor $\pi(R_\lambda)$ is the range of a normal faithful conditional expectation from $(R, \varphi_n)^\omega$, hence [Tom], Theorem 3 shows that $(R, \varphi_n)^\omega$ is not semifinite. $\square$

Therefore, we have

Theorem 6.4. *$\prod^\omega R$ is not semifinite, and not a factor.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.16, the first claim is a corollary to Proposition 6.3. Also by Corollary 3.16, the type II $_1$ factor R^ω is a corner of $\prod^\omega R$. Therefore $\prod^\omega R$ has a nontrivial center. $\square$

Now we proceed to prove Proposition 6.2.

Proof of Proposition 6.2. Put $A_m := \bigotimes_{k=1}^m M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \cdots$ considered as a subalgebra of R_λ , and let $\widehat{A}_m$ be the same algebra now considered as a subalgebra of R . Moreover, put

$$A := \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m \subset R_\lambda, \quad \widehat{A} := \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \widehat{A}_m \subset R.$$

For $x \in A$, let $\hat{x}$ denote the corresponding element in $\widehat{A}$. Define now a $*$ -monomorphism $\pi_0 : A \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, R)$ by $\pi_0(x) = (\hat{x})_n$, $x \in A$ (constant sequence). Note that for $x \in A_m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed), we have

$$\varphi_n(\hat{x}) = \varphi_\lambda(x), \quad \sigma_t^{\varphi_n}(\hat{x}) = \widehat{\sigma_t^{\varphi_\lambda}(x)}, \quad n \geq m.$$

Since $\sigma(\Delta_{\text{Tr}(\rho_\lambda \cdot)}) = \sigma(\rho_\lambda) \cdot \sigma(\rho_\lambda^{-1}) = \{\lambda, 1, \lambda^{-1}\}$, we have

$$\sigma\left(\bigotimes_{k=1}^m \Delta_{\text{Tr}(\rho_\lambda \cdot)}\right) = \{\lambda^m, \lambda^{m-1}, \dots, \lambda^{-m}\}.$$

Therefore it holds that

$$\widehat{A}_m \subset R(\sigma^{\varphi_n}, [m \log \lambda, -m \log \lambda])$$

for all $n \geq m$. Thus by Lemma 4.13, $\pi_0(A_m) \subset \mathcal{M}^\omega(R, \varphi_n)$ holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence also $\pi_0(A) \subset \mathcal{M}^\omega(R, \varphi_n)$ holds. Let $\pi_1 : A \rightarrow M^\omega := (R, \varphi_n)^\omega$ be π_0 composed with the quotient map from $\mathcal{M}^\omega(R, \varphi_n)$ onto $M^\omega = \mathcal{M}^\omega(R, \varphi_n)/\mathcal{I}_\omega(R, \varphi_n)$. Then it is elementary to check that

$$\varphi^\omega(\pi_1(x)) = \varphi_\lambda(x), \quad x \in A,$$

where $\varphi^\omega := (\varphi_n)^\omega$. Using Theorem 4.1, we also have

$$\sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}(\pi_1(x)) = \pi_1(\sigma_t^{\varphi_\lambda}(x)), \quad x \in A, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore π_1 extends to a normal $*$ -monomorphism π of $R_\lambda = \overline{A}^{\text{so}t}$ onto a von Neumann subalgebra $\pi(R_\lambda)$ of M^ω , which is invariant under $\sigma_t^{\varphi^\omega}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$), whence by [Tak2], there is a normal faithful conditional φ^ω -preserving expectation of M^ω onto $\pi(R_\lambda)$. $\square$

6.2 Ultraproduct of Type III_λ ($\lambda \neq 0$) Factors

Let M be a σ -finite type III_λ ($0 < \lambda \leq 1$) factor. We show that $\prod^\omega M$, as well as $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega$, is again a type III_λ factor, and the isomorphism class of $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega$ does not depend on the choice of $(\varphi_n)_n \subset S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. To do this, we first recall the state space diameter of factors. Let M be a von Neumann algebra. Then an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $S_n(M)$ is defined by $\varphi \sim \psi$ if they are approximately unitarily equivalent, i.e., there is a sequence of unitaries $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi - u_n \psi u_n^*\| = 0$. Denote by $[\varphi]$ the equivalence class in $S_n(M)$ represented by $\varphi \in S_n(M)$. Then $S_n(M)/\sim$ is a metric space by

$$d([\varphi], [\psi]) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}(M)} \|\varphi - u \psi u^*\|, \quad [\varphi], [\psi] \in S_n(M)/\sim.$$

Definition 6.5. The *state space diameter* of M , denoted as $d(M)$ is defined by

$$d(M) := \sup_{\varphi, \psi \in S_n(M)} d([\varphi], [\psi]).$$

It holds that $d(M) \leq 2$, and $d(M) = 2$ if M is not a factor. By the result of Connes-Størmer [CS], Connes-Haagerup-Størmer [CHS], and Haagerup-Størmer [HS1], the explicit form of $d(M)$ is given as follows.

Theorem 6.6. *Let M be a factor. Then the $d(M)$ is*

- (1) $2(1 - \frac{1}{n})$ if M is of type I_n , ($n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$).
- (2) 2 if M is of type II .
- (3) $2 \frac{1-\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1+\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ if M is of type III_λ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$).

Remark 6.7. Although the above theorem in [CS], [CHS] were stated for the separable predual case, the conclusion holds in full generality thanks to Martingale arguments given in [HS1].

Let $(M_n, H_n)_n$ be a sequence of standard von Neumann algebras, and define the Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct $N = \prod^\omega M_n$. We will show the diameter formula $d(N) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d(M_n)$.

Lemma 6.8. *Let $(\varphi_n)_n, (\psi_n)_n \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n(M_n)$ and let $\varphi = (\varphi_n)_\omega$ and $\psi = (\psi_n)_\omega$ be the corresponding normal states on N (cf. Theorem 3.25). Then*

$$d([\varphi], [\psi]) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d([\varphi_n], [\psi_n]).$$

Remark 6.9. The above limit clearly exists since the distances are bounded by 2.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose a unitary $u_n \in M_n$ such that

$$\|\varphi_n - u_n \psi_n u_n^*\| \leq d([\varphi_n], [\psi_n]) + \frac{1}{n},$$

then with $u := (u_n)_\omega \in N$ we have

$$\|\varphi - u \psi u^*\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|\varphi_n - u_n \psi_n u_n^*\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d([\varphi_n], [\psi_n]).$$

Hence $d([\varphi], [\psi]) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d([\varphi_n], [\psi_n])$.

For the converse inequality, we use that the unitary group of $\pi_\omega((M_n)_\omega)$ is strongly*-dense in the unitary group of N by Kaplansky density Theorem (cf. Definition 3.5). Hence given $\varepsilon > 0$, we may choose a unitary $u_n \in M_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that with $u := (u_n)_\omega$, we have

$$\|\varphi - u\psi u^*\| \leq d([\varphi], [\psi]) + \varepsilon.$$

But then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d([\varphi_n], [\psi_n]) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|\varphi_n - u_n \psi_n u_n^*\| = \|\varphi - u\psi u^*\| \leq d([\varphi], [\psi]) + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we obtain $d([\varphi], [\psi]) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d([\varphi_n], [\psi_n])$. $\square$

Lemma 6.10. *With the above notations, $d(N) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d(M_n)$.*

Proof. For all $\varphi, \psi \in S_n(N)$ we may, by Corollary 3.26, choose normal states $(\varphi_n), (\psi_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n(M_n)$ such that $\varphi = (\varphi_n)_\omega$ and $\psi = (\psi_n)_\omega$. By Lemma 6.8,

$$d([\varphi], [\psi]) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d([\varphi_n], [\psi_n]) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d(M_n).$$

Hence $d(N) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d(M_n)$.

Conversely, we may for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ chose $\varphi_n, \psi_n \in S_n(M_n)$ such that

$$d([\varphi_n], [\psi_n]) \geq d(M_n) - \frac{1}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $\varphi := (\varphi_n)_\omega$ and $\psi := (\psi_n)_\omega$. By Lemma 6.8, we get (taking the limit of the inequalities above): $d([\varphi], [\psi]) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d(M_n)$. Hence $d(N) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d(M_n)$. $\square$

Theorem 6.11. *Let M be a σ -finite factor of type III_λ ($\lambda \neq 0$). Then $\prod^\omega M$ is a type III_λ factor. Moreover, for any sequence $(\varphi_n)_n \subset S_{\text{nf}}(M)$, $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega \cong M^\omega$ is also a factor of type III_λ .*

Proof. Let $p := \text{supp}(\varphi_\omega)$, where $\varphi_\omega = (\varphi_n)_\omega \in (M_*)_\omega$. Then by Proposition 3.15, we have

$$(M, \varphi_n)^\omega \cong pNp, \quad N := \prod^\omega M.$$

By Theorem 6.6, the state space diameter of N is

$$d(N) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} d(M) = 2 \frac{1 - \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Hence N is a type III_λ factor, so is its corner pNp . Since all σ -finite projections in a type III factor are equivalent, all $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega$ s are mutually isomorphic. $\square$

Remark 6.12. Let M be a σ -finite factor of type III_λ ($0 < \lambda < 1$). Then the factoriality of M^ω can be shown using Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Remark 6.12. Let $x \in \mathcal{Z}(M^\omega)$. Let $\varphi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ be such that $\sigma_T^\varphi = \text{id}$, where $T = -2\pi/\log \lambda$. By Proposition 4.27, we have

$$x \in \mathcal{Z}(M^\omega) \subset (M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega} = (M_\varphi)^\omega.$$

Then by Takesaki's Theorem for periodic state [Tak3], M_φ is a type II_1 factor and $\sigma(\Delta_\varphi) = \{\lambda^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}$, whence $(M_\varphi)^\omega$ is also a type II_1 factor by a standard argument. This shows that

$$x \in (M_\varphi)^\omega \cap (M^\omega)' \subset \mathcal{Z}((M_\varphi)^\omega) = \mathbb{C}.$$

Therefore M^ω is a factor, and since $(M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega}$ is a factor, we have (cf. Corollary 4.8 (3))

$$S(M^\omega) = \sigma(\Delta_{\varphi^\omega}) = \sigma(\Delta_\varphi) = \{\lambda^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}.$$

This shows that M^ω is a type III_λ factor. $\square$

6.3 Ultraproduct of Type III_0 Factors

As we have seen, in the case of type III_λ ($\lambda \neq 0$) factor, the Ocneanu ultraproduct $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega$ does not depend on the choice of $(\varphi_n)_n$. In this section we see that the situation is different for the case of type III_0 factors. Moreover, we will show that M^ω is not a factor.

Theorem 6.13. *Let M be a σ -finite type III_0 factor. Then there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of normal faithful states on M such that $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega$ is isomorphic to the finite von Neumann algebra $(M_{\varphi_n}, \tau_n)^\omega$ where $\tau_n := \varphi_n|_{M_{\varphi_n}}$.*

We need lemmata.

Lemma 6.14 ([Con2], Lemme 2.3.4). *Let α be a continuous action of a locally compact abelian group G on a factor M . Denote by $\widehat{G}$ the Pontrjagin dual of G . Then the family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of $\widehat{G}$ of the form $\{\text{Sp}(\alpha^e) + K\}$, where e is a non-zero projection in M^α and K is a compact neighborhood of 0 in $\widehat{G}$, forms a directed set with intersection $\Gamma(\alpha)$.*

Lemma 6.15 ([Con2], Lemme 5.2.3). *Let σ be a continuous action of $\mathbb{R}$ on a factor M , and assume there is $c > 0$ such that*

$$\text{Sp}(\sigma) \cap \{[-2c, -c] \cup [c, 2c]\} = \emptyset,$$

where we identify $\widehat{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists $h \in M^\sigma$, $-c/2 \leq h \leq c/2$ such that the action σ' of $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\sigma'_t(x) := e^{-ith} \sigma_t(x) e^{ith}, \quad x \in M, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

satisfies $\text{Sp}(\sigma') \cap (-c, c) = \{0\}$.

Lemma 6.16. *Let M be a σ -finite factor of type III_0 . Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\varphi_n \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ such that $\text{Sp}(\sigma^{\varphi_n}) \cap (-\log n, \log n) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, define $I_n := [-2\log n, -\log n] \cup [\log n, 2\log n]$ and $K_\varepsilon := [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$. Assume that there is $n \geq 2$ such that $\text{Sp}(\sigma^\psi) \cap I_n \neq \emptyset$ for every $\psi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. Fix $\psi \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$. Let $e \in \text{Proj}(M_\psi) \setminus \{0\}$. Since

$M \cong eMe$, the assumption implies that $\text{Sp}(\sigma^{\psi_e}) \cap I_n \neq \emptyset$. Now given finitely many $e_1, \dots, e_N \in \text{Proj}(M_\psi) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N > 0$. By Lemma 6.14, there is $e \in \text{Proj}(M_\psi) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\emptyset \neq I_n \cap \{\text{Sp}(\sigma^{\psi_e}) + K_\varepsilon\} \subset I_n \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^N \{\text{Sp}(\sigma^{\psi_{e_i}}) + K_{\varepsilon_i}\}.$$

Therefore by the compactness of I_n and by Lemma 6.14, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &\neq I_n \cap \bigcap_{0 \neq e \in M_\psi, \varepsilon > 0} \{\text{Sp}(\sigma^{\psi_e}) + K_\varepsilon\} \\ &= I_n \cap \Gamma(\sigma^\psi) = I_n \cap \log(S(M) \setminus \{0\}) \\ &= I_n \cap \{0\} = \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $\psi_n \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ such that $\text{Sp}(\sigma^{\psi_n}) \cap I_n = \emptyset$. Then choose $h_n \in M_{\psi_n}$, $-\frac{1}{2} \log n \leq h_n \leq \frac{1}{2} \log n$ as in Lemma 6.15 for ψ_n . Then set $\varphi_n := \psi_n(h'_n \cdot)$, $h'_n := (h_n + \frac{1}{2} \log n + 1)^{-1}$. Then we have $\varphi_n \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ and $\text{Sp}(\sigma^{\varphi_n}) \cap (-\log n, \log n) = \emptyset$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6.13. By Lemma 6.16, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\varphi_n \in S_{\text{nf}}(M)$ such that $\text{Sp}(\sigma^{\varphi_n}) \cap (-\log n, \log n) = \{0\}$. Let $x = (x_n)^\omega \in (M, \varphi_n)^\omega$. By Proposition 4.11, x can be approximated strongly by elements of the form $(y_n)^\omega$, where $(y_n)_n$ satisfies $y_n \in M(\sigma^{\varphi_n}, [-a, a])$ for each n for a fixed $a > 0$. Fix one such (y_n) and $a > 0$. Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $a \leq \log n_0$. Then by $\text{Sp}(\sigma^{\varphi_n}) \cap (-\log n, \log n) = \{0\}$, for $n > n_0$ we have

$$M(\sigma^{\varphi_n}, [-a, a]) \subset M(\sigma^{\varphi_n}, [-\log n_0, \log n_0]) = M_{\varphi_n},$$

whence $(y_n)^\omega \in (M_{\varphi_n}, \tau_n)^\omega$. Since $(x_n)^\omega$ is approximated by these elements, $(x_n)^\omega \in (M_{\varphi_n}, \tau_n)^\omega$ holds too. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Remark 6.17. Together with Corollary 3.16, Theorem 6.13 shows that the Groh-Raynaud ultraproduct $\prod^\omega M$ of a type III_0 factor M has a finite projection, and hence it is not a factor. Note that M does not embed into the above $(M, \varphi_n)^\omega$.

Next we show that the Ocneanu ultraproduct of a type III_0 factor is not a factor.

Theorem 6.18. *Let M be a σ -finite factor of type III_0 . Then M^ω is not a factor.*

Lemma 6.19. *Let $A = L^\infty(X, \mu)$ be a diffuse abelian von Neumann algebra, where (X, μ) is a probability space without atoms. Let T be an ergodic transformation on (X, μ) . Let $\alpha(f)(\omega) := f(T^{-1}\omega)$ be the corresponding automorphism of A . Then $\alpha^\omega \in \text{Aut}(A^\omega)$ is not ergodic.*

Remark 6.20. Schmidt showed ([Sch], Proposition 2.2) that if (X, μ) is a standard non-atomic probability space, there exists a measurable sets $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset X$ which are *non-trivial asymptotically T -invariant sets*. That is, it satisfies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(TB_n \triangle B_n) = 0, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_n)\mu(1 - B_n) > 0.$$

Therefore $p := (1_{B_n})^\omega$ is a non-trivial projection in $(A^\omega)^{\alpha^\omega}$, and Lemma 6.19 follows. Since we could not check if his proof works for non-separable space (X, μ) , we add a proof of Schmidt's result for non-separable space below (Lemma 6.22).

We need a slight modification of Rokhlin's Theorem from [TakBook].

Lemma 6.21. *Let (Ω, μ) be a non-atomic probability space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a non-singular ergodic transformation. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable subset $E \subset X$ such that*

- (1) $E, T(E), \dots, T^{n-1}(E)$ are mutually disjoint.
- (2) $\mu\left(X - \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j E\right) < \varepsilon$.
- (3) $\mu(E) \leq \frac{1}{n}$.

Proof. Let $\nu_n := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu \circ T^j$. Then ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ . Therefore given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta = \delta(n, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\mu(F) < \delta \Rightarrow \nu_n(F) < \varepsilon$$

holds. This implies that $\mu(T^j E) < \varepsilon$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. By Rokhlin tower Theorem (see e.g., [TakBook], Lemma XVIII.3.2), there exists a measurable set $F \subset X$ such that $F, TF, \dots, T^{n-1}F$ are mutually disjoint, and $G := X - \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j F$ has $\mu(G) < \delta(n, \varepsilon)$. In particular, we have $\mu(T^j G) < \varepsilon$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Since $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu(T^j F) \leq 1$, we may choose $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with $\mu(T^k F) \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Put $E := T^k F$. Then $E, TE, \dots, T^{n-1}E$ are mutually disjoint, and

$$X - \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j E = T^k \left(X - \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j F \right) = T^k G,$$

whence $\mu(X - \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j E) < \varepsilon$, and $\mu(E) \leq \frac{1}{n}$. $\square$

Lemma 6.22. *Let (X, μ) be a non-atomic probability space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a non-singular ergodic transformation. For each $n \geq 2$, there exists a measurable set $B_n \subset X$ with $\mu(B_n) = \frac{1}{2}$ such that $\mu(TB_n \triangle B_n) \leq \frac{2}{n}$ holds.*

Proof. Put $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ and choose $E \subset X$ as in Lemma 6.21. Since μ has no atoms, there exists a family $\{G(t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ of measurable subsets of X with the following properties:

- (i) $G(0) = \emptyset, G(1) = E$.
- (ii) $0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \Rightarrow G(t) \subset G(s)$.
- (iii) $\mu(G(t)) = t\mu(E)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Put

$$B(t) := \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j G(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

We see that $B(0) = \emptyset, B(1) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j E$, so that $\mu(B(1)) > 1 - \frac{1}{n} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Since $t \mapsto \mu(B(t)) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu(T^j G(t))$ is continuous by the choice of $\{G(t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$, we can find $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ with $\mu(B(t_0)) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then put $B_n := B(t_0)$. Since $E, TE, \dots, T^{n-1}E$ are disjoint, we see that

$$B_n \triangle TB_n \subset T^n E \cup E \subset G \cup E,$$

where $G := X - \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j E$ (the last inclusion is true modulo null sets, since $\mu(T^n E \cap T^j E) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$). Therefore we have

$$\mu(B_n \triangle TB_n) \leq \mu(G) + \mu(E) \leq \frac{2}{n}.$$

□

Proof of Lemma 6.19. By Lemma 6.22, we can find measurable sets $B_n \subset X$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) with $\mu(TB_n \triangle B_n) \leq \frac{2}{n}$. Then put $p := (1_{B_n})^\omega \in A^\omega$. By assumption, p is a α^ω -invariant projection in $A^\omega \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Hence α^ω is not ergodic. □

We next show that for type III_0 factors, discrete decomposition is preserved under the Ocneanu ultrapower.

Let M be a type III_0 factor. There is a normal faithful lacunary weight φ on M such that M_φ is of type II_∞ with diffuse center, and let $\tau := \varphi|_{M_\varphi}$. There is $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$ and $U \in M(\sigma^\varphi, (-\infty, \log \lambda_0])$ (the spectral condition follows from the proof of Théorème 5.3.1 in [Con1]) such that $\theta = \text{Ad}(U)|_{M_\varphi} \in \text{Aut}(M_\varphi)$ is a centrally ergodic automorphism satisfying $\tau \circ \theta \leq \lambda_0 \tau$. In this setting, we have $M \cong M_\varphi \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi = \hat{\tau}$ (dual weight of τ) under this isomorphism. We call this a discrete decomposition of M . Similar decompositions are possible for type III_λ ($0 < \lambda < 1$) factors, in which case we have $\tau \circ \theta = \lambda \tau$ and $U \in M(\sigma^\varphi, \{\log \lambda\})$ (see [Con1]).

Proposition 6.23. *Let M be a σ -finite factor of type III_0 with discrete decomposition $M = M_\varphi \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z}$ (φ is chosen as above). Then $M^\omega \cong (M_\varphi)^\omega \rtimes_{\theta^\omega} \mathbb{Z}$.*

Remark 6.24. The discrete decomposition for type III_λ ($0 < \lambda < 1$) factor is also preserved under the Ocneanu ultrapower. The proof is exactly the same.

Recall that for a von Neumann algebra M and $\theta \in \text{Aut}(M)$, $p(\theta)$ is the greatest projection $e \in M^\theta$ for which $\theta|_{M_e}$ is an inner automorphism.

Lemma 6.25. *Let N be a von Neumann subalgebra of M , and let $\theta \in \text{Aut}(N)$ be such that $p(\theta^k) = 0$ for all $k \neq 0$. Suppose N satisfies*

- (a) $N' \cap M \subset N$.
- (b) *There is a normal faithful conditional expectation E from M onto N .*
- (c) *There is $U \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $UxU^* = \theta(x)$ for all $x \in N$.*
- (d) *M is generated by $\{U\} \cup N$ as a von Neumann algebra.*

Then there is a $*$ -isomorphism $\Phi : M \rightarrow N \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z}$ sending U (resp. N) to the canonical implementing unitary of θ (resp. the canonical image of N) in the crossed product.

Proof. See [Con1], Proposition 4.1.2. Note that the central ergodicity assumption for θ in [Con1] is necessary only for the factoriality of M . $\square$

Proof of Proposition 6.23. We have to verify (a)-(d) in Lemma 6.25 for $(M_\varphi)^\omega \subset M^\omega$ and θ^ω . Let U be the implementing unitary of θ in the discrete decomposition. Then we have $U \in M(\sigma^\varphi, (-\infty, \log \lambda_0])$ for some $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$, and $\varphi = \hat{\tau} \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{nfs}}(M)$ is lacunary. Also, $\tau \circ \theta \leq \lambda_0 \tau$.

Since φ is strictly semifinite, there is a normal faithful conditional expectation $E : M \rightarrow M_\varphi$. By Proposition 4.27, we have $(M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega} = (M_\varphi)^\omega$, so that the normal faithful φ^ω -preserving conditional expectation coincides with $E^\omega : M^\omega \rightarrow (M_\varphi)^\omega$. So (b), (c) is clearly satisfied. Regarding $p((\theta^\omega)^k)$, note that $\tau^\omega := \varphi^\omega|_{(M_\varphi)^\omega}$ is a normal faithful semifinite trace satisfying $\tau^\omega \circ \theta^\omega \leq \lambda_0 \tau^\omega$. This implies, by the proof of [Con1], Proposition 5.1.1, that $p((\theta^\omega)^k) = 0$ for all $k \neq 0$.

Next, we show (d): M^ω is generated by $(M_\varphi)^\omega$ and U (canonical image of U in M^ω). Let $\{p'_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a net of projections in M_φ such that $\tau(p'_i) < \infty$ ($i \in I$) and $p'_i \nearrow 1$ strongly. Then put $p_i := \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty \theta^n(p'_i)$. Then it holds that

$$\tau(p_i) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \tau(\theta^n(p'_i)) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda^n \tau(p'_i) < \infty,$$

and $\theta(p_i) \leq p_i$, $p_i \nearrow 1$ strongly. Now fix one of such finite projection $p = p_i$ in M_φ , and we prove that $p(M^\omega)p$ is generated by pQp , where

$$Q := \text{span} \left((M_\varphi)^\omega \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (M_\varphi)^\omega U^k \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (U^*)^k (M_\varphi)^\omega \right).$$

By construction, each $x \in M = M_\varphi \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z}$ has a formal expansion

$$x \sim x(0) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \{x(k)U^k + (U^*)^k x(-k)\},$$

where $x(k) \in M_\varphi$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) is uniquely determined by

$$x(k) = E(x(U^*)^k), \quad x(-k) = E(U^k x) \quad (k \geq 0)$$

(the order of U^k and $x(k)$ is a matter of convention). Let $x \in M^\omega$, and put $y := p x p \in (M^\omega)_p$ (here we used $p(M^\omega)p = (pMp)^\omega$. See [MaTo], Proposition 2.10). Since $p \in (M^\omega)_{\varphi^\omega} = (M_\varphi)^\omega$, we may consider φ_p^ω as a faithful normal positive functional on $(M^\omega)_p$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By Proposition 4.11, we may find $a > 0$ and $z = (z_n)^\omega \in (M^\omega)_p$ with $z_n \in M_p(\sigma^{\varphi_p}, [-a, a])$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $\|y - z\|_{\varphi_p^\omega} < \varepsilon$, and $\|z\| \leq \|y\|$. Consider the expansion of z_n (in M):

$$z_n \sim z_n(0) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \{z_n(k)U^k + (U^*)^k z_n(-k)\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $V := Up$. Then by $UpU^* = \theta(p) \leq p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V^2 &= UpUp = Up(UpU^*)U = U\theta(p)U \\ &= U^2p. \end{aligned}$$

Similar computations show that

$$V^k = U^k p, \quad (V^*)^k = p(U^*)^k, \quad k \geq 1.$$

We see that for $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_n(k) &= E(z_n(U^*)^k) = E(z_n p(U^*)^k) = E(z_n(V^*)^k), \\ z_n(-k) &= E(U^k p z_n) = E(V^k z_n). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $z_n(k) \in pM_\varphi \theta^k(p)$, $z_n(-k) \in \theta^k(p)M_\varphi p$ and

$$z_n(k)U^k = z_n(k)V^k, \quad (U^*)^k z_n(-k) = (V^*)^k z_n(-k).$$

Therefore the expansion of z_n can be rewritten as

$$z_n \sim z_n(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{z_n(k)V^k + (V^*)^k z_n(-k)\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $U \in M(\sigma^\varphi, (-\infty, \log \lambda_0])$, we have

$$V^k z_n \in M_p(\sigma^{\varphi_p}, (-\infty, k \log \lambda_0 + a]), \quad z_n(V^*)^k \in M_p(\sigma^{\varphi_p}, [-k \log \lambda_0 - a, \infty))$$

for each $k \geq 1$. Let $K := [a/(-\log \lambda_0)] + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider the GNS representation of $(\varphi_p, (M_\varphi)_p)$. E induces φ_p -preserving conditional expectation $E_p : M_p \rightarrow (M_\varphi)_p$. Then for $k \geq K$, we have

$$k \log \lambda_0 + a < 0 < -k \log \lambda_0 - a$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} z_n(k)\xi_{\varphi_p} &= E_p(z_n(V^*)^k)\xi_{\varphi_p} = 1_{\{1\}}(\Delta_{\varphi_p})(z_n(V^*)^k\xi_{\varphi_p}) = 0, \\ z_n(-k)\xi_{\varphi_p} &= E_p(V^k z_n)\xi_{\varphi_p} = 1_{\{1\}}(\Delta_{\varphi_p})(V^k z_n\xi_{\varphi_p}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since ξ_{φ_p} is separating for M_p , we have $z_n(k) = 0$, $|k| \geq K$. Therefore we have

$$z_n = z_n(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \{z_n(k)V^k + (V^*)^k z_n(-k)\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, since $(M_\varphi)_p$ is a finite von Neumann algebra, each $(z_n(k))_n$ ($|k| \leq K-1$) is in $\mathcal{M}^\omega(\mathbb{N}, M_{\varphi_p})$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} z &= (z_n)^\omega = (z_n(0))^\omega + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \{(z_n(k))^\omega U^k + (U^*)^k (z_n(-k))^\omega\} \\ &\in pQp. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $y = pxp$ can be approximated strongly by elements from pQp . Hence $pM^\omega p = \overline{pQp}^{\text{so}^t}$. Since i is arbitrary (recall that $p = p_i$), this implies that x is in $\overline{Q}^{\text{so}^t}$ as well. This proves the claim.

Finally, by the proof of Proposition 4.1.1 of [Con1], (a) is proved. Namely, let $x \in ((M_\varphi)^\omega)' \cap M^\omega$. Then by the above, x has a formal expansion by $x \sim x(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x(k)U^k + (U^*)^k x(-k))$ ($x(k) \in (M_\varphi)^\omega$). Since $ax = xa$ for $a \in (M_\varphi)^\omega$, this implies that $ax(k) = x(k)\theta^k(a)$, $\theta^k(a)x(-k) = x(-k)a$ for all $a \in (M_\varphi)^\omega$ and $k \geq 0$. Then by $p((\theta^\omega)^k) = 0$ ($k \neq 0$) and by [Con1], Remarques 1.5.3 (a), we have $x(k) = 0$, $k \neq 0$ and hence $x = x(0) \in (M_\varphi)^\omega$. This proves that $((M_\varphi)^\omega)' \cap M^\omega \subset (M_\varphi)^\omega$. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the non-factoriality of the Ocneanu ultrapower for type III₀ factors.

Proof of Theorem 6.18. Now we show that M^ω is not a factor. By Claim 1, M^ω is generated by $(M_\varphi)^\omega$ and U^ω , which implements θ^ω . Representing the center of M_φ as $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ where (X, μ) is a diffuse probability space, [MaTo], Lemma 2.8 together with [FHS1], Corollary 4.2 implies that the center of $(M_\varphi)^\omega$ is $L^\infty(X, \mu)^\omega$. By Lemma 6.19, θ^ω is not centrally ergodic. This implies that there is a nontrivial element $x \in (L^\infty(X, \mu)^\omega)^{\theta^\omega}$, whence a nontrivial element in $\mathcal{Z}(M^\omega)$. Therefore M^ω is not a factor. $\square$

Remark 6.26. The above result reproduces Connes's result [Con3] that σ -finite type III₀ factor M cannot be full. For if such M satisfies $M_\omega = \mathbb{C}$, then $M' \cap M^\omega = \mathbb{C}$ holds by Proposition 5.5. Since $\mathcal{Z}(M^\omega) \subset M' \cap M^\omega$, this shows that M^ω is a factor, a contradiction. Therefore $M_\omega \neq \mathbb{C}$.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Yoshimichi Ueda for discussions regarding his problem on full factors, Toshihiko Masuda and Reiji Tomatsu for their useful comments on the paper. HA is supported by EPDI/JSPS/IHÉS Fellowship. Most of the work was done during his visits to University of Copenhagen since August 2010. He thanks for their hospitality. He also thanks to Kyoto University GCOE program for financial support during his first visit to Copenhagen. UH is supported by ERC Advanced Grant No. OAFPG 27731 and the Danish National Research Foundation through the Center for Symmetry and Deformation.

References

- [Ara] H. Araki, Some properties of modular conjugation operator of von Neumann algebras and non-commutative Radon-Nikodym theorem with a chain rule, *Pac. J. Math.* **50** (1974), 309–354.
- [Ara2] H. Araki, Asymptotic ratio set and property L'_λ , *Publ. RIMS* **6** (1970/71), 443–460.
- [Bra] B. Blackadar, Operator Algebra, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences **122**, Springer (2006).
- [Con1] A. Connes, Une classification des facteurs de type III, *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup.* **4:6** (1973), 133–252.
- [Con2] A. Connes, Classification of injective factors, *Ann. Math.* **104** (1976), 73–115.
- [Con3] A. Connes, Almost periodic states and factors of type III₁, *J. Funct. Anal.* **16** (1974), 415–445.
- [CHS] A. Connes, U. Haagerup, E. Størmer, Diameters of state spaces of type III factors, *LNM* **1132**, Springer (1985), 91–116.

- [CS] A. Connes, E. Størmer, Homogeneity of the state space of factors of type III_1 , *J. Funct. Anal.* **28** (1978), 187–196.
- [FGL] J. Fang, L. Ge and W. Li, Central sequence algebras of von Neumann algebras, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **10** No. 1, (2006), 187–200.
- [FHS1] I. Farah, B. Hardt and D. Sherman, Model theory of operator algebras I: Stability (arXiv 0908:2790)
- [FHS2] I. Farah, B. Hardt and D. Sherman, Model theory of operator algebras II: Model theory (arXiv 1004:0701)
- [FHS3] I. Farah, B. Hardt and D. Sherman, Model theory of operator algebras III: Elementary equivalence and II_1 factors (arXiv 1111.0998)
- [GeHa] L. Ge and D. Hadwin, Ultraproducts of C^* -algebras, in Operator theory: advances and applications, vol. **127** (2001), 305–326.
- [Gol1] V. J. Golodets, Spectral properties of modular operators and the asymptotic ratio set (in Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Math. Ser. Mat.* Tom **39** no. 3 (1975), English translation in *Math. USSR Izv.* vol. **9** no. 3 (1975), 599–619.
- [Gol2] V. J. Golodets, Modular operators and asymptotic commutativity in von Neumann algebras, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **33** no. 1 (1978), 43–94. English translation in Russian Math. Surveys vol. **33**, no. 1 (1978), 43–94.
- [GoNe] V. J. Golodets and N. I. Nessonov, Asymptotic algebra and outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of factors (in Russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Math.* Tom **44**, no. 3 (1980). English translation in *Math. USSR Izv.* vol. **16** no. 3 (1981), 457–477.
- [Gro] U. Groh, Uniform ergodic theorems for identity preserving Schwarz maps on W^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory.* **11** (1984), 395–404.
- [Haa1] U. Haagerup, The standard form of von Neumann algebras, *Math. Scand.* **37** (1975), 271–283.
- [Haa2] U. Haagerup, Operator valued weights in von Neumann algebras I, *J. Funct. Anal.* **32** No. 2 (1979), 175–206.
- [Haa3] U. Haagerup, Connes’ bicentralizer problem and uniqueness of the injective factor of type III_1 , *Acta Math.* **69** (1986), 95–148.
- [Haa4] U. Haagerup, L^p spaces associated with an arbitrary von Neumann algebra, *Colloques Internationaux du CNRS* **274** (1979), 175–184.
- [HS1] U. Haagerup, E. Størmer, Equivalence of normal states on von Neumann algebras and the flow of weights, *Adv. Math.* **83** (1990), 180–262.
- [HS2] U. Haagerup, E. Størmer, Pointwise inner automorphisms of von Neumann algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **92**, Issue 1, (1990), 177–201.
- [HW1] U. Haagerup, Carl Winsløw, Effros-Maréchal Topology in the space of von Neumann algebras I, *Amer. Journ. Math.* **120** (1998), 567–617.

- [Hei] S. Heinrich, Ultraproducts in Banach space theory, *J. Reine. Angew. Math.* **313** (1980), 72–104.
- [HerTak] R. Herman and M. Takesaki, States and automorphism groups of operator algebras, *Commun. math. Phys* **19**, (1970), 142–160.
- [Jun] M. Junge, Fubini theorem for ultraproducts of noncommutative L_p -spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* **56** no. 5 (2004), 983–1021.
- [Kat] Y. Katznelson, An introduction to harmonic analysis, Cambridge University Press, 3rd edition (2004).
- [KST] Y. Kawahigashi, C. E. Sutherland and M. Takesaki, The structure of the automorphism group of an injective factor and the cocycle conjugacy of discrete abelian group actions, *Acta. Math.* **169** (1992), 105–130.
- [Kir] E. Kirchberg, On non-semisplit extensions, tensor products and exactness of group C^* -algebras, *Invent. Math.* **112** (1993), 449–489.
- [KR] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras, vol II, Academic Press (1986)
- [McD2] D. McDuff, Central sequences and hyperfinite factor, *Proc. London. Math. Soc.* **21** (1970), 443–461.
- [McD] D. McDuff, Uncountably many II_1 factors, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **90** (1969), 372–377.
- [MaTo] T. Masuda and R. Tomatsu, Rohlin flows on von Neumann algebras, preprint arXiv:1206.0955.
- [MvN] F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, On rings of operators IV, *Ann. Math.* vol. **44** no. 4 (1943), 716–808.
- [Mu] Gerard J. Murphy, C^* -algebras and operator theory, Academic Press (1990).
- [Oc] A. Ocneanu, Actions of discrete amenable groups on von Neumann algebras, LNM **1138** Springer (1985).
- [Puk] L. Pukanszky, Some examples of factors. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **4** (1956), 135–156.
- [Ray] Y. Raynaud, On ultrapowers of non commutative L_p -spaces, *J. Operator Theory*, **48** (2002), 41–68.
- [RX] Y. Raynaud, Q. Xu, On subspaces of non-commutative L_p -spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **203** (2003), 149–196.
- [Rud] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill (1973).
- [Sak] S. Sakai, The theory of W^* -algebras, lecture notes, Yale University (1962).
- [Sak2] S. Sakai, An uncountable number of II_1 and II_∞ factors. *J. Funct. Anal.* **5** (1970) 236–246.

- [Sch] K. Schmidt, Asymptotically invariant sequences and an action of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the 2-sphere, *Israel J. Math.* vol **37**, No. 3 (1980), 193–208.
- [Tak1] M. Takesaki, Tomita’s theory of modular Hilbert algebras and its applications, LNM **128**, Springer (1970).
- [Tak2] M. Takesaki, Conditional expectations in von Neumann algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **9** (1972), 306–321.
- [Tak3] M. Takesaki, The structure of von Neumann algebras with a homogeneous periodic state, *Acta. Math.* **131** (1973), 79–121.
- [Tak4] M. Takesaki, The structure of the automorphism group of an AFD factor. in *Progr. Math.*, **92**, Birkhauser (1990), 19–44.
- [Tak5] M. Takesaki, A short proof of the commutation theorem $(M_1 \overline{\otimes} M_n)' = M_1' \overline{\otimes} M_n'$, in *Lectures on operator algebras*, LNM **247**, Springer (1972), 780–786.
- [TakBook] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras III*, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences **127**, Springer (2003).
- [Tom] J. Tomiyama, On the projection of norm one in W^* -algebras, III, *Tohoku Math. J.*, Vol **10**, Number 2 (1958), 204–209.
- [Ueda1] Y. Ueda, Factoriality, type classification and fullness for free product von Neumann algebras, *Adv. Math.* **228** no. 5 (2011), 2647–2671.
- [Ueda2] Y. Ueda, On type III_1 factors arising as free products, *Math. Res. Lett.* **18**, no 5 (2011), 909–920.
- [Ueda3] Y. Ueda, Fullness, Connes’ χ -groups, and ultraproducts of amalgamated free products over Cartan subalgebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **355** (2003), 349–371.
- [Ueda4] Y. Ueda, Some analysis on amalgamated free products of von Neumann algebras in non-tracial setting, arXiv:1203.1806
- [Wri] F. B. Wright, A reduction for algebras of finite type, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **60** (1954), 560–570.

Hiroshi Ando
 Institut des Hauts Études Scientifiques,
 Le Bois-Marie 35, route de Chartres,
 91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France
 ando@ihes.fr

Uffe Haagerup
 Department of Mathematical Sciences,
 University of Copenhagen
 Universitetsparken 5,
 2100 København Ø, Denmark
 haagerup@math.ku.dk